Ms. Madelyn Johnson (Attn. Ms. Kornblut) FOI/PA Office Civil Division Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Ms. Johnson,

Your letter of August 29 refers to your inability to locate any records pertaining to a JFK assessmention task force and the fact that no records referring to it were disclosed to me by the Civil Division. You ask for copies and also make seemingly reasonable presumptions I address below.

It is not now possible for me to make the search necessary to locate what I have alcoady sent to the Department. I have medical and resulting physical problems. But within the Department the appeals office and probably Me. Phyllis Hubbell there can provide you with copies and with an account of the searching they did after receipt of my appeal. If they cannot please let me know and I will make the search and send you a copy or copies.

Mr. Shed failed in his search for the reason indicated in your letter, he segarded the "task force," the Department's words, as a formal structure. It was not. It was, rather, informal and entirely unpublished. I know about it contemporaneously but I then had no proof that it was regarded as a task force or called that.

Toward the end of 1968 and in early 1969, then Jim Garrrison was District Attorney in New Orleans, he filed suit in Washington, D.C., for certain records and other things for use in his case, <u>levisions v. Clay Shaw</u>. Prior to the hearing before then Judge Charles Halleck, there was a certain amount of dissuphentment within the Civil Division. As best I now remember what then came to my attention from the Civil Division side, this centered around the existing records on the autopsy and the report of a panel of experts who had been convoked in secret and had prepared a report that had been kept secret by the Department, which convoked the panel. Please believe me when I say that no competent larger who is impartial can read and analyse that panel report without the deepest concern and apprehensions. I would prefer not to be more specific in identifying my source, but believe me, it was an "inside" source, in a posit on to know. One think that I seemader is a Department expedition to the office of the Maryland State Medical Exemper. Dr. Fisher, who had been panel chairman. As I recall, this was on a weekend. He more or less shipped the doubters into ranks again.

Carl Eardley handled that matter, assisted by Irving Jaffe and others whose names I do not now recall. (They shared offices.) Maybe David Anderson was involved. I think he should be acked. I think a secretary was named Mrs. Cavacdni.

Defense of the Carrison suit was only one function of the task force, but those

not inconsiderable efforts were, clearly, Civil Division functions. The records of the cited litigation should provide more leads.

I think another lawyer involveds was Joseph Hannon.

Mr. Shea was looking for a formal structure and a formal report, although I told him I did not expect there was either. If he looked for what did not exist, naturally, he would not find it.

This was an informal unit, of members from different components, whose function was to defend the official conclusions about the assessination.

In his writing after he left the White House, John P. Roche, who had been LEU's intellectual in residence, took credit for some if not all of these functions being assigned to the Department.

I have read a great number of pages of pertinent Department records and I assure you that there never was any consideration of anything asked, stated or suggested by any concerned citizen or Kember of the Congress. There was boilerplated non-response, with responsibility for making it at various time divided between and shifted between various components.

One of the problems today is this history, of inflatibility and total refusel to consider enything other than the official "line."

These are records of considerable historical interest and importance now. Perhaps there is now no emberrasement over the manner in which the function was handled. The function, it is clear, was defending this official line.

I do not mean that no such attitude exists today, for it does, but not with the identical information.

all of the records generated are not in the records I have been provided. It appears to be probable, I think, that where they were filed they were filed under a different subject and that the members of this informal group kept additional copies, more or less as ticklers, in anticipation of future needs. Even after the retirement of Mr. Eardley and others the needs continued, for the official account of the crime still is not credited by very many concerned people, without any sumifestation of any official willingness to consider any of the substantial information that was always available to officialdom.

I'd appreciate it if you could make an additional search after making inquiries of those who might have some knowledge and might not be unwilling for the records to be disclosed.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg



MJ:JK:mwb 236380-4-1

Washington, D.C. 20530

AUG 29 1981

Mr. Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Road Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

We have not been able to locate any Civil Division documents reflecting the existence of a Department task force set up, as you suggest in your May 26, 1981 letter to us, to handle problems concerning President Kennedy's assassination. In your letter you further stated that the existence of this task force was disclosed in records you obtained pursuant to your May 21, 1977 Freedom of Information Act request. To our knowledge, the Civil Division of the Department of Justice did not release these records to you. If you could provide us with copies of these records, it might give a clue of where we might look. Also, merely because Civil Division personnel may have, as you believe, been part of this task force, does not mean that Civil Division records were ever created concerning its activities. Moreover, officials of the Civil Division either take with them or otherwise dispose of their personal records upon leaving the Department. Members of a Department task force act under supervision of a specific office and it is this office which would logically maintain records, if in fact created. You should direct your request to this office originating the records.

We are sorry we are unable to be of more help, but as mentioned, you may be able to provide us with additional information from your files which would facilitate our search.

Very truly yours

MADELYN JOHNSON Attorney In Charge

FOI/PA Office, Civil Division