## 12/27/74

Dear Steve,
Hope we can get together soon.
You'll find, despite the apparent efforts to keep the lid on, that the CIA has not been enjsiged in proscribed (for it) donestic intelligence either on anti-war kids or those suspected of foreign contacts 3lone.

With me it is beither. And about neithor.
I fruess I'n tow squate. Ifon't believe in overthrowing foreign governments, Gilkar.

Nor can I think of a single case where we or snyone clso whe any butter off for it.

I an not against a decent intelligence system. Were I, I'd not, have been in any, formally (ours) or informally (yours).

But by their very nature thoy are a threat to freodum. Tho rore dadieateri the spooks, the greater the danger.
Beat regards,

#  <br> AND <br>  <br> LONDON <br> JČgor NATIONAL PRESS BUILDING <br> WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004 

```
Harold Weisberg, Esq.
Route 8
Frederick, Maryland 21701
```

Dear Harold,
Thank you for your letter of December 22. I enclose herewith a cheque for your last two books, Frame-Up and Whitewash IV, and will be grateful if you will send copies off to ine here at the office.

I shall be away for a couple of weeks, in fact, but be in touch when I get back.

Frankly, I personally am not terribly impressed about 211 this rumpus over the CIA's keeping files on 10,000 -odd people inside America. I an surprised it's that petty particularly when you bear in mind that the period Sy Hersh was dealing with was one marked by a good deal of militancy. I remember interviewing a bunch of fellows at Cambridge, Massachusetts, for example, who had taken it upon themselves as a matter of patriotic duty to soy on military movements and denounce them to the press - and also, of course, the interested enemy in Vietnam. It always struck me that whatever one might have thought about the war (and I thought it ridiculous), voluntary espionage of this sort nas unlikely to be condoned in any other society.


Stevhen Barber

