Wednesday, Dec. 7, 1966 THE WASHINGTON POST A 8

## U.S. Underrated **Red ICBM Growth**

## By George C. Wilson Washington Post Staff Writer

The United States last year a substantial quantitative and underestimated the future pro-the Soviet intercenting dualitative superiority over the Soviet Union in ICBMs duction of Soviet intercontinental ballistic missiles, Defense Secretary Robert S. Mc as many ICBMs today as the President Johnson.

But McNamara stressed that the U.S. offensive missile force was built big enough to cover such underestimation 3 or 4 to 1 edge over the Soviet force.

The Defense Secretary said the 1965 national intelligence estimate of future Soviet mis- gressors." sile strength was proving to be accurate for 1966, still looked good for mid-1867 but not for mid-1968.

will have more ICBMs than were predicted for that time period by intelligence esti-mates in 1965," McNamara McNamara said.

"But we had more than annew intelligence estimate, therefore, has no basic impact on our offensive strategic force requirements."

understood by the American public:

planned, will continue to have ance debate.

at that time.

• "The United States has Namara said yesterday in Aus-tin, Tex., after meeting with stimate gives the Soviet Union several years hence.

· "Our strategic offensive forces have today and will continue to have in the future the capability of absorbing a and would continue to have a deliberate first strike and retaliating with sufficient strength to inflict unacceptable damage upon the aggressor or any combination of ag-

The United States has a force of about 1000 ICBMs backed up by a fleet of 41 Polaris submarines, each are ready to fire. The plan is to have a total ICBM force of 1000 Minutemen and 54 Titan 2s.

Russia has less than 300 ICBMs now. The worrisome ticipated this development in new factor, according to intel-our planning," he added. "This ligence officials, is that the Soviets are increasing their ICBM production rate. Quantity production has always proved a big obstacle to Rus-McNamara then made these sia in the past, not only in three points, declaring "it is missiles but in warplanes as vital" that they "are clearly well.

Despite McNamara's assurances that the U.S. of-• "Even if the new intelli- fensive missile force will stay gence estimated for mid-1968 well ahead of Russia's, his proves accurate, the United latest disclosure about Russian States, without taking any ac-missile progress cannot help tions beyond those already but escalate the strategic bal-