

UNIVERSITY OF *Minnesota*

Box 392 Mayo Hospital

MEDICAL SCHOOL  
DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHIATRY AND NEUROLOGY  
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455

January 23, 1968

As for my own work on the autopsy, I have been preparing what I would like to be a definitive analysis of the head wounds. This was originally to be published in Thompson's book as an appendix. Since I was attempting to make it definitive and am not myself a qualified expert on forensic pathology, I was trying to document controversial points with statements made by experts out here. The whole thing bogged down, however, and I wasn't able to meet Thompson's publication deadline. We sort of got out of contact after I gave him a summary of my findings and indicated that it was very unlikely that the throat wound had been caused by a fragment from the head wound--thus damaging his pet theory. He incorporated some of my material into his text and that's the last I heard from him until he sent me a copy of the book with a note reading: "To Gary, in admiration and appreciation." If I had realized that some of his text was going to be as opinionated as it was (in contrast to his "scientific" appendices) I would have helped him put it together. As far as your use of my material, you're welcome to it for whatever help it will be. You could add it to your text at your discretion. (By the way, I think that it would be ridiculous for footnotes any material as having come from me, unless it is material from an interview I conducted in which case it would be good to give a citation for your own protection.) Depending on when you expect to publish, I might have my more definitive analysis prepared which might prove a worthwhile addition to your book.