1\$/31/68

Sear Relea.

ł

What a say to and a year! I an own busier than usual, with a for modical and dantel complications to cope with ine addition to these new things I have undertaken (nothing serious).

I have read your latter of 12/23, postmarked 12/28, once only. I should read it curafully, but if 1 do (it just arrived) 1*11 have no choose of getting this reply out today, which is my hope.

First, 144 like to sak you to said copies to mach of these people with a note asying that you do so: at my request:

Sylvis, Pean, Louis Ivon, Vince Salandris (S236 Belancey Fluce, "hila. 3, Fe.), Ray Mercus (1849 Mi Foint (right) St., L.S. 90035). I think that, for the record, you should drop a note to Barry, possibly to Gelber, disassociating your self from Horsey in any way.

I do nost know who or what he he is. He phoned me first, as I readly 12/3. Nost of shet he stuributes to be is false. I had no cerlier knowledge of him or his broedensis, for example. Some of the innecuous things that would not stick in my mind I may or may not have said. I did say that I made an offer to talk to and perhaps help Thermiey a year ago last month and that the resction to this and what he and Lifton had been doing both privately, behind my back, and leter publicly, impolled no to rank nothing to do with them...I am curprised that husek never phoned me. It is unlike him...If you do not mind, since I do not know the stetion, I'd appreciate it if you asked each of them for a cound tape of what he said about me, to be sent me. If you'd prefer, planed instead send me the instructions, their calls and addresses, etc. I want this only as a record. Sechhaps the TV of will be snough, if you have the redio.

I have mover mean any of Cerrison's grand-jury testimony. If he ever gave me any detail on it variably, which I doubt, I have no recollection of it. I do not have a copy of either the indictment or the press release and had nothing to do with either, despite what they may. If Carrison has ever let snyone see any grand-jury testimony, ' have not oven heard a rumor of it. So, I know nothing of the particulars of Thornlay's lagel troubles. I know his own writing is therein deficient. "y interest in Thornlay is of his own inspiration, not Carrison's. It relates to things I have never mentioned, especially not to him, Lifton or Sylvis. Shile I remain of the opinion that Sylvis is a sincere, brilliont and dedicated person, on this issue she has an exclosed involvement shet I think, inevitably, will hurt har, but that I regret. She can be right about Carrison and not be right herself in everything she has done an said. I have more not be right herself in everything she has done an said. I have more find a size of the prime of the states of the states when are say of the others understand the relationship between him and me. I think you do have a glimmer, and I let it rest there.

Hore, I can conceive of his legal work on Thornley being insdequets. If, os Thornley tells me, he is charged with but a single count of perjury, then I am estimated what Garrison did is entirely insdequate. Thernley could be, within the meaning of thelew if not in fact, innegent of thet charge and still have what to no are much more aerious things to waswer to. He has no glimmer of what they are and I have no intentions of disclosing them to him ar any of his friends. I have, in fact, only to a very far of those with when I work. 2

d in a

When I learned that Horsey has phoned Salandrie and asked What you seem not to know, that he defend hornley, I decided to phone Kerry, and I did, with this young man listening in on an extension. For whetever my opinion is worth, the best that can be said for Kerry is that he simply is not rational. This young man is working for his dectorate in psychology and was fuscinated, as he would have been in a laboratory. He thinks Kerry is plain nuts. I think you should be on guard. I could see him warming himself up as we apoke. He is estounding. He even defended Ferrie, and rafused to back down one bit when I called him on this: Ferhaps it is because he has done something similar to one of the things Ferrie did that he takes this position, but of all the complaints he could have made against me, that he restricted himself to the reiterated charge I had misrepresented and defamed Ferrie, well, do I have to characterize that? He also said that levine is representing him without fee, as a "charity case", to use his words. I therefore wonder, as I did to her, to what use Kerry is putting the money she gives him for his legal defense, to pey his lawyer?

I cannot texe the time to convent on all you wrote, but I do appeciate it. I hope you can puzzle your way through my typose and sponteneous spatax.

I'd like a copy of the Christian Youth Corps thing you have, plasse.

What he says about a somen telling me he had moved three days earlier is true. He never called back. I never initiated any call to him. In each case I returned the cell, to the operator number he left. In one case I got a call from a man alleging he was Thornlay, at a time he would have suspected I was not where I was staying, in daytime. Herry says he did not place it and I have no reason not to believe him. Hersey also gave me the Kerry-wants-to-beer-old bit. I did not take the buit.

From this one conversation with him, I'd be inclined to put little confidence in snything "hornley says at any time. He began our conversation with an expression of his respect for my honesty, for example, and wound up with an irrstionel denunciation andhanging up. He admits he was at least used to frame Samald, but claims the Commission misused his testimony I and you should see how he edited it, is officially and unofficially, in the Countrains and his "book" varsion). He admits this is what his stories do, but he now claims he didn't write them at will. it the same time, he edults he has never written a disclaimed to the magazine. That, he says, would serve no purpose, not even as a record. By the way, I said none of the things about him Morsey staributed. Mors then ever, I regard him se a sick and dangerous mon, and winkle I never sent you ony of these sessages, I encourage you do adhere to your instinct andhaving no connection with him. There is no telling how he night twist mything you say and wind up believing it. He is not abanest, if he is capable of it, and does do very dirty things, which includes beating women up. Me slao lies about them. "a is, personally, from all I can leasn, vile. and intellectually corrupt. Like colling the woman who befriended him a practitioner of witchesaft and saying she keeps a vondoo siter 1a her home. the truth is that he halped her build it for a single purpose, a TV show. This little thing should tell you enough about him as a person. "e is very, very twisted, and I deeply regret that Sylvis's pession has led her to in any say associate herself with his likes. I regret this for her sake, not mine. I am little concerned about him and the threats to sue that he disclaims and Lifton (another sick one, at best) circulates. I do hope they are crazy enough. I'll do what Garrison cannot toward establishing truth.

Bo more time. Do not worry, lock forward to better luck in the new year, and do try and keep we posted. I'll be going back to N.O. before the trial and I'll be there through it. Because you express confidence in my integrity, let we add that I have not initiated anything against him, in any way. All I recall of the Buark broadcast is slight ridicule (he was silent, to my face, about this, as he backed