

Rt. 8, 21701

11/1/73

Dear Mac,

While I do not know whether the Jaworski nomination will be before your committee, there is what I regard as relevant information I think you should have. I have researched this in the past because it is part of a chapter of a book I've had to lay aside, but a book partly written. I have fairly extensive documentation, all from standard public sources and official documents as well as the results of my own interviews and correspondence with some of the principals.

One of the elements of possible originality, whether or not it is pursued by the special prosecutor, involves the CIA, I think repetitiously and seriously.

Jaworski served on the board of a CIA foundation (Anderson) that was part of those scandals of your years in the House. The easiest way to establish this is in The Espionage Establishment, which does refer to those foundations and scandals. Newspapers, of course, carried much more. I have loaned my files on this out.

I suggest that Jaworski has an irreconcilable conflict here.

The play on the Jaworski nomination does mention that he served on the Texas Board of Inquiry in the JFK assassination. What it does not say is that this alone should disqualify him because that operation was a whitewash, it stifled the little it did, and it permitted itself to be manipulated by the LBJ and Commission forces to where it could do nothing. The record on this is abundant. I have that part of it that has not been memory-holed. Promises were made and broken by Washington, the Texans protested in private and were silent in public. Even the results of simple, non-secret scientific tests, as I recall, were denied them. Without this information, which the FBI seized from the Texans - and take this literally - the Texans could not conduct any real investigation. I could not even get a copy of the final report from the chairman! (also a Democrat, also LBJ's. And, of course, Connally's.)

That file at the Archives has been gutted. I have certification from the Archivist that the copies of which I have the contents from published material are not in these files preserved with such tender care for history.

These Texans, and conspicuously Jaworski, allowed themselves to be conned on the subject that should have led Jaworski to disqualify himself from serving on the Texas investigation. You may remember that the first of the soon-stifled sensational reports about Oswald was that he was a CIA employee. His mother later also made the charge. Yet Jaworski was on the board of this CIA foundation. And more, when the Warren Commission (staff) could no longer sit on this because word of it reached Henry Wade, Dallas D.A., the Texans agreed to go to Washington in secret (I have the telegrams) and then allowed themselves to be conned into believing a stenographic transcript of their executive session was made. It was not. Two other members told me they believed it was. These are Wade, who has been friendly, and Dean Storey, who has seen me on several occasions. Both have opened their files to me. (For your information, this is not the only time that happened. Senator Russell was quite put out when I gave him the evidence of what had been done to him. You may recall the abrupt changes in his friendship with LBJ and his quitting his committee chairmanship that gave him CIA oversight responsibilities.)

So, this diligent investigator, now to be the man entrusted with the investigation of which our national honor if not future rests, accepted all this and more that should have been totally unacceptable and carried the whitewash on in his Texas "report."

Our best,

Harold Weisberg