

Dear Dave,

4/17/94

How if it were a sunny day rather than perhaps presaging a storm this might be better typing because we lost the current, midday, about 10-15 minutes ago just as I was about to come to write this and 'til to copy what I'll enclose. She offered to do that because her eyes are not functioning well. The alternative to a storm is an accident. Fortunately the windows are large.

Perhaps without point I made no significant mention of the enclosed letter to the FBI when we spoke because there are several indications of some bugging here that of course can have innocent explanations of which I am not aware.

Some time ago I noticed that the channel on my office phone had been switched. I not only did not do that, I do not know how to do it. Then 'til told me that the TV had been twisted away from her chair when I'd had it twisted as much as was safe toward her chair. And the night before last, with some strange shifting in the Orioles TV telecasts to minor stations without as good a signal, I sought to improve her reception by using the tuning device on our high aerial. Only all the slack in that cable was gone. Clearly that must be because it is bound on something, most likely by the changed position of the set. Neither of us moved it. I have not looked to see if I can detect any other indications of some bugging because I believe that unless it is a real amateur job it would not be detected. And this does not have to mean that there was an intrusion for placing bugs. But these are things we did not do. Yet there can possibly be innocent explanations that do not come to mind.

I'm sending a copy of these letters to Conyers at his committee office. I do not assume that he will either get them or if he does do anything. But I believe the effort is indicated. I'll give Jim his when he is here day after tomorrow

Although it is not in his area, with Gardner on leave to do a book I'll send a set to Jeffrey Frank on Outlook.

Jim has had nothing to say since he got my letter of 3/25. I'll see if he has any idea Tuesday. Too bad he did not see the possibilities when I tried to call them to his attention. Perhaps he knows someone on Conyers' committee staff.

As I told you when I've had the time lately I've been reading and correcting what I wrote long ago on Inside the JFK Assassination Industry, currently in the Livingstone part. In going over only what I'd written before Posner's book was out I'm surprised all over again that it <sup>was</sup> considered publishable. I'd ridiculed him a bit and know that more is coming but there is so much that should have made the reader, if they had one, and the editor wonder, it is not easy to believe that they believed they could make enough from such awful drivel to go ahead with it. From the time I got a few comments from friends, some of whom sent me xeroxes of what he'd said about me, when the book was first out until now I can't remember a single comment on it or question about it. I think that reflects a lack of interest and poor sales. The same is largely true of Posner's book. Current back so back to the Baltimore. Best, .

Herold