

F B I

Date: 4/16/68

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO: SAC, MEMPHIS (44-1987)

FROM: SAC, DENVER (157-203)

MURKIN

OO: Memphis

Reurairtel 4/12/68.

Denver indices were negative concerning DONAVAN CURTIS as well as CURTIS DONAVAN, mentioned in reairtel.

Denver telephone directories and city directories were also negative.

A call to the Denver telephone operator indicated she has a non-listed number for DON LEX CURTIS, 3040 Hudson Street, Denver, and that number is 355-5646.

Denver indices indicate one DONOVAN L. CURTIS, age 27, had been arrested in Sterling, Colorado, in October, 1967, on [redacted]

Another reference in Denver files indicated that DONOVAN LEON CURTIS, age 30, described as a former barber, had been arrested on [redacted] in Logan County, Colorado, on 10/19/61.

Because of the above-mentioned arrest, records of the Denver Police Department were searched at which time the attached arrest record for DONOVAN LEON CURTIS was obtained. The Denver PD number on this arrest record is [redacted] and the FBI number [redacted].

Enclosed for the information of Memphis is ORA - MEMPHIS photograph of DONOVAN LEON CURTIS, which was taken by the Denver PD on the occasion of his arrest 12/15/62.

2-Memphis (Encis-3)
1-Denver

Approved: JFM:hg Sent _____ M Per _____
(3) Special Agent in Charge

*I told Denver to submit prints for illumination
G. L. R.*

*Photo of Curtis
sent to Denver
per [redacted]*

44-1987-Sub B-13

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED <i>h</i>	FILED <i>h</i>
APR 17 1968	
FBI - MEMPHIS	

Lawrence

DW 157-203

Also enclosed for Memphis is a copy of a Colorado driver's license for DONAVAN LEON CURTIS, 3475 South Pennsylvania Street, Englewood, Colorado. The license contains a photograph of CURTIS and the license was issued on 4/5/67. It is noted the above-mentioned Denver PD record, as well as the above-mentioned Colorado driver's license both contain descriptions of CURTIS.

It is also pointed out for the information of Memphis that the license issued to CURTIS does not indicate he must wear glasses, while driving, yet he is wearing glasses in the photograph. It also appears from observation of the two above-mentioned photographs that CURTIS could possibly be wearing a toupee in each of them.

It is suggested to Memphis that the above-mentioned photographs be displayed immediately by Memphis Agents to Mr. RUSSELL X. THOMPSON for the purpose of ascertaining whether CURTIS could possibly be the individual who contacted Mr. THOMPSON in person in Mr. THOMPSON's office on the morning of 4/11/68, and furnished the information set forth in referenced airtel.

It is noted the description of CURTIS generally fits the description of the individual who contacted Mr. THOMPSON.

Other logical inquiries continue to be conducted by Denver, based on contents of referenced airtel.

Memphis is requested to immediately advise Denver results of above-mentioned display of photographs to Mr. THOMPSON.

It is not necessary to return enclosed photographs to Denver.