Chile's Announcement of Break With Cuba Places Mexico on Spot

By George Natanson The Los Angeles Times

MEXICO CITY-Chile's surprise announcement last week that it was breaking diplomatic ties with Cuba created as much consternation among Latin American observers as Mexico's earlier declaration that it would continue to maintain its relations with the Cuban Communist regime.

Chile had been expected to hold out against diplomatic and economic sanctions voted against Cuba by the foreign ministers of the Organization of American States in Washington on July 25-at least until after presidential elections Sept. 4.

It had been feared that any step taken by Chile against Cuba might cause violence in support of Premier Fidel Castro. Also, it was believed that mationalistic groups might appeal were made. throw their political support to the Communist-backed, Socialist presidential candidate gime, the stand taken by this who had already gone on record as opposing the OAS sanctions.

Fears of massive street demonstrations have thus far Mexico has insisted on up-Socialist parties have issued mination for all nations. orders to their members to re-

to concentrate instead on elec-|of breaking their Cuban ties, become a major campaign issue.

Creating internal problems through violence might lessen Communist chances and cause the Chilean government to take repressive measures which would limit Red campaign activities.

Chile's decision to support the OAS sanctions against Cuba is in direct contrast to the attitude assumed by Mexico two weeks ago in announcing that it believed the sanctions violated the OAS charter, the Inter-American Reciprocal Assistance Treaty (Rio Cuba, it was believed that pact) and the United Nations Charter.

Because of these objections, Mexico said she would not created by left-wing elements break its Cuban relations and Allende, who is backed by a offered instead to abide by a World Court decision if an

While Mexico professes no sympathy for the Cuban Regime, the stand taken by this counterparts, are intensively country has given support to nationalistic, with a certain leftist elements in Chile, Bo- amount of anti-U. S. sentiment. livia and Uruguay, In addition to her legal arguments, proved groundless. It is under holding the principle of non-nist and Socialists may gain stood that the Communist and intervention and self-deter considerably by their in-

frain from demonstrations and been apparently on the verge tion.

tions. It is expected that but have hesitated to do so, Cuban recognition will now fearing increased internal strife. Chile has taken this chance.

It is believed by many that the present government, by not remaining quiet, has given Chilean Communists added ammunition to woo previously uncommitted nationalists and intellectuals to their side.

The principle of nonintervention is a delicate problem in most Latin American countries, including Chile. The Chilean Communists, pointing to Mexico as an example, can expect to win added adherents.

Until the Chilean break with Eduardo Frei, of the Christian Democratic Party, had a decided edge over opposing socialist candidate Salvador Communist Socialist alliance.

The race is basically between two leftists. The Christian Democrats, far more to the left than their European What worries observers in Chile is that, a choice between two leftists only, the Commucreased support of national Bolivia and Uruguay have sovereignty and noninterven-