NOMINATIONS OF ROBERT R. BOWIE AND U. ALEXIS JOHNSON

i

HEARINGS BEFORE THE COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS UNITED STATES SENATE

EIGHTY-NINTH CONGRESS

SECOND SESSION

ON THE NOMINATIONS OF ROBERT R. BOWIE, TO BE COUNSELOR OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT

AND

U. ALEXIS JOHNSON, TO BE AMBASSADOR TO JAPAN

AUGUST 16 AND 23, 1966



FELERAL DOCUMENTS

Printed for the use of the Committee on Foreign DERCORTORY

NOMINATION OF U. ALEXIS JOHNSON TO BE AMBASSADOR TO JAPAN $1.1, -\Theta^{(1)}$

•••

TUESDAY, AUGUST 23, 1966

UNITED STATES SENATE, COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS, Washington, D.C.

<u>i da p</u>ara se en el

non of the second s

n an trainin An an trainin trainin An an trainin

The committee met, pursuant to notice, at 10:05 a.m., in room 4221, Yew Senate Office Building, Senator J. W. Fulbright (chairman) residing.

Present: Senators Fulbright, Gore, Lausche, Symington, and Aiken. The CHAIRMAN. The committee will come to order.

We have this morning The Honorable U. Alexis Johnson, career mbassador, nominated for ambassador to Japan.

(The biographic sketch of Mr. Johnson follows:)

Ξ.

1 1 2 2 2

U. ALEXIS JOHNSON, FSO-CAREER AMBASSADOR

C. ALEXIS JOHNSON, FSO-CAREER AMBASSADOR Present Position: Deputy Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs. Office Address: Department of State, Washington, D.C. Born: October 17, 1908 at Falun, Kansas. ¡Legal Residence: California. Marital Status: Married March 21, 1932. [Family: Wife: Patricia Ann Tillman; children: Judith (Mrs. Mason S. Zerbe, r.), Stephen Tillman, William Theodore, Jennifer (Mrs. Martin Mojdara). Home Address: 5407 Ridgefield Road, N.W., Washington, D.C. Education: Occidental College, AB, 1931; LLD, 1957 Georgetown University shool of Foreign Service, post graduate studies, 1931-1932. Language Ablity: Proficient in French; useful knowledge of Japanese; basio fai and Czech. Experience: Non-Government: 1932-35: Office Manager.

.7

1,

5 £.

p.

ni

Ĵι

h1

1932-35: Office Manager.

Government: 1935-37: Tokyo, Japanese Language Student (FSO Unclassified). 1937-39: Keijo, Vice Consul (temporary assignment to Tientsin,

1940-42: Mukden, General Officer. 1942-44: Rio de Janeiro, Economic Section (Administration and Commercial Reporting) (FSO-8, 1940; FSO-7, 1942).

Commercial Reporting) (FSO-8, 1940; FSO-7, 1942). 1944-45: Department. 1945-46: Manila, Consul (FSO-6, 1945; FSO-5, 1946; FSO-4, November 13, 1946). 1946-49: Yokohama, Staff of Acting U.S. Political Adviser to Supreme Commander for Allied Powers; Consul General, 1947. 1947: Promoted to FSO-3. 1949: Promoted to FSO-3. 1949: FFD Downtry, Director (1940-51) Director (1951). Office of

1949: Promoted to FSO-2.
1949-53: FE, Deputy Director (1949-51), Director, (1951), Office of Northeast Asian Affairs; Deputy Assistant Secretary (1951-53).
1951: Promoted to FSO-1.
1953-55: Ambassador to Czechoslovakia (detailed to Geneva, 1954).
1954-58: Geneva, Coordinator of Conferences on Indo-China; U.S. Representative, Geneva Ambassadorial Negotiations with Com-munist China for release of U.S. and Chinese citizens (1955-58).
1958-61: Ambassador to Thailand.

81

. . .

İ

U. Alexis Johnson 1966 hearings

NOMINATIONS

93

Senator GORE. I noticed on the front page of the Washington Post, oday, under a headline. "Viet Policy Also J.F.K.'s, Rusk says," an rticle which starts out:

Secretary of State Dean Rusk yesterday drew on his official relationship with ohn F. Kennedy to imply that the late President would be doing what President ohnson is doing today in Vietnam.

Here is the exact quote from Secretary Rusk as reported in the aper:

If, as President, he ever had any doubt about that, he never indicated it to his becretary of State. Never to my knowledge did he falter in his resolve to do hatever might be necessary to prevent the Communists from seizing South dietnam and southeast Asia.

I do not wish to invoke the hypothesis of the dead. I do not know what the late John F. Kennedy would have done under different ircumstances.

he I recall several conversations with him about this. He was quite imphatic, not only in his private statements, but in his public statenents, in opposition to the commitment of combat forces in southtast Asia. I am not relying upon personal conversations in that regard. I am relying upon public ones, although I am also well ware of private remarks he made.

¹⁰ Moreover, he said one other thing that may have some bearing in the future. Unless we are able to reach an accord on nuclear prodiferation he expressed the view to me that when Red China had an sursenal of nuclear weapons, then the Indochina peninsula would be within her sphere of influence. But I do not cite that as any evidence of what he would have done under any different circumstances. I duestion the advisability of the Secretary of State saying what he is id.

U.S. TROOPS SENT TO THAILAND IN 1961

t^{ji} Mr. JOHNSON. Senator, I just might point out I am not able to ic peak on this. Obviously, the Secretary is more competent to speak een this. But I might point out that President Kennedy did, in the fall if 1961, send a substantial body of U.S. troops to northeast Thailand gat the time the Pathet Lao and Vietminh were moving down toward the Mekong, north of Luang Prabang.

m Now, those troops were never put into action. You will recall that it the Communists withdrew at that time from those advanced positions what they had taken. But he did put those troops in northeast yThailand at that time.

The CHAIRMAN, Yes.

IT Senator LAUSCHE. Are you speaking of the shipment of 5,000 etnarines into Thailand at the time that conditions became critical or Laos?

Mr. JOHNSON. That is it; yes, sir, Senator.

rr Senator LAUSCHE. Is it not also a fact that when Kennedy became President there were 600 U.S. troops in South Vietnam and, at the , ime of his death, there were 16,000 or 17,000, indicating that he felt we had a vital interest in that country?

67-758-66-7