Dear Dave. LIFTON

## 4/10/79

Today a college student called my attention to 62-109060-6616, the Ream Tampa newspaper stories that flowed from your getting a memo I wrote Fred Hewcomb and gave to Kerry barnley and/or his lawyer/

hore then a decode has passed. I have no interest in your present relationship, if any with Thornley and little more than mild carriedly about how you could have become involved with the kind of person I would hope by now you know he was.

What does interest me is having an honest secount to deposit with my own records of those adventures. Right new my files hold only what was sent to me or what I wrote. As it stands you were involved in sorious distortions and misropresentations, I hope not of your own conceiving.

If you would care to said so anything even if I disegree with it I will file it.

By now you should have heard that long before the Ream pieces I was not getting along well with arrison and that he did not love me at all. He had nothing to do with what I saked of Fred and knew nothing about it. It had nothing to do with the perjuty charge against hornlay. It did have to do with multiple selections of various photographs of Thornlay by persons said to have seen Osseld. The clear and entirely undiagnised intent was to determine if an artist could change the Thornlay appearance to more closely remarks Osseld.

As I never investigated Show in New Orleans as also did I never investigate Thornley. By work was all on Oswald. It was over an Oswald report that I went to see that not Clint Bolton, who was worried that Thornley was beaded for trouble. I told him how I thought that could be avoided, as I believe he mote in a column he had in a Quarter weekly end as I believe he recommended. I did prevent troubles for others.

An exception was forced upon se as I now recall by an earlier adverture you and he shared, is by now you should have learned, even what he alleged about Barbara Reed was not truthful and was deliberately distorted.

With the passing of so much time much of this may also be out of your mind. However, you are no longer a college boy and may have reflected on those propagands exploits. You may also have wondered a hit since about the siridavit you got from Thornley and provided to Garrison based on which if Garrison had one off half-cocked another imposent could have been hurt.

I never represented symple as representing Carrison and never did represent him. We did disagree on very much. On the trip on which I wrote Newcomb I had no portable because mine was broken. On the next trip I had a new one. It was intercepted and wrocked. I then not this Newcomb, which I do not carry with me. After that misfortume I get to know Next Newcomb and borrowed a wrock of a machine from him and used it. Carry Schoener knows of the interception. Hy tape recorder also get the works them. And as I think was obvious, I did not see the mess and did not sign it. I has asked the stope to mail it and she did. I have no idea why she used a letterhead but it was given a false meaning by those who had an end to serve thereby. Now the Fill has put it to the same misses.

I'm not taking time to check my files but I baliave they hold an account of the means my by which you obtained that mean from Fred, sort of unorthodox means. If you would care to put any of that in writing plus any explanation I will file that also, of course.

My purpose is fairness. I don't have time for the other work I want to do and stay much too busy on FOIA work as it is. Excuse the types. Gineerely, Barold Weisberg