## 6/3/71

Dear líre Thompson,
I didn't malcs mysule ulous, fou misumdanstood me or both. Dut thanks fur the eift. Howevar, it is not a uestion of pajing for the tiuse but of Inding it. I've agod incrodtbly in the part 7 years, sieep fourwilve hre a night only, and have five or six books rosearched and ruedy to watte. Plus neny othor tinisse, lilce filluts law suits, contimuins roscarch, helpins other, etc.

You are corect in thinling I do not went POST Mormen liztoct. I simply con't engego In all the pointless corresponience it would leac to

However, it mould be good to list FRNiE-UP, but NOM C.UP.
Tou are in a position where you can make no distinction between nuts and crooks and doople doing real wnik. Marks and Skolniak are both muts and crooles, honce your problems vith thom. Neithar has dram an originel breath, or on this subject, a rationalono.
"Relative" is in the mid. I agree with Penn, but the book by Lutiwick does not addbess tha JVK or ary othar agsassination, from tha reviews. It says hou to take over. "Who's Who in the C.I.A" is crap. I have it.

What you sey of used caneras is comect, but to sell the new one it; is often necessury to trade for the old. That nodeal is scarce.

## Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

