Dear Mr. Thomas.

The two bo ks you ordered will be mailed today. They go at a slower rate than letter-mail.

Emmarre is the men who goes by the name "Apeburn" in "Frarewll America". Who he really is may be somewhat of a mystery. My own suspicion is that he represents intelligence interests, likely both French and American. however, this is opinion only. I have no proof. I have met him.

When people ask me question, I feel I must give direct answers. You esked my opinion of the published work and I gave it. Among the more recent works is one by Paris Flanmonde. It is outdated where it has what can be credited, in each case this is unoriginal material, much and of it plain stolen, and a large part of the rest is plain take, gotten, I presume, either from Gerrison or representing his interpretation of what Garrison said. It is unfortunately the way I tell you, as few people are in a position to know. Where he has what can be credited, it is too-often not credited to his sources, my writings. Which appeared much earlier, were known to him and his associate, and in infrequent cases, considering the number of times use, were uncredited. In no case did he ask my permission. All the recent work is entirely worshless or worse. I haven't time to go into any of them. Most were sycopantic, pretty obviously.

Richard Sprague is a very sincere man who did much very important work in locating the pictures, many of which are identified in PHOTOGRAPHIC WHITE-WASH. Be began with that, and I directed him to some of these people. Fost he got on his own. But aside from locating the pictures, he is out of contact with reality. His article you cite is a work of fiction, consisting of a blending of his own imaginings and wishes and the most extrema of what he heard Garrison say, with Garrison's crap even what Garrison abandoned still appealing to Sprague. Can you imagine any real confessions? Or "about" isx if there were any at all?

It is unfortunate that this is the way it is, and that the media have an infinite capacity for publicizing the irresponsible and ignoring the solid work.

My purpose was not to knock. You asked for fact, not congenial fiction. I'd prefer to be silent about such matters, formthey are not helpful and not pleasent. They present one of our major problems, how do we overcome what destroys the credibility of everyone?

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

Chris Thomas 7204 A Rossburg Drive College Park, Md.

Harold Weisberg Route 8 Frederick ,Md. 21701

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Enclosed in my check in the amount of \$10.00 for two books which I checked.

I appreciate your fast reply to my orginal letter. However, your letter confused me on several points. My first question is, who is this man named Lemarre? Secondly, you seem to knock certain authors for contributing "irrelevant", "outdated," or "fake" material on Pres. Kennedy's assassination. What in your judgement makes these sources outdated? What authors would you recommend as relevant?

Finally, what do you think of the current articles by Richard Sprague, which appeared in the May, June, and July 1970 issues of Computers & Automation, concerning Kennedy's assassination?

Thank-you for your most kind attention to these matters.

Chris Thomas