Dear Ed ,

## 9/26/94

Thanks for the two ${ }^{\prime}$ ourth ${ }^{D}$ cade reviews. Dave Keck thought herd sent them to me but ho didn't.

With regard to your letter, I kNOW nothing about Maggie. Never heard of her. spa i hope your health is fine again.
I du not know DeVries. ${ }^{H}$ e has his owl y agenda and resentments and he is entitled to 'them. But he is also remarkably insensitive. When only about a fourth or a fifth of What ${ }^{\perp}$ wrote was published, and he knows that, what basis can he have for complaining about :hat ${ }_{=}^{\perp}$ anitited and he says did not write about? As it happens, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V} V r i e s ~ i s ~ i n c o r r e c t . ~}^{\text {in }}$. And ho did not ask me. Never spoke to me. As before writing what he did, by his owl standard ho should have.

What he says on page 23 about my telling someone he doeshot identify that ease Open , was half dictated is without any basis at all. I dictated nothing and never have. a do dud. Eve

What I sent and they liked was the unretyped first draft. They were to have edited it. 'hoys didst. Then lut-libte butchers'

It happens that I've gotten more than 200 letters from total strangers aft er they rend $C_{\text {ass }}$ Open. Hot one even hints at a single criticism DeCries assumes they will all have. ith the except of one from Jim Monroe , who I think will not write me again $\mathcal{W}$, they are all glowing praises.

I four that omniscient $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{D}}$ eVries is lacking in understanding in what he says ${ }^{\perp}$ said about Firn $\bar{D}_{\text {avid }} L_{u i}$ and Rosemark $W_{\mathrm{N}}$ illus. Or pehaps ge was self-compelled to stretch to justify a preconcpetion, but I neither said not implied that he says.

Footnotes are a physical impssibi隹ty and a mask for often *isgonest writing. Did I five the sources in the text, which I have always done? Of course I did. So why the ayfificiality of so many young fogies?

Kroime full well that $75-30,{ }^{\prime}$ of what I Wrote was cut out he criticizies me for roforvine to those transp as winos. I suppose he is one of those who stupidly hung themsolves up on that fiction. Well, I had two of the best sources and went into that and in 1968 I forced an FBI investigation the result of which $\perp$ have-and cited.

I don ft mind any of it. But the $\bar{H}$ eVrieses ought recognize the hazard of throwing os atones from glass houses.

Hinny thanks and best wishes,


HAROLD WEISBERG
7627 OLD RECEIVER RD
$9 / 24 / 94$

FREDERICK, MARYLAND 21702
DEAR HAROLD,
ENCLOSED ARE TWO REVIEWS OF
CASE OPEN. I DO MOT KNOW EITHER WRITER,
BUT THEIR ADDRESSES ARE INCLUDED.
I HAVE ONE QUESTION FOR YOU (SASE ENCLOSED). I WAS RECENTLY REREADING LETTERS WRITTEN TO ME BY RITA MUSGROVE AND IN ONE OF THEM SHE MEHTIONS A CLOSE FRIEND OF HERS NAMED MAGGIE, (WHO AT THAT THE WAS SISITNG RITA FROM ALASKA). $D O$ YOU KNOW MAGGIE'S LAST NAME AMD HER CURRENT ADDRESS OR PHONE HUMBER? IT WAS JUST A THOUGHT. I STILL MISS RITA ArES IT MIGHT BE NICE TO TOUCH BASE WITH ONE OF HER FRIEHDS.

BEST WISHES,
Ed $\frac{1}{a t o}$
EDGAR F. TATRO
51 EDGEMONT RD.
BRAIAPTREE, MA 02184

$$
617-848-5282
$$

