


Blame

W = Women F = FBI

C = Communist etc

①



O = Omission

FOOD, FINE, INDUSTRIAL, AND PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMICALS

Torn Herbert Fa - Fabricated

P - prejudicial formulations

E - factual error

Pl - question of plagiarism

Pg 11 q - Ask Rhoads why I got no such CIA explanation

N = about noanko

Pg 11 - note handling, i.e., no sig. to 6/24 memo & on-pa acceptance memo of necessity. This begins as a virtuoso display of ignorance or deliberate mis-handling. Note no comment on CIA's "explanation" - then who in what part CIA

Pg 11 ? = why does he not say CIA gave WC nothing from noanko

Pg 12 - check omission of 6/24 memo text. This suspicion correct. One omission is that in hunting LHO ~~was~~ never got his own game. This is a deliberate, significant & honest omission aimed at least at making LHO seem capable of being hard as adversary

2)

TILLEY Chemical Company

FOOD, FINE, INDUSTRIAL, AND PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMICALS

12 Janne comment on Oswald as anti-USSR and as "sleep agent"

12 omits that 6/24 is why Marko Spum in ed files like agencies likely occurs the FBI of withholding what it above gave WC + CIA above withheld

The point at PEO is prejudicial erroneous + deceptive. What about what is not withheld now + why - what it does say that he does not - even on this question alone. Here it is not the NY but CIA that "withheld"

The number of who alleged to be all still withheld is a prejudicial formulation, anti-FBI. It provides most of the Commission's reports the CIA least, so for the CIA to be withholding about 20% is a fantastically high % - and it is not the Arch's doing

Note - Perutz with checking - connections
To who did I give Opies Excess

13 Does he ever say McCloy agreed to front it? What he here says he opposes? The Clay/Intel. connections
Some of this is in ag Co speech of which went at least to Bentham (used by two editors, discussed at work conference + last for several years) Hecti, late from Schmidt. Some in Meagher's article - ReTCL

(301) 355-6565

Baltimore, Maryland 21226

3) note - does he ever say Tavoriski CIA & Grey catel-
11/9/61 - Will FBI investigate? NO

(14)

TILLEY Chemical Company

FOOD, FINE, INDUSTRIAL, AND PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMICALS

X - A real question that in this "groundy" is with the Commission not yet organized how could it hope to do a real investigation it had not begun + write, agree upon + publish a definitive report in as little as less than 5 months at most 6?

Y - This is a very special formulation that protects the CIA or at least a wing of it + is prejudicial to Dulles by the examples cited. What is per se wrong with getting their help or analysis of or comment on FBI reports?

Z - 10 - The summary of what Dulles actually said is clearly pro CIA. It is not what Dulles did say.

Ad 2 - unfair to Warren in singling CIA out + is apparently intended to blame him in part of what went on little from CIA no staff yet, not organized

E - FBI had it - Some times saying it for all practical purposes is all CD1 - Why did it require "any security at all" & why is not letter with it or word did he not ask? Why assume only books? Prejudicial to FBI

CIA? - Several quite disclosing that McCloy not Dulles is the CIA paper line or at the least he is in direct contact with it. The actual transcripts show more. There is no reason for inclusion only as deliberate favoritism toward CIA by Szulc He selects CIA erroneous (partly) info to show how corrupt it is but has yet to say the FBI gave anything of value. The first CD1, was of enormous size

TILLEY Chemical Company

FOOD, FINE, INDUSTRIAL, AND PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMICALS

- The EP are factual error + the P a special prejudicial anti-LHO formulation. There was much wrong with CDI. This handling will however be unfair if he omits the same about the others, esp CIA. It will be singling out the FBI only when the same was true of all.
- 15 Col 2 - This is +ve, fair + understated criticism FBI. The test of TS's honesty is if he says any more and what + if he includes sum. The justified criticism of the CIA which has appeared in publications for which he writes C - also fair but the test will be does he include published disproof of conclusions on this part of case.
- 15/16 - This is window dressing, a pseudo-criticism of the CIA. Who could not have assumed CIA interest in a defect? Does it tend to suggest it had not connection with LHO? It winds up for CIA on nothing + with an incorrect error in the quote that tends to say LHO was KGB not CIA. He got small, ordinary, usual, minimal sum, not large one where he brings Rankin in with a limited description of his role. He also fails to point out what Rankin was doing in what he does quote; stalling them from going to Texas.
- 16/17 - Proj. as FBI not factually correct. Thru. question is of selection, emphasis + omissions re CIA, SS, etc. TS says FBI only. The quote says "agencies"
- 17 - He writes of what only much work, reading the unredacted work of others or an informant person told him - of what is not in these TS's. He writes no more.
- Col 2 - would it be worth checking the transcript of the article "Seed of Doubt" He uses it only to plug. N.R.
- 18 - Selection again to make CIA look good + LHO as USSR. ept. FBI neither found nor suppressed diary.

5)

TILLEY Chemical Company

FOOD, FINE, INDUSTRIAL, AND PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMICALS

25 - Intro to the transcript indicative of unreg-
ular work. I do not think the quotation from
Marion Johnson is accurate. The "minutes" were
never "discovered" It is the stenographer's tape. Had
TS done any real work he'd have learned where
& when & why the transcription was made. He
cannot not know how it came out.

Car did not "reopen" the question and it was not in
9/75. It was first in WVI - 3 syndicates with
major play - & in 4/75 by reselecting the text. But
if it is to be dated 9/75, as TS knows Car was
only reacting to recent stories - defensively, perhaps
"concessions" and additions to the actual transcript all
error & exaggeration on the work TS did himself

27 - What TS omits is the "none of our business" part. This
omission is inconsistent with his thrust, he did not
even know what was meant by "experiments" report.

27-8 Same flaws, omission in intro of 27 transcript & same
errors (flawed) in "explaining"

29 - Ignores significance of Rankin arguing (successfully) with
Wagon on investigating it.

Col 2 - check omission to see if it covers CIA

30 Col 1 - ditto
note what he does not interpret. Could not omit.
32 - could he have done more to excuse CIA? Was mail only activity?
What led to the Rockefeller Commission? In doing as he does he
has said LHO was not & would not have been CIA

6 M



FOOD, FINE, INDUSTRIAL, AND PHARMACEUTICAL CHEMICALS

34X- Sup LHO assassin

~~XX~~ ~~Shut out Walker~~
340 in my opinion ref to autopsy case & include all this
stuff on hate groups & USSR?

Does he ever mention CIA & their reports, wrong pay?
(One of the stuff - Moskowitz papers)

40 check omission on autopsy per etc.
note on 4-2 designed to make work
appear as unreviewed & S. but actually is full
of error

43 ff - slunks - junk he pretends is new +
his done - second largest expense? and act
to make LHO appear guilty.
TS buy these crazy slunks, as on 45