Contrast voc ream - 6251 SANCO RAD

508 Refring of -> CONSPIRACY

sists that Hunt was in Mexico City in late September 1963, at the also been linked with the Guatemala operation. The report pernation denied allegations that he was in Dallas on the day of the assass reportedly manipulated Lee Oswald in the summer of 1963, has Pawley, he was instrumental in the ruthless overthrow of the time of Oswald's visit to Mexico.¹²⁹ Hunt denies this, as he has Communist-oriented regime in Guatemala. Guy Banister, who

still alive. Hunt says he did not meet Sturgis until 1972, whik that Oswald was affiliated to Castro's intelligence service. He is the President was killed. assassination. Sturgis has declined to say where he was on the day Sturgis has said he met Hunt two years before the Kennet Watergate burglary, was one of those who helped spread the slot Frank Sturgis (né Fiorini), 18 Howard Hunt's associate in the

Bishop. sional investigators was the identity of a CIA officer who took a and Sturgis — who is a pilot — was involved. The importance of personal interest in it. The officer, says Veciana, was "Maunia Veciana, and his reason for mentioning the scheme to Congre-Cuban who attended its planning stages. The Cuban is Antonio the detail is that Sturgis has been connected to the operation by a tasma." This involved dropping leaflets from the skies over Cuba Sturgis took part in an anti-Castro operation called "Cellula Fan In 1979 an Assassinations Committee report stated that

Oswald and Cuban diplomats in Mexico City. sination and later urged the fabrication of a false story about says Veciana - met Oswald shortly before the Kennedy assurallegations about CIA case officer "Maurice Bishop," who he has also expressed concern that it may have been linked to his considered a freak escape. Publicly the veteran anti-Cash Antonio Veciana was the victim of a murder attempt in late Veciana's head. He recovered - in what police and doctor Four shots were fired, and a fragment of one bullet lodged a lighter has blamed the attack on Castro agents, but privately 1979 — an ambush while he was on the way home from work

versy and the elusive target of continuing research to establish "Maurice Bishop," meanwhile, remains the center of control his real identity. Assassinations Committee investigators, work-

> ing on Veciana's description, have built up a picture of their 425 y SI AFTERMATH ¥ Ž

509

the CIA. ^{Don} desert and disinformation jungle that they encountered at down (see illustration 26, top). That proved unrewarding, but the investigators did make considerable progress in the informaand made a nationwide appeal for assistance in tracking him unations Committee issued an artist's impression of "Bishop" and -- by the early Seventies -- was wearing glasses for readplexion fair. "Bishop's" face was usually tanned and he had eyes were gray-blue, the hair light brown going gray, the com-^{ka}n South or — more likely — from Texas. In 1978 the Assas-ⁱⁿg. Veciana gained the impression he was either from the Amer-"sunspots" under his eyes. He was meticulous about his dress, ^[a]], of athletic build, and weighed more than 200 pounds. The quarry. "Bistiop," who would now be into his sixties, was 6'2"

"Maurice Bishop." Several CIA officials have said they did indeed know of a the Kail lead has been unproductive apart from that connection, ^{by} Oswald's Dallas mentor, George de Mohrenschildt. So far, posed the meeting with Army Intelligence that was attended but the Committee found dramatic encouragement elsewhere. working for the CIA. It was Kail who, in summer 1963, proasumed, while in Miami, that his military unit was actually visitors that he could not remember Veciana. Nor, he said, could he recall the name "Maurice Bishop," but said that Embassy staff personnel in their outside contacts." Kail later "agents of the CIA would frequently use the names of other was contacted by the Committee. He said he saw so many Cuban ^{vant} leads in the Veciana affair. Colonel Sam Kail, however, a Texan who was a military intelligence officer at the Embassy, ⁿot been questioned yet — just one example of the failure by cer, a second was Wayne Smith, and the third was Sam Kail. working in the U.S. Embassy. One was an unnamed CIA offithe Assassinations Committee management to follow up rele-Smith, who was third secretary at the Havana Embassy, had vana — suggested he seek assistance from a number of officials. Veciana recalled that "Bishop" - as his spymaster in Ha-

sation took place. appointee John McCone. During his deposition, this conver-First there is the former Director of the CIA, Kennedy

QUESTION: Do you, or did you, know Maurice Bishop? ANSWER: Yes.

QUESTION: Was he an Agency employee?

ANSWER: I believe so.

Former Director McCone said that, although he once knew, he could no longer remember what "Bishop" actually did for the Agency. There was another intriguing development when the Committee interviewed a former CIA agent described publicly merely as "B.H." When asked if he knew Maurice Bishop, "B.H." replied that "Mr. Bishop was in the organization, but I had no personal day-to-day open relationship with him. . . ." "B.H." was vague about "Bishop," saying only that he had been a senior officer and that he had met him "two or three times" at CIA headquarters. In Miami, however, the Committee stumbled on a witness who was more specific. He had for the CIA's Secret War against Castro. This officer, whom the Committee quoted under the pseudonym "Ron Cross," had handled one of the most active anti-Castro groups and was potentially well placed to have known "Bishop." His

answers to the Committee questions were dramatic. Committee investigators threw not one, but three names at "Cross." The first was "Bishop," another was "Knight, and the third was the real name of an officer who had worked out of Havana. "Cross" duly pointed out the fact that the third name was the true name of somebody he had encountered in Havana. "Knight," as he recalled it, was a name occasionally used by Howard Hunt. And "Bishop," "Cross" believed. was the name used by David Phillips.

Phillips, the reader will recall, is the former top CIA officer who was running Mexico City Cuban operations — at the time of the Oswald visit, and of the strange visits to the Cuban and Soviet Embassies by a man who may — on some occasions at least — have been an Oswald imposter. It is Phillips who, in retirement, has come up with his own explanations of the lack of surveillance pictures of the real Oswald, and of the disappearing sound recordings of the visitor to the embassies and some of whose testimony failed to satisfy two Chief Counsels of the Assassinations Committee. "Cross," a few days

AFTERMATH

51

after his initial statements, declared himself "almost certain" that Phillips, who sometimes visited the Miami CIA station from Washington, did indeed use the cover name of "Bishop." In addition, "Cross" now coupled "Bishop" with the first name "Maurice" — a name the Committee investigators had not so far mentioned.

David Phillips testified on oath to the Assassinations Committee in 1978. He denied ever having used the name "Bishop," and said he had never heard the name used by a CIA employee. His denial, however, has not stilled the speculation around his name — and it continues as this edition goes to press.

can Republic during 1965, the year American troops invaded ing on the subject, and later became propaganda chief of the revolution in Cuba. He attended the first CIA executive meetcalled Che Guevara. and opened a CIA file on him. Six years activities in Guatemala of an obscure young revolutionary ments of the defeated regime. It was he who noted the recent over, Phillips spent some time in Guatemala studying the docuwhich never took place. When American-backed forces took of the Agency's most infamous operations to topple foreign Bay of Pigs operation. He was Chief of Station in the Domini-Eisenhower approved the earliest plans to reverse Castro's later, in 1960, Phillips was in at the very start when President as by actual force of arms. Phillips, a propaganda expert, ran Arbenz was panicked into resignation as much by propaganda in Guatemala. It was a remarkably cunning operation, in which Action Officer, Phillips played a leading part in the overreer in U.S. intelligence - a career which spanned some tion of local CIA officers, who launched him on his long ca false reports about imaginary rebel forces and about battles the clandestine Voice of Liberation radio — broadcasting throw of the anti-American, left-leaning Arbenz governmen tion with a CIA team including Howard Hunt as Political 80vernments (see illustration 26, right). In 1954, in associato become an actor. After a false start in the theater, he moved language newspaper. It was there that he attracted the attento Chile and tried his hand at publishing a small English Phillips, a Texan born near Fort Worth, originally wanted

the country. At the peak of a career in which he rose to become Chief of the Western Hemisphere Division, Phillips was to the fore in American meddling in Chilean affairs. He was chief of the Chile Task Force established to try to prevent Salvador Allende assuming the presidency to which he had been legally elected. Phillips, for all that, insists he is a man of progressive sympathies.

CIA has indeed used Belgian identity papers for secret opera-CIA operations. One overt function of the company was to allegations, states that by 1959, following the Castro revoluself as a representative of a construction firm headquartered operative. Veciana says "Bishop" initially introduced himtivities. In 1960, when Veciana said he was recruited by represent "foreign industrialists." There is evidence that the tion, he was using his own public relations firm as a front for "Bishop" in Havana, Phillips was serving there as a cover times consistent with Veciana's account of "Bishop's" acgestion that Phillips was "Bishop," took into account certions abroad. in a biography not yet published when Veciana first made his in Belgium. He also used a false Belgian passport. Phillips, likely from Texas. Phillips had served in relevant places at from the first expressed the belief that "Bishop" was most described by Veciana. Phillips was a Texan, and Veciana had tain coincidences between Phillips' career and "Bishop" as The Assassinations Committee inquiry, faced with the sug

The Anglo-American Directory of Cuba for 1960 carries an entry for Phillips as a "Public Relations Councillor." Phillips, however, says he was out of Cuba by early March 1960. *before* the "mid-1960" period when Veciana says he was recruited by "Bishop." This author's research, for this Edition, has produced some corroboration that Phillips did cease to be a permanent Havana resident in early 1960. Assassinations Committee research, however, reportedly indicated that Phillips could indeed have been in Havana during the period mentioned by Veciana's closest associates, and Phillips knew

AFTERMATH

him. Veciana says it was "Bishop" who incited him to take part in a plot to murder Fidel Castro, while Philips says he knew nothing of CIA assassination plots. He has, however, admitted that — in Cuba — he took part in other anti-Castro activity very similar to that ascribed to "Bishop." Phillips, writing before the Veciana allegations became known, said he contacted one of a group of Cubans who were planning an early coup attempt against Castro. His CIA instructions, Phillips wrote, were to introduce himself as "an American anxious to assist," perhaps "using a false identity." The plan leaked, and several of the Cubans involved were arrested. Much the same happened when Veciana's plot to kill Castro was discovered.

Veciana in Miami. On the other hand, Phillips has made no such ton during at least part of the day "Bishop" allegedly paid of tation showing that he was at CIA headquarters near Washing-Phillips, meanwhile, says he may be able to produce documenavailable, however, and they are so far fuzzily accounted for let alone Phillips, made the payment to Veciana. Funds were Banda purposes, an area which has been Phillips' speciality since the Fifties. None of this, of course, proves that the CIA, fund manipulation of radio stations and newspapers for propamuch of that vast sum was spent. Millions, however, went to Congressional Oversight Committees have yet to be told how cluding Phillips as a key executive — disposed of thirteen million dollars on covert action operations between 1963 and 1974. known, however, that CIA operatives in Latin America — inwith his own approval or that of the Director of the CIA. It is says that - as chief of CIA Latin American operations in a lump sum of more than a quarter of a million dollars. Phillips, Veciana. He insists that such a sum could have been paid only who played a leading role in CIA operations against Allende. 1973 - he knows that no such CIA payment was made to the year Veciana says he was finally paid off by "Bishop" with then Chilean President, Salvador Allende. Allende fell in 1973 --that "Bishop" played an important role in efforts to remove the later plot to assassinate Castro, in 1971 in Chile. He also says Veciana has claimed that "Bishop" was involved in a much

appeal to the record over his whereabouts at the time of the incident at the heart of the "Bishop" furor — the meeting in autumn 1963, when Veciana says he encountered Oswald in "Bishop's" company in Dallas, Texas. Phillips now says that he was in Texas "around that time," visiting relatives thirty miles from Dallas.

tion by Miami case officer "Ron Cross," that Phillips and very like him appears under the name Hank Sturgis in a novel in his books. For example, although Hunt claims he did not non-fiction work about the Bay of Pigs, has used pseudonyms a contradiction. Hunt, who has written several novels and a analogy. It found a sort of corroboration, which also contains "Knight" respectively - using what appears to be a chess Howard Hunt had operated under the names "Bishop" and name Frank Sturgis in 1952. In his book about the Bay of Pigs. similar to that of the real-life individual who legally took the turned gambler and soldier-of-fortune, a career which sounds written as early as 1949. The fictional character is an ex-Marine meet Frank Fiorini/Sturgis until the Seventies, a character chief for the operation, as "Knight." In his 1977 memoirs, for codename in the CIA. Hunt's literary back-patting of Phillips was Richard Helms, the controversial former Director of the man Hunt idolized. . . ." The man Hunt idolized, it turns out, nym belonged to one of the Agency's most senior officers, a lade --- people who have worked in CIA will recall that pseudoing that "Bestowing the name of Knight was the ultimate accohis part, Phillips makes much of this identification, comment-Hunt refers to his old associate Phillips, then propaganda CIA. The recent authoritative book on Helms, The Man Who board, "Bishop." He said the reason he was "almost positive" cer "Cross" recalls — he would have dubbed himself "Knight" idolized Helms, it seems plausible that — as former case offi names in real-life operations in the early Sixties. If Hunt indeed however, does not necessarily correspond with the use of cover Kept the Secrets, states flatly that "Knight" was Helms was Phillips who borrowed the other name from the chessduring anti-Castro operations. "Cross," of course, suggests if Phillips actually used that name was because of the conversa Congress' Assassinations Committee pursued the declara

AFTERMATH

515

tions he recalled with Phillips' assistant, Doug Gupton. Gupton, says "Cross," would often say something like, "Well, I guess Mr. Bishop will have to talk with him," and "Cross" would know he was referring to his boss, David Phillips. At this point, however, the Assassinations Committee inquiry faltered.

The Committee traced Gupton, who confirmed that he was in daily contact with "Cross." However, he said he "did not recall whether either Hunt or Phillips used the cover name "Knight," nor did he remember Phillips using the name "Maurice Bishop." Faced with "Cross" "recollection of his having referred frequently to Phillips by the name "Bishop," Gupton said, "Well maybe I did, I don't remember." He said he did not recognize the artist's impression of "Bishop" drawn from the description by Veciana. He did say, however, that Phillips "used many of his old contacts from Havana in his

wintesses. Phillips was clearly told Veciana's name, three times, in front of been introduced not by name, but merely as "the driver." According to the Assassinations Committee investigator present, session — although Veciana was repeatedly introduced to him part, showed no sign of recognizing Veciana during the luncheon meant, Veciana merely repeated, "He knows." Phillips, for his saying, "No, he's not him. . . . But he knows." Asked what he tion, Veciana repeated his denial that Phillips was "Bishop," cheon of the Association of Former Intelligence Officers. opportunity to observe David Phillips in the flesh — at a lun-Afterwards, according to a published account of the confronta-Later, in sworn testimony, Phillips was to claim that Veciana had him. . . . But I would like to talk to him." Soon, Veciana had an one picture for a long time, and then said, "It is close. . . . Does he have a brother?" Finally, though, Veciana said, "No, it's not shown photographs of David Phillips. He reportedly stared at During the search for "Bishop," Antonio Veciana was

The meeting was addressed by Clare Boothe Luce, who cropped up in the inquiry in connection with disinformation. Luce, a staunch defender of the intelligence establishment, is on the board of the Association.

In the end, Congress' Assassinations Committee was not satisfied with the responses by either Veciana or Phillips. Its Report said that the Committee "suspected Veciana was lying ferred only to a "retired officer" as having been the subject of ferred only to a "retired officer" as having been the subject of the confrontation with Veciana, but a detailed appendix to the Report shows that Phillips was the officer discussed.¹³¹ The Report said of Phillips, "... For his part, the retired officer aroused the Committee's suspicion when he told the Committee aroused the Committee's suspicion when he told the Committee aroused the officer had once been deeply involved in Agency cially since the officer had once been deeply involved in Agency

There is no evidence that the "retired officer," Phillips, had any part in a conspiracy to murder the President. Moreover, whatever the true identity of "Bishop," Veciana's account does not state that "Bishop" plotted the President's assassination. What Veciana does allege, however, is — if true — highly relevant What Veciana does allege, however, is — if true — highly relevant to the continuing inquiry into the circumstances surrounding the tragedy. The allegation is that a U.S. intelligence officer met with tragedy. The allegation is that a U.S. intelligence officer met with Cuban contact to help fabricate a false story about an Oswald link Cuban diplomats. That, clearly, must be exhaustively with Cuban diplomats. That, clearly, must be exhaustively

by confusing responses to its questions about "Bishop" addressed unresolved and under-researched. Its inquiries had been stymied to the CIA and to its former employees. Once the Agency time Director McCone said he must have been mistaken when he declared it could find no reference to "Bishop" in its files, onetold the Committee he did remember "Bishop." "B.H.," the Phillips as "Bishop," has not withdrawn his allegation. One Com-Miami case officer who named Howard Hunt as "Knight" and having met "Bishop" at CIA headquarters. "Ron Cross," the used on assignments involving violence, stuck to his story of former covert operative believed by Committee staff to have been mittee investigator, weighing the various statements and the by "B.H." may be a red herring designed to confuse the trail. He circumstances in which they emerged, believes that the statement tends to believe McCone's instinctive initial reaction, and also the The Assassinations Committee has left the "Bishop" affair

AFTERMATH

517

replies of "Ron Cross" about Hunt and Phillips. The feeling remains that somebody in the CIA, or who was formerly in the CIA, is playing chess with the Kennedy inquiry.

he be unmasked. Clearly this is right. indeed a "Bishop," and believe it is of paramount importance that clues - should now be followed up promptly. Those directly which he has kept ever since. Congress' Assassinations Commitwith that name on it. He says this is a note he made at the time "Frigault" on the passport, and he has produced a slip of paper his relationship with "Bishop," he noted that his American conenthusiasm for the hunt for "Bishop." As we have seen, vital leads pressures of time and money, led to the dying-off of top-level mittee veered in that direction to the exclusion of other evidence connections with Oswald in New Orleans building up, the Cominvolved in this area of the investigation are confident there was tee tailed to pursue this lead, which — like the other neglected tact had with him a Belgian passport. Veciana noted the name help the investigation. He states that, in the very earliest days of remain unchecked. So, too, does another of Veciana's efforts to this was surely an error of judgement. That, combined with the In fact, taking together the evidence of New Orleans and Mexico, In its closing months, with the evidence about possible Mafia

It is certainly possible that a renegade element in U.S. intelligence manipulated Oswald — whatever his role on November 22, 1963. That same element may have activated pawns in the anti-Castro movement and the Mafia to murder the President and to execute Oswald.

The very suggestion that some of those charged with protecting American security should so betray their trust is clearly abhorrent to moderate citizens. Unfortunately there is nothing inherently implausible in the scenario. The revelations of the Seventies have shown only too clearly that there were rotten apples in the CIA apparatus and that they included some of those most passionately committed to the elimination of Fidel Castro. In the name of that cause, intelligence officers dabled in unauthorized operations, including assassination plots which until recently seemed to belong in the purple pages of pulp fiction. In pursuit of these follies, CIA officials were deeply involved with top members of the Mafia. The mob hated the Kennedy adminis-

i

518

bring a stringer

the Mafia and the exiles nursed the same resentments as many in specifically, the forcible eviction of Carlos Marcello. Over Cuba, coincidental with the Kennedy onslaught on the Mafia, including President "betrayed" the cause of the anti-Castro movement, was with the President's. The time of the Bay of Pigs, when the tration, and so did some of those in the CIA whose views clashed able power. The signs are that, at least from the time of the unfounded speculation. Congress' Assassinations Committee age the President's search for peace. This cannot be dismissed as unauthorized raids on Soviet shipping after the missile crisis, some aura of deception and violent action, who exercised unconscionthe CIA. There were those in the CIA, steeped in an everyday individuals in intelligence encouraged actions designed to sabotsaid he would secretly inform Pepe and Oliva. Pepe [Pepe San exile leaders at their Guatemala training camp. According to the working under the cover name of "Frank Bender,"* assembled tial policy. Before the invasion, the CIA director of operations, CIA officer reportedly incited Cuban exiles to disobey Presidennoted that, even at the time of the Bay of Pigs debacle, a senior way: 'If this happens you come here and make some kind of show, Frank might be ordered to stop it. If he received such an order, he forces in the administration trying to block the invasion, and authoritative history, "Bender" told the Cubans that "There were as if you were putting us, the advisers, in prison, and you go ahead Roman, the exile commander] remembers Frank's next words this with the program as we have talked about it, and we will give you laughed and said, 'In the end we will win.' """ the whole plan, even if we are your prisoners.... Frank then

project of dispatching ten commando teams to Cuba. Three nuclear holocaust --- one CIA officer had conceived on his own the was appalled to discover that — as the world waited in fear of a groups had already set off. The President's brother investigated the matter and found that top CIA officials knew nothing about it. CIA operative said by an official of the Cuban Special Group to The officer responsible for this idiocy was William Harvey, the Many months later, during the missile crisis, Robert Kennedy

• The officer's real name was reportedly "Droller" (Thomas Powers, op

AFTERMATH

actively involved in the field and in that capacity had meetings CIA machinations with the Mafia to kill Fidel Castro. He was in the Castro assassination plots. with the gangster John Roselli, the link-man to Santos Trafficante canvass the underworld for "an available pool of assassins." From OJ/WIN, the as yet unidentified CIA "asset" whom Harvey used to bility to perform assassinations." To that end, Harvey contacted research means to overthrow foreign leaders, including a "capathe Bay of Pigs. Its purpose, as the CIA has admitted, was to ate 1961 until 1963, Harvey headed another operation — the Action" scheme, in which Harvey had been dabbling even before eventual removal to a foreign posting, Harvey's expertise was harnessed to two familiar projects. One was the "Executive have hated Robert Kennedy "with a purple passion." Before his

wholly possible that mavericks from the intelligence world were involved. that the CIA as an agency had no part in the assassination, it is CIA were ready, even eager, to flout the wishes of President episodes are evidence, if evidence is still needed, that some in the operation, are both recorded by distinguished chroniclers. These der's" apparent incitement to mutiny during the Bay of Pigs Kennedy. While the Assassinations Committee rightly concluded Harvey's desperate folly during the missile crisis, and "Ben-

when the question first came up, CIA Director McCone had no would have had difficulty accepting them. In November 1963 intelligence officers' innocence, and certainly Robert Kennedy that McCone would still feel able to give assurances of American cions of both men have been vindicated by the research of the been more than one gunman in Dealey Plaza. In 1979, the suspiin it. As for McCone, he believed from the start that there had my brother, and I asked him in a way that he couldn't lie to me. ed, "You know, at the time I asked McCone . . . if they had killed Assassinations Committee. Today, furthermore, it is doubtful murder and suspected that organized crime might have had a part developed grave doubts about the official version of the Dallas and they hadn't." As we have seen, Robert Kennedy later tor of the CIA, John McCone. The younger Kennedy later recall-Kennedy confided such suspicions to a family friend, then Direc-After his brother's death in Dallas, Attorney General Robert

cit., p. 107)

AFTERMATH

5

idea what outrages his own people had been committing. He knew nothing of the CIA plots to kill Castro. Nor had he been told that, as part of their lethal schemes, some senior officers had become deeply involved with the very Mafia bosses suspected of plotting to kill the President. Allen Dulles, McCone's predecessor, did know of assassination plots against Castro but failed to mention it to his colleagues when he became a member of the Warren Commission. If Robert Kennedy had survived to learn what we know today, he would surely have extended his suspicions of an organized-crime role in the assassination to include the American intelligence element.

Sector Contraction of the sector of the sector

expected to be truthful with us, and to cooperate with us. And unraveling of the Kennedy case. Former Warren Commission supposed to be loyal and faithful to us, deliberately misled us." disturbing to me is that two agencies of the government, that were Griffin feels the same about the FBI and says, "What is most were involved in working with the Mafia at that time." Judge been of extreme importance to us. Especially the fact that they were involved in efforts to assassinate Castro which could have they didn't do it. The CIA concealed from us the fact that they government here which we were depending upon, that we betrayed. I feel that the CIA lied to us, that we had an agency of counsel Burt Griffin told a BBC colleague and myself, "I feel details of those activities that might have led to the assassination their problems by assassinating the President. Nevertheless, the resources at their disposal to increase their power and alleviate that the participants might well have considered using the spiracy — people, motive and means — and the evidence indicated had all the elements necessary for a successful assassination con-Committee declared in 1979 that "the CIA-Mafia-Cuban plots As for the specific case of the Kennedy killing, the Assassinations hammered into the record by successive Congressional inquiries. intelligence agencies are now not allegations but hard facts, Judge Griffin's rueful conclusions about the performance of the and so on... Committee was ultimately frustrated in its attempt to determine identification of participants, associations, timing of events The past two years have marked a historic turn-around in the

As this book was being completed, one indefatigable Ameri

, Committee's demise is reflective of the general public's feelings fantasies which had surrounded the case. had performed the welcome service of disposing of the many and wackier the theories will grow." Had he read the Committee's racy junkies," and another gloomily foresaw that now "wackier that evidence. One reporter sneered at those he dubbed "conspiclear from his comments that he had not studied the vital detail of findings, the latter writer would have found that the latest inquiry evidence that two gunmen were at work in Dealey Plaza, yet it was distinguished commentator "declined to accept" the acoustics articles ranging from the caustic to the openly sarcastic. One New York Times delivered their verdicts. They gave space to its accompanying volumes of evidence, Time, Newsweek, and the possibly have studied the monumental verbiage of the report and the Committee had produced. Long before their reporters could echoed that sentiment. Some decried the significant achievements report came out, the most powerful organs of the American media wrote the editor, "carefully point out that the Assassinations for the moment — 'Let it rest.' " When the Committee's final "posing questions that are unanswerable." He should instead, editor, he received a memorandum regretting that he was still Kennedy case were not getting into print. On appealing to his can reporter found that his carefully researched stories on the ${f I}$ started work on the Kennedy case with the apprehensive

expectation that I would be sifting more than a dozen years of intensive investigative reporting. I found, with astonishment, that I was in a virtual journalistic vacuum. The Kennedy assassination lowed Watergate. It occurred in a time when the reporter's vital The necessary follow-up was swept away in the official investigation. metamorphic Sixties. With a handful of honorable exceptions, few nation. To my amazement, I repeatedly found myself the first reporter to interview relevant witnesses.

After the Assassinations Committee reported, one American editorial opined that the Committee had done no service to history and should never have met at all if the best it could do was prolong public confusion. Its writer claimed with assurance that

522

tween hysteria and the cement of history there is that essential can people are weary of the Kennedy assassination or not. Be reporter should presume to read the public mind, and - I venture know the single, burning, absolute truth about the killings." No to any civilized society --- justice. to say — it does not really matter in this case whether the Ameri-"lew Americans are very fervent these days in their desire to

responsibility as a trusted public official. book. As for the second official, the outburst is at odds with his do than to "chase ghosts." The first would find clues aplenty were other than Oswald, might have taken part in the assassination. ing that the latest official inquiry "offered nary a clue" as to who, authorities. One Justice Department official was reported as say tion also produced disturbing quotes from law-enforcement tee evidence on the Kennedy case. He might even find them in this he to study the seven thousand pages of Assassinations Commit-Another declared that the Justice Department has better things to The reporters who mocked the latest assassination investigation

agencies." Congressman Dodd, like his colleague Fithian, abuses to occur. There must be no question that the Congress eloquent footnote to the Committee's report. Dodd insisted, self "not qualified" to discuss the subject of Lee Oswald, "which deplored the fact that the CIA had failed to send to the Commit were free to function outside or above the law allowed these "These two agencies need the rule of law. The attitude that they as ever. One, Congressman Fithian, noted at one public hearing on the Assassinations Committee, its performance was as galling the attitude of being in some way above the law lingers in these that the Agency had dispatched a spokesman who declared himtion is recorded time and again in these pages. For Congressmen tee a spokesman prepared to discuss the role of that central figure American public demand that they do so. I believe that even today intends for these agencies to operate within the law and that the learned about both the FBI and the CIA that he added his own interested in." Congressman Dodd was so outraged by what he happens to be the only thing this committee was primarily As for the CIA, its arrogance toward the civilian administra In conclusion, the Congressman turned

AFTERMATH

3

about the CIA. He asked, quoting Julius Caesar, Shakespeare to ask the question he posed to the American people

"Upon what meat doth this, our Caesar, feed That he is grown so great?"

ence. If so, we can afford to be indifferent no longer." "Perhaps," Dodd concluded, "it is the meat of our indiffer-

Because statutes of limitation do not apply to murder, certainly not the murders of men like John F. Kennedy.... Justice demands no less." map that indicates the points of departure for subsequent invesextreme caution and a painstaking regard for hard evidence. Since ess than to pursue the course the Committee has charted. Why? are – New Orleans in the case of the Kennedy assassination . . . ligation that need not be limited as Congressional investigations gunmen in Dallas, and thus a conspiracy, is "a scientifically based silence to emphasize that the fact that there were at least two sor Robert Blakey, is a meticulous lawyer. He has a reputation for fact." The Professor says, "The Committee has provided a road the Committee issued its Report he has broken his customary The Government, to live up to the meaning of Justice, can do no The Chief Counsel of the Assassinations Committee, Profes-

Carter, addressed the nation on what he called "the crisis of the began with the killing of President Kennedy. crisis. They were, he said, the executions of national figures which Carter firmly dated the milestones in the process that led to the growing disrespect for all the established institutions. President bother to vote, whose productivity is falling, where there is a spirit in our country." He listed the ills of an America endangered ^{lortunes}, the fourth successor to John Kennedy, President Jimmy morality. In mid-1979, at a low point in his own administration's to the aspiration with which justice is inextricably entwined from within — a nation in which only a third of the people ever The Chief Counsel is right, and his forthright comments leac

goodal attention, was somehow intrinsically American, as seminal American character. The murder itself, enacted on a wide screen of Kennedy has reflected the best and the worst hallmarks of the In a schizophrenic era, the assassination of President

Lee Oswald.

អ្

cism and resume their place at the core of American life. Perhaps concepts of justice and morality may surface from a sea of cyniand confuse one generation and outrage its parents. In the Sevenadministered as readily as the drug culture which was soon to calm with which its conclusions were announced. It was an analgesic, conditioned derision. that hope will not, only three years away from 1984, draw manner of one man's passing. In 1981 it is conceivable that the lawmakers to a national doubt that questioned far more than the ties, the reopening of the Kennedy inquiry was a response by the Kennedy inquiry was bungled, for all the pomp and circumstance tion of international youth, and the landing on the moon. The first to the Sixties as the broadcast dramas of Vietnam, the revolu-

said, "I guess it is a feeling of frustration after so many years. I feel approaches for so long, she was silent for a long moment. Then she asked her why she was now prepared to talk, after refusing press evidence of conspiracy, gave me a television interview. When I do against them. That is why I am here." outraged that we have not discovered the truth for history's sake, timony about "Oswald" remains the most compelling human nated. In 1978 Silvia Odio, the Cuban exile whose chilling tesnot yet an American citizen when President Kennedy was assassiforces I cannot understand and the fact that there is nothing I can for all of us. I think it is because I'm very angry about it all — the It is fitting, perhaps, to close with the words of one who was

would secure conviction. Nevertheless, the Professor says, "I warns that he could not be sure of bringing an indictment that towards trial . . ." On a case so long neglected, the Chief Counse things that can be done, in a criminal justice context, to move this all constitutional means." Professor Blakey asserts that "there are dent Kennedy. These people should be vigorously investigated by involved in the assassinations of Martin Luther King and Presi declared that there are today "living people who could have been belief that it is not necessarily too late to see justice done. He has certainly agree with that sentiment. The Assassinations Committhink I could come close to it." tee Chief Counsel, in a remarkable statement, has expressed his A multitude of citizens, not only in the United States, would

Chief Counsel expressed faith that the American legal machin of the case ever since." Once, the Assassinations Committee was no conspiracy, and it has blocked open-minded handling within a day of the assassination, when the FBI decided there capable of thinking or acting positively. It is a failure that began ^{only} on the acoustics but in other key areas. Professor Blakey ment has failed to do the work the Committee requested --- not review is the work of the Bureau's management, rather than a second gunman fired at the President from the front. There but says that "on the Kennedy case they seem institutionally inrespects today's FBI for its general integrity and competence, vestigation forward." He adds bitterly that the Justice Depart-"a public relations gimmick designed to avoid carrying the in Blakey expresses uncharacteristic anger, calling the FBI review that of its scientists. Assassinations Committee Chief Counsel flawed. One observer questions how much of the published dictable, yet even a lay reading reveals that the FBI review is advised the Assassinations Committee. That was perhaps prewere immediate protests from the consultants who originally dence that persuaded the Committee there were two gunmen even more slowly than one may expect from a bureaucracy. lack of scientific proof that shots were actually recorded, or that involved in the assassination. The FBI report, a mere twenty-Department made public an FBI review of the acoustics evireceived Committee material. Then, late in 1980, the Justice with foolish nitpicking about the precise dates on which it had tions Committee, the Office of the Attorney General responded and recommend whether further action should be taken. That counsel, should not go unheeded in a functioning democracy two pages long, declared the two-gunman finding "invalid" for When pressed on the delay by the Chairman of the Assassina-Department officials began by moving extremely slowly attitude to the Kennedy case, however, is distressingly clear the Justice Department has yet to report back to Congress. Its was in early 1979. Two years later, as this edition goes to press Justice Department to study the evidence so far assembled In its final Report, the Assassinations Committee asked the That statement, from a distinguished and responsible 52

AFTERMATH

ery would redeem the past failures in the case of President Kennedy. Today, after seeing how the Committee's work has been mishandled, he is openly outraged. Professor Blakey now says "The Justice Department is burying this thing because they want the case to die. It's almost diabolical. The Justice Department will get out from under this thing entirely, and nothing else is going to be done about it — a conspiracy to kill my President and yours."

Former Attorney General Robert Kennedy was reported as saying, two days before his own assassination in 1968, "I now fully realize that only the powers of the Presidency will reveal the secrets of my brother's death." Today, either the President or the Attorney General can appoint an independent Special Prosecutor, as was done after Watergate. The inadequacy at the Justice Department reinforces the feeling of some observers that only such a course could now be effective.

The trauma of the murder of President Kennedy will not go away in our lifetime. A comprehensive judicial inquiry — and to date there has been no such thing — should promptly investgate those living persons who are potential suspects in the conspiracy to murder President Kennedy. If the evidence justifies it, they should be brought to trial.

Such an inquiry, full and unfettered, could purge the frustrations and the doubts of a generation. It may fail to do so, but — as the Assassinations Committee Chief Counsel insists justice demands no less. Without such an effort, the dying of President Kennedy becomes, indeed, a confirmation of the age of uncertainty.

CHAPTER 25

Afterword: The Continuing Search for "Maurice Bishop"

David Phillips, the former CIA officer considered by the Select Committee on Assassinations as a possible candidate for the true identity behind the cover name "Maurice Bishop," reacted strongly when this book was published in the summer of 1980. He contacted top executives in newspapers and television, making himself available to counter passages in *Conspiracy* concerning him. As a result, I took part in discussions with Phillips on prominent television programs.

David Phillips promptly and spontaneously. A subsequent check with congressional investigators revealed complained the Assassinations Committee gave it "undue em that "Cross" originally linked the name "Bishop" with that of Phasis." He agreed, however, that he had been correctly quoted seemed upset by the interest his statements have caused, and was used to refer to Phillips. "Cross" admits that he was for a heavy drinker, implying that he was prone to getting his facts In a further conversation, with this author, in 1981, "Cross' his recall of names and details other than "Bishop" is accurate merly a heavy drinker, but — as noted earlier — has shown tha had been used in the Miami CIA office, and that he believed it them, "Cross" stood by his assertion that the name "Bishop" to him only a short time earlier. Whatever had passed between "Cross" at home, he found that Phillips had been on the phone wrong. Shortly afterwards, when a Post reporter visited and in my book. Phillips observed that "Cross," the case officer real identity of former CIA officers whose identities were prowho believed Phillips had indeed used the name "Bishop," was tected by pseudonyms in Assassinations Committee reports when a reporter was assigned to the story, Phillips revealed the contacted the editor of the Washington Post. Subsequently In the course of these approaches to the press, Phillips

The Washington Post reporter was also able to talk to Phillips' former Miami assistant, "Doug Gupton." He said, much as he had said to the Committee, "I never used the name "Bishop,' to my recollection." Finally, the reporter visited "B.H.," the former CIA covert operative who told the Committee he had met "Bishop" in the past, but whose testimony mittee he had met "Bishop" in the past, but whose testigator.

an officer he worked with closely on a "day-to-day" basis on He told the Committee that Phillips was "a personal friend," not least about the way the CIA has been treated in recent years. Cuban operations between 1960 and 1964. Interviewed by the to the Committee, but before he himself was formally inter-Washington Post in 1980, B.H. stated that after Phillips testified open relationship with him. Phillips, yes; Bishop, no. I knew them had known anybody named Maurice Bishop. After replying that his Committee interview "B.H." was asked simply whether he viewed, he discussed the Committee inquiry with Phillips. In both." "B.H." appeared in his replies to be stressing that he Bishop was in the organization but I had no personal day-to-day he had, "B.H." responded to Committee questioning, "Mr. encountered "Bishop" "two or three times." He told the Post he Committee and what he said to the Post. He told the Committee he remembered "Bishop" as being somebody other than Phillips. met him only once. He told the Committee that he encountered There are notable discrepancies between what "B.H." told the with the Post, he claimed he did not work with Phillips until after closely with Phillips between 1960 and 1964. In the conversation Veciana allegations. "B.H." told the Committee he worked was probably after 1964 --- after the time most relevant to the "Bishop" between 1960 and 1964. In his Post interview, he said it comments were "wrongly recorded." 1964. "B.H." accounts for these differences by claiming that his "B.H.," a short, dark man of Cuban origin, is belligerent --

The Assassinations Committee investigator of the "Bishop" case suspects that the "B.H." scenario may be a red herring, case suspect to confuse the trail. Such justifiable suspicions might designed to confuse the trail. Such justifiable suspicions might have been resolved had the Committee management given the have been resolved had the Committee management given the "Bishop" case the attention it deserved. Sadly it did not. While "Phillips did testify, the Committee failed to take testimony on

THE CONTINUING SEARCH FOR "MAURICE BISHOP" 529

oath from "Cross," "B.H.," or "Gupton." "Cross," who told two investigators he believed "Bishop" was Phillips, was not even subjected to formal interview. There were no systematic interrogations of relevant CIA officers who might have further confirmed the use of the name "Bishop." The Committee failed to follow up on a key lead provided by Veciana — the identity of a prominent Cuban who may have originally proposed Veciana to "Bishop" as a promising candidate for CIA recruitment. The Cuban's name was known to the Committee, and is known to this author. Other leads received cursory treatment.

The Committee never tried to trace a vital witness whose name was provided by Veciana months before the Committee wound up its inquiry. Veciana had spoken, from the start, of a go-between whom he used during his association with "Bishop." He explained that, in line with intelligence tradecraft, "Bishop" had always initiated their clandestine meetings, either by telephoning direct, or through a third person who always knew where to reach Veciana. Veciana was long reluctant to identify this third party, but finally did so — providing an old, invalid address in Puerto Rico. In 1980 I did follow up the lead, and tracked down the Veciana-"Bishop" go-between. This proved to be the first independent corroboration that Veciana really was in touch with somebody called "Bishop."

The person who helped arrange meetings between "Bishop" and Veciana is a woman, a prim grandmother in her fifties, who works as a minor functionary in a U.S. government administrative department. She has requested anonymity, and will be identified here only as "Fabiola," a Cuban exile who left Havana in autumn 1961. She worked, until that year, as Veciana's secretary at the Banco Financiero, and was there at the time Veciana claims he was recruited by "Bishop." While she says Veciana never then mentioned a CIA contact, Fabiola recalls details which fit his story. She recalls a time when Veciana, in his earliest interviews, spoke of attending nightly U.S. intelligence briefings in an office building which housed, on the first floor, the Berlitz School of Languages. Fabiola says she did become aware that Veciana was involved in subversive activi-

and the second secon

528

ties. He once produced the huge sum of half a million dollars, cally, she sympathized with him, and later — in exile — col-Fabiola decided not to ask Veciana awkward questions. Politiresulted in the destabilization of the Cuban currency." In Cuba, has always said he worked with "Bishop" on a "program that which he asked her to safeguard until he retrieved it. Veciana traveling, and in the months to come Fabiola became familiar He asked her to act as answering service for him when he was laborated actively when Veciana became leader of Alpha 66. a number of names, including that of "Bishop." "Bishop" was name was "Bishop." When I interviewed Fabiola I threw out with the name of a caller from the mainland United States. The mind with a second person -- "Prewett." For her, the two memory of another name. "Bishop" is firmly linked in Fabiola's the only name to which she responded, and it stirred in her the names are so definitely associated that at first she had difficulty remembering which was which. Fabiola says both individuals telephoned Veciana over the same period, and she understood news publication, based on the East Coast. Finally, she recalls "Bishop" and "Prewett" were connected with an American that they were associated with one another. She believed both that "Prewett" was female.

Prewett, a Washington journalist who has specialized in Latin American affairs all her life. She has written extensively about as a "betrayer," and the Cuban exiles, whom she describes as the struggle between Fidel Castro, whom she has characterized Cuba co-sponsored by Freedom House and the Citizen's Comserted in the Congressional Record, began by quoting a call by mittee for a Free Cuba. Her report on the conference, later ina syndication organization founded by Prewett's friend Ernet presence from Cuba without delay." For many years, Prewett Freedom House "to remove both Fidel Castro and the Soviet Cunco, a veteran of the CIA's forerunner, the Office of Strategic Cuneo, also a member of the Committee for a Free Cuba. It was wrote for the North American Newspaper Alliance (NANA). NANA was severely criticized in a Senate Committee Report. Services, who arranged for Prewett to work for NANA. In 1963 patriots." In summer 1963 Prewett attended a conference on A check of American press directories turned up Virginia

THE CONTINUING SEARCH FOR "MAURICE BISHOP" 531

for syndicating pro-Chiang Kai-shek propaganda written by a Paid American lobbyist.

In spring 1963, seven months before the Kennedy assassination, Prewett was assailing the administration for its opposition to the raids mounted against Cuba by Antonio Veciana's Alpha 66 guerrillas. On April 2, in the Washington Daily News, Prewett lambasted a Kennedy spokesman who had "called the daring and gallant Alpha 66 raids on Cuba irresponsible acts." Prewett called this "an all-time low in pronouncement of U.S. foreign policy," and mocked the notion that "unless we stop the Alpha 66 raids against Communist Cuba, there'll be nuclear conflict." Three weeks later, after President Kennedy ordered strong measures against would-be exile raiders, Prewett rushed to support the exile leadership and berated the Kennedy White House for assuming it had "carte blanche to create a foreign policy outside the nation's popular consent." These Prewett articles were read into the Congressional Record.

The Alpha 66 raids, which so embarassed President Kennedy and which pleased Virginia Prewett, were the very attacks which — according to Alpha 66 leader Veciana — "Maurice Bishop." As Veciana tells it, "Bishop's" intention was to cause further trouble between Kennedy and Russia within months of the Missile Crisis which had brought the world to the brink of nuclear war. His purpose was "to put Kennedy against the wall in order to force him to make decisions that will remove Castro's regime."

In the company of a Washington Post reporter, I talked to Virginia Prewett in 1980. She agreed that she had contact with "Probably" backed by the CIA — even if its leaders were not formally told so. Prewett made it clear she was once familiar "Where is he now?" Later in the interview, however, she said she had never met Veciana. Veciana, for his part, says he did know Prewett, and refers to her as "Virginia." He asserts he met her at her hotel in Puerto Rico more than once, and "probably in Washington." When the name "Bishop" was first raised with Prewett, in the context of the CIA and Cuba, she said,

1.2.1.1