

Dear Ed,

11/14/80

Thanks for your letter of the 11th and the enclosures.

In the spectro suit we have just filed a Motion to Compel, to comp~~el~~ the FBI to provide copies of records required to be produced under discovery. As usual, they stone-wall. Pictures of all the specimens are included in the discovery material not provided.

The inconsistent descriptions of the Connally fragments can be important in this litigation and what it can mean. I am also aware of what the nurse said. I think the stories are already in the case record. So pictures, especially if they are official, can add much and become dramatic. (Can't use slides in court records because duplication is required.)

While the published version of CE 842 is described in the table of contents as a fragment, the exhibit envelope uses the plural, fragments.

I presume that the Nichols photo is an Archives photo. Do you have a black and white print of it?

Isn't Thompson's also an Archives photo?

There is also the HSCA description, which I suppose I've already filed for use in the litigation, but don't remember.

For the litigation it is important to establish exactly how many ~~fragments~~ <sup>fragments</sup> there were and whether each was tested. I'm to get the results of all tests.

I think that I now have enough on weights of 399 to make some problems for them.

In this connection, is not the weight given in the records you include lower than what the FBI says they averaged? Of course my memory could be wrong.

Guinn is inconclusive but it is interesting that he knew as much as he did because all the FBI records we have indicate they wanted to freeze him out. His comment indicates he was reaching to agree with the official preconception.

Thanks for all the trouble. Means more to me now that I am able to do so much less.

No change in the health situation, no worse, not measurably better, although this past Wednesday the doctor said I'm coming along OK.

Best wishes