$=$ Ht. B, Frederick, Hid. 21701
12/24/73
Dear ${ }^{2 n a c}$,
This tus to give you a reading on how honest the Post Uffice Deparitarat is with you. It is not to ank anythine of aux you ank with all the things that can bo done with time, I have no interest in boing vindictive.

About a year ato I quke you to ask the Fost orijee why its inspector's ofizee was not replying to letors ank why it had done nothing about mint it deseribed to we as a clear milil samd casc. It told you that it had made an inveatigation and that the facts then ascertained showed thow was no case. You sent mo a copy of the 2 , tore and $\bar{a}$ told you it was itise wh that I had und hat sivon the Post vfice the proof that it was false.

The frad is so elear that on ny own i have obtajned a setricment oi 100 , oi what I had bofowe then clatmed. Shis iss les: than 2 was defrauded out of and couid have ciatred in court. But 100, settlements, phus accurulateri interest, are not all that comono phey
 amount is large onough to maise numbucemvosance an unjikely possibilityo it ia 05,500 o While I don't suppose it will ever sorve any purposes. I will moke a copy of the check this momine before I apply all of it to our debto

My own boifer is thet this is anothor evidence of what you told me back in 1965 , when you tried to help no get my dirst book publishod. I did not then believe thene could be these leinds of feceral intrusions into my life and work. I now on without doubt thut you were richt. I welieve thint once thes metter got out of the hande of the inspector to whom I spoke the mame thing became oparative. And that iss why there was no intereat in pursuing overt wai mopotitive fand, by mail.

Since 1965 thare has been an acoumation of proof of thege intastions. you hay be interester in some that I have solidyy and somo I havo reason to vejjeve, aside from intrusions into ny waill which are not easily explained anc are numerous.

Ay public spporances have been the subject wif survoilisnee by a federam ageney using a comencial serviee frou thich it pretand: to cut itsole off with a front. I hive carbou copisa of the trunserijits, billus rencorod, checks in puyment aus a firetperson conession, tin writine fron one who was jert of it and whet abritis crion ants to a comesizion iron the nan in oharse。 (His adied conent was that I have tho ali-tine track recoril for interest in tha field in which I have woriced an these yembo)
 agency Wy wowone I lmen as a looab-polioe informint thot is so porsuasive ny phone
 I was toid whit phones 2. used.

There are indications of shadowing that are jess timen proofo for oxamie, a federei
 I wes then in Bud's office, but he wes not thene and I hac not gone to soe hit, we wy
 Whtergatmontore PW reportss I hat for hen ane for the Jost.

Going back to your insigitt on 1965 and federal inverference in uy erforits to get that




 official sivestiantions all of whith somehow, have been able to avoid the unavoidable. I


Good speech saying authoritarianisia isn't exactly new. Our best to you all.

