

R.J. Tindle
8815 W. 121st Terrace, #2103
Overland Park, KS 66213

11/3/95

Dear Mr. Tindle,

From all I've heard Steve Tilley is a conscientious fellow who tries to be helpful but he is handicapped by being new to the JFK assassination archive. I think he made an honest mistake in missing FBI Exhibit 60 possibly because he does not know what that is.


I think you can eliminate that problem by referring to it as part of Commission Document 1. In which it is FBI Exhibit 60.

He had it confused with a Commission exhibit.

He has another problem: they have computerized it all with a completely new set of identifications.

This is the system they now use.

Sincerely,


Harold Weisberg

October 31, 1995

Mr. Harold Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Rd.
Frederick, MD 21702

Dear Mr. Weisberg,

Would you please answer one question for me?

I am currently involved in an ongoing disagreement with the National Archives concerning a photograph of FBI exhibit #60. I am insisting that FBI exhibit #60 is as published in Post Mortem on page 597, as enclosed. Mr. Steven Tilley of the National Archives is contending that exhibit #60 is a picture postcard from the possessions of Lee Harvey Oswald.


Do you know if this exhibit is now designated by another I.D. number?

Thank you for your help!

Sincerely,



Robert Tindle
8815 W. 121st Terr. #2103
Overland Park, KS 66213
(913) 339-6345



This is FBI Exhibit 60, which the Commission did not dare print because it contains evidence destructive of its conclusions and its and the FBI's integrity. It is described in Chapter 30, beginning on p.328. The enlargement of the bullet hole in the back of the shirt was printed by the FBI upside down. The actual hole coincides exactly with the real location of this wound, which was lied about. The FBI even twisted the tie to make it appear that there was a hole in the center. Actually, this small nick was made with a scalpel and was on the very edge of the knot. It was enlarged with removal of a sample for scientific testing. The tests were suppressed because they proved no bullet hit the tie or shirt front. This combination of suppressed pictures alone proves that the President was not hit in the back of the neck but in the back and that the bullet hole in the front of his neck was above the shirt. Either is total disproof of the entire "solution."