Yesterday Bud gave me a copy of CD 834, who GAI-FBI and Cawald, R and Post R, and a copy of a staff memo headed "Questions for Federal Bureau of Investigation", eith a carbon of Rankin's 3/26/64 letter to hoover, dwafted by Stern and Willens. This memo is of five pages. As yet I have not read it.

The CDE34 is Conver's letter of 5/4/64 to Rankin, listing the content of the FBI swald file. It is nine pages. If you do not have it, I'll copy for you. This is Bud's copy of a xerox. It is not a xerox, so he has the original to him or returned a borrowed copy.

It is his belief this inventory of 69 items reflects more FBI and other government interest in Oswald than the "omalission represents. I agree, after a too-heaty reading, however, I've been on this all day, have to leave soon, and want to mail what I've already done, so I'll be brief. I have the accuration of the NY trip to clean up also.

If you want a copy from me, this is in my "Agent Cawald" file. A few things attracte my attention because of their formulation, Example, Item 3, the FBI's military-service fingerprint card merely "appeared to relate to Oswald". One thinks fingerprints ar more positive. (Any marginal markings, if you ask me for a copy, are mine.)

They got the Paris "Legal Attache" (i.e. FBI agent in residence) involved. One of the strenge things he did is inform one of his sources "as to the present status of Lea Hervey Cswald" on July 23, 1961. hose business abroad? (Item 24)

There is no reference to any debrueys report, or one by quigley, and there are more by Fain than I remember.

It confirms my charge (WWII, Scehehemezada) that Hosty did more investigating than he/ ThI tola Commission, as Marina had claimed. Thy did they hide this 10/30/63 Hosty report?

The New Orleans arrest is in seweral items, and the FBI did investigate it, per se, with letter NO office reporting on 8/21/65. Domwe have: Item 54 discloses special interest in Lamont pamphlet. Item 61 is a cablegram of 10/38 giving the name of the Fausian official he saw. This, to me indicates he was talking to people and they know who. Item 65 shows that before 11/5 their investigation had extended to Robert and Little Rock and included even his change of address. Interestingly enough, the last Hosty-Paine contact is omitted. This ends with only two 11/19 items after the the report on the 11/1 Faine contact, which is at least incomplete.

The Bankin letts says one of the more important things the Commission is doing is consider "the extent to which various federal law enforcement agencies knew of the activities of Les Harvey Oswald before the assassination..." The appended questions include some good ones real answers to which I do not recall seeing. In it, Dallas informant T-2 could be the FBI files. It fails to ask for fuigley's report on his interview. Stuckey gave a broadcastage 8/22, so it was on file before the assassination. They wants to know the FBI evaluation of the request for the quigley interview. Question 21 I like: "Why was this information furnished (No FBI by Ident Div) under FBI number 327 925 D, and not under Oswald's FBI Bureau File Number, which is 105-82555?" Also 23, why didn't the FBI ask the CIA for more when it learned IHO had been to UCCR Emb? 26, on the three Hosty invs. (Repirt says two) asks why three times in 8 days, and did they follow the Paine lead on employment? (They didn t, which indicates the visits had other purposes.) 29 asks about the two "known subsersive agents" IHO contected in the 15 days before ass. 30mis about the Gemberling omissions )279-83, report 2/11/64).