Attorney General John Mitchell Department of Justice Vachington, D.C.

Deer Mr. Mitchell.

After I twice wrote you beginning three menths ago, I got a mon-responsive mply, for you, in the name of your Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Grininal Division, from his Chief of the General Crimes Section. Without my ever having gotten any kind of honest or meaningful enswer to any inquiry of your Department, under any administration, this one began with the bold statement "that further exchange of correspondence between yourself and the Department of Justice on this matter will, serve no useful purpose."

At this point, efter five unanswered letters subsequent to my receipt of this accurate forecast that you would never respond, letters in which I saked for access to what I am entitled to under the law it is your obligation to enferce, it looks very much as if the Department of Justice is more afraid that correspondence would save a useful purpose, a purpose at fears.

As I wrote earlier, I do understand that busy executives must delegate to those under them what they cannot attend personally, as they must also depend upon others for the information they have. This in no way diminishes the responsibility of those in charge. The Atterney Ceneral still runs the Deposiment of Justice. It is, I believe, your responsibility to see that the laws are observed, by you end by your Department, as it is to see that citizens making proper inquiries get proper response within a reasonable time.

Then a citizen asks his Department of Justice for access to court records and cennot get an enswer, things have passed a deplorable state in a country such as ours. I have made this request; you have not responded. Practically, this means you have refused me. I believe you cannot.

After you or your office referred my first two letters to Mr. Belcher I thereefter wrote him. Because he has not once responded, in any way, I again address you. I have two purposes. To the degree I can, I sant to be certain that you know the situation, for the responsibility is yours, and, if necessary, I went to invoke the laws that entitle me to that which I seek. I prefer not to have to resort to this, as I would hope you would, too.

I made specific requests for specific information in letters to your Department between March 30 and April 23. If I am refused this information, I respectfully request citation of the authority under which you refuse it. In each case I also ask that you provide me with the forms and instructions I will need to seek to obtain this information under the "Freedom of Information"

lew. It is my intention to invoke the provisions of this law, if necessary. May I call to your attention that I have, in the past, asked the Gow rement for the means of utilizing this law without ever having wen so souipped? I do not think this was the intent of Congress in enecting the law.

Among those adocuments I have sought unsuccessfully is a memorandum of transfer of the President Kemedy autopsy material, as set forth and described in earlier correspondence in your files. Respectfully I call to your attention the fact that this document is one of the working papers of the special panel convened by your predecessor and by it was so inventoried. I believe this removes it from any executive authority to withhold it and herewith renew my request for it.

Under the previous administration, when I asked for access to the improperly-withheld David W. Forris metarial, I was told by Mr. Vinson that a review was under may. I have since maked the results of this review and have had no response. I renew the question, remay the request for this meterial, and would like the necessary instructions and forms for application under the above-cited law should I again be denied. May I, in this connection, cell to your attention the seeming impropriety and the inconsistancy in the government claiming in court, to a litigant, that he has not exhausted his administrative remedies while the some government denies another access to his administrative remedies?

While I am unwilling to believe it, when I was informed that agents of the Federal Bureau of avestigation were defaming me, I did call this report to your attention, believing, as I do, that there should be at least a pro forms denial of it. Aside from Mr. Belcher's assurance "that such conduct would be in complete disregard of Departmental and Eureau policy" and his attenent that a copy of my letter was sent "to the Director of the Bursau for his consideration" inhave beard nothing. Then that Bureau promises to send me a copy of its press release and doesn't, and when that Director fails to respond to a written request for a press release, perhaps I should not be surprised at the absence of a forthe-record denial, dowever, I would prefer to think the Attorney Ceneral of the United Otstes would not be content for the matter to rest here.

Inhave often requested a copy of the spectrographic analysis of the bullet and fragments or bullets alleged to have been used in the murder of President John Kannedy. My written requests to the Director has never been answered. I hereby renew this request, asking, if I am denied, for a statement of the reason or reasons and the instructions and forms for invocation of the Freedom of Information law. With regard to the Garren Commission file identified as CD47:7, I make the same requests, as I do with CD1269.

Among those unenswared requests referred to above is the evidence presented in court in England. I would now like to breaden that to inchuce that used in Memphia, directly and indirectly, in the case of James hard May.

Then I make requests of the National Archives, there now is a delay of not less than two months is fore there is any kind of response, when there is one. I believe this, in itself, clouds the purposes and integrity of the government. Your own Department does not respond at all. I do hope you will correct this, that you will agree that when a citizen and more, a writer, makes proper inquiry of the Government, response should be as prompt as possible.

Sincerely, Hareld Reisberg