A simply enormous amountfor my time has becin wasted in recent years because I undertook to answer all the many questions asked by the many serious-minded people like you who were corrupted in your thinkinc by the multitude of books that theorize conspiracies they allege solved that case. Clit are beef.

But it has come to the point where I cannot take that amount of time, on the phone or Insriting, and be able to do anything else at all.

The crime itself was never obficially investigated, wasn't intended to be, and there thus are no leads to be followed.
ilot thit the mixed bag of would-be Perry Masons who have ripped offifthe collective mind while ripyinc; off the purses bas capable of llooving any lead-other thad in whts the questif for public attention and dollars.

Harly Hivinsgt one is only the most recent illustration of this. He has been able to, if he gave a damn about fact, examine, hel the quarter of a million pages of once-secret recordd and he hasn $t$ cared to. Hot a word he wrote can be believed without solid confirmation other than in his gin books becayse what he says confirms does not need to and need not be and usually isnift relevant.

There was a conspiracy but not a single one of the cpnspiracy-theory books proves it with the available official fact. I refuse to conjecture, infucluding on how many shooters there were, etc. This anontios inyeitably risks misleadin oftheople and $I{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}$ t do that。
lieminger and Donahue, for whom he itrote the book, are truly evil people. I showed Donahue in ade nce where the photographic disprowf obf his zany theory was, he ignored it, unet ahead to promote himself and get $3 \%$, and lied about me in his book. I never approached the Univ of IN, it nover approsched me, and I always had the arrangements I vanted for the archive I leave. They just plain plied about that as they did about /alœeady ill Hickey.

All. of Liflon'd book thet is not his impossible theory, all the work for which he takes credit, पas publishew yoars eqrlier. He knev his theory was impossible but the lur.e of fame and fortune was too much for him.
JFK did have Addison ${ }^{1}$ s dosease, itfas under control and no real problem and ithasn't even


Garrison, alas was phony and I'm/achamed it took me to long to almit it to myself. He made it up as he went, what he didn't steal and give his own wist to. Gredt tragedy, that cifted man. Harchetti's word is not dependable. He has contrdicted himself too often and bo has used undependable sources.


Near ins Weidey,
Plase let me intactue myolf; my name is Goseph auphager. I hit in Levizport, Reas Yave, a suburb of Syocuser. I an manal with 3 chiliden and ore gamalinel. I am 43 yens ed, a Vistran veteren and have warked in the treptine indistay for 20 yense $D$ wis 14 yens old at the teme of the JFK assassinntion. \& am a stublet of bisiang and consilus that crumi to have chaneed the course of hastang. O have lony been intrugued by tho case and recent wents have sewved to reebille interst in it. \& have read severel of the moce veast bovk on the assassician a.d hive sean the dommentones that you appeared in ("Arrertengtuse Aeports", etc.)
o am cuncrity readung "High Neason 2"
in which, aporit from thenentum of "bock of the hesd" apposouinatity 1000 times, sone interesting questions we rised.

Bung an anntein reseanher, but hoving a lot of histand knowlely of the cose, $g$ viaied like to ask your apinion of some questions rased in move vent years. ${ }^{2}$ understand from sporehery to

are hoveng some heatts, problion, so of yas ane (2) unoble to help, $\&$ understinal,

Iist, let me say that nay opiesin is
that theo was and contenved to be (and coithous)
a. Conspensing in the JFK masden as well as Kingy aid RFK Waterget, ict. \& blenv there were at least 2 shoteno in Neally Ploza and that attrough the inafia (an mob) misy have provibed tehnical assistance, the cume and the conternal caven-us had to be camed out at the hiphest pevels of the youermext. I deo belave thist Onophy qustons for you wes:
Shooters -1) Ahey may have been professinid CIA assossins and/or cubone. What do you thene of Stere Civelle' repost aboxt Corscion Sitmen Livil by mavall/ M Mofficancte virsus Tenic ollegedly mesteny Cuban fillens?
2) Gardan arrald' incounter with "bolge conen" at Enassy Poshl, Nid amyone ever aske hem to desecite $D_{2}$ mas or his vocie on show him a pecture of Deveen soct?
3) Di vory ind of the zapuiler film, follows the limessure inte the avegrass. Doesit the show the woolen frice ovea of Lerassy Fnoll where shota sarposedely wos.a?
4) What is youn apenion of Bonar Knemings boke "montat Enos" stating that Leent Lewas agest Itckey
frael the piesuat lit had shot. (This bove assumes, haweven, that Aswill was the only shooter.) Melicat evidice 1) Dovid Difton thery of surgery done to Prasits tatween departure of boly fiom, vollho and ansisit at Bethat C"ain qaice majoi" bosly not logged in, secand caset, te.) ds attentem such as this possible, so ns mot to be ditetitle?
2) Would exchumatem of JFK body sobe anytewa pere oll this trie? Hownson Divingtone nosises the question of who is sally in JFK's geave. Rennedy family wauld strongly appose, but, if an invistijatur into JFK musder warrasted eshainamern, coull begot curvimstances avervile family and force exhumater? ds it posseble JFk umais wrere switinel with an bured as "Ain tasce mogos" Ant was apposed to be burid w' arbingter Saturdes $11 / 23 / 63$ ?
3) Did JFK have Adisa's an Pott' duseze? Woidd he rably have rat leved through suond term?

$$
(\text { COITMDEO })
$$

Qswall Could DNA torang conkin idictaty
of Osvial fron tosine samples of 1981 expmomatan? Tunest divictor nital no sign of cranistany at 1981 pathelogy session and possibllty was rasill Hot heol was sistcted to moth dental recond, ste. Susin totaje tednolgi, coull DNX tating happ? Wher is a thoug that the Oswiel teat came bouk fran USSR was a boiest agent, bint that waill only matter if ho vally hed hel the prisit.
Conspusiy theneis

1) Lamon probe-Cly Shew, Iervie, ete.

Was Car this in all probotrity a compprite?
2) Jouph mietten - How wall le hase verens to uf vegardery pist angest JFK to the plowe in alago mame dolk?
3) Vicom Touctiti supposellly suil that CIA was contenpstheing "hmitat hangout" and adiutal
of wand reslly like to linas yoar theniltu on asme an all of tiese quatero. If withing is too stresful for yar, you may call ma collet at 315/457-1162 evenings. $\&$ waill welome the apporturity to sperat with you.
of would als the to arber youn book Post-matemin, Youn wifle asil the prise wauld be 12,50 postpaid.

Whank yau very moch for yaur time. I hape gou will \& hetel soon and - look forwesl to leasing fion yas

Yous tivily,
Gosegh TI Euphom

