Autopsy notes Rifle, tests, etc.

1/10/69 H Heisberg

Today the resignation of Admiral George Burkley as White House physician was announced. His successor will not have nearly the interast in sitting on this stuff Burkley had, though without doubt he will want to. I sug act he be included among thes subpaneed because I do have receipte showing copies of the notes mere in that office. Admiral Burkley got them from Admiral Calloway (right) head of Bathesde Navy Medical Center (not proper name). He gave them to Robert Imman Bouck, then head of Protective Hesserch Service, Escret Service, Thite House.

The Secret Service should be suppeened because

They were the first to have these notes, should have copies of all receipts and probably holograph copies other records, and they have or had a copy of the autopuy, one of the originals I forced out of their hands and into k the archives (in Xerox); because the pictures and Xeroys mere actually, physically in their possession (a friend almost see them in late 1966); because Inspector Kolley did show some of the pictures to Arlan Specter in Dellag-maybe subpend them for copies pictures?;

The FBI should be subpensed because they had actual physical possession of all of the original ovidence. Citation, Fankin staff memoradum in ap possession. They gave the Archives (them they gave, that is, which is not always) zerozes.

Rankin's exact words are, "The originals of all Commission exhibits are to be kept in the custody of the FBT". If I ever get the rest of my files reorgenized, I even have the memor on how many of what kinds of copies the FBI was to supply the Commission for such of its needs. No, that is in this same ware memor. The date of the mano is March 10, 1964.

Besides that, Ho ver testified the PHI would always keep this on open esse, therefore he has to have a copy in his investigative files. I think I gits this testimony at the and of "HITEWACH II.

I think that for the record it might not be a bad idea to serve the Archives, for they are supposed to have these notes, by Homes' own testiony to which I have already referred you, in File 371 and Exhibit 2 397, but my own check, confirmed by the Archivist, is that they are not there or elsewisers in the Archives, to the best of his knowledge.

on the rifle (I didn't write on entire book about that so my memory is less cartain, I think that you should subpens the original tests at Aberdeen roving Grounds from the Army and FBI; that you should ask/subpens if necessary the National Rifle Aganciation for the results of their tasting and the FRI/Archives for their copies, that this should call for each varsion, all targets, timings, reports on and descriptions of my malfunctions; ratings of markamen; work done on rifle; condition at time it got (Abardeen had to gut shims under the sight to make it works as I show in WHITEWASH). I connot tell you how I know, but I know the MRA tests proved the story was a beer, that it could not have been done by one usn, etc., and that they were terrified at what they had to cope with. The Abordson tests along prove that the best shots in the wold couldn't duplicate the shooting attributed to Osweld, and this with infinitely better conditions, half the negle to the shot, all the time in the world for the first shot, still targets, and an overhauled rifle. If Colonal Folson is still around, you m sht want to subsens him from the Marine Corps to testify that with Cawald's rating he was "s rather poor shot". If your want to have some fun with CBS, subpens their also phoney and also much easier re-enactment. In about half the cases the rifle didn't work, and leaving this out they couldn't duplicate, either.