7627 Old Receiver Road Frederick, Md. 21702 1/12/92

Editor Vogue Magazine 350 Madison Ave., New York, N.Y.

Dear Editor,

(First this apology for and explanation of my typing. Enfeebled at 78 I must keep my legs elevated when I am sitting. As a result I cannot voic striking wrong kets. And I've been a hunt-and-peck typer since my earliest reporting days in the late 1920s. Now doubt this alone qualifies me for Andrew Kopkind's characterization of me as a "buff.")

In Vogue's January REXER story on Oliver Stone's exploitation and commercialization of the assassination of President Kennedy andrew hopkind, whose career in other pages if of JFK-bashing, flaunts the ignorance from which he writens, misleads your readers and maligns me. For anyone to undertake the kind of article Kopkind wrote the first prerequisite of responsible journalism is to know what you are writing about.

Kopkind didn't and didn't bother to make as perfunctory a check as would be expected of a cub reporter.

His mention of me begins by referring to me as "one of the earliest of conspiracy buffs."

What I suppose makes me a "buff" is having been a reporter, an investigative reporter, a Senate investigator and editor and a professional intelligence analyst (OSS) before writing my six books on the JFK assassination and one on that of Martin Luther King, Jr., which are unique in not espousing any conspiracy theory.

Or, perhaps, I am whatever he means by a "buff" because I filed about a dozen lawsuits under the Freedom of Information Act, some precedental and one leading to the 1974 amending of the investigatory files exemption to open FBI, CIA and similar files, resulting in the dicslosure to me of about a third of a million pages of once-wathheld government records.

It is the exact opposite of the truth that I "was for a time in amiable contact with" (Stone but "turned against the movie-maker get some theoretical deviation and attacked the JFK script in an interview with the Washington Post."

I am the one who started the exposure of Stone's exploitation and commercialization of the assassination when he did not respond to my February 8,1990 lengthy and detailed letter informing him that in basing his movie of Garrison's book he would be filming a "fraud and a travesty."

When I was in New Orleans trying to learn more about Lee Harvey Oswald I did from time to time have contact with Garrison that was "amicable" but toward the end I once expected him and the assistant district attorney with him to assault me for what I said and at the very end I prevented his planned obscenity of commemorating the fifth anniversary of the assassination by charging two more innocent men with being assassins.

One of these men, Robert L. Perrin, had killed himself, to Garrison's knowledge, 15 months before the murder with which Stone's hero was going to charge him!

If this is not what hopkind means by "some theoretical deviation," then perhaps it is that I decided to expose Stone's exploitation after his repeated proclamations that based on as thoroughly dishonest a book as I have read in 78 years he was going to record their history for the people, telling them who killed their President, why and how, his own words. I told him he could not possibly do that with Garrison's book, provided some documentation, offered more and to respond to any questions he might ask.

My alleged "amicable contact" with Stone confisted of his never responding to any letter I wrote him.

He knew I had all those records I make freely available, he knew very well what I told him about Garrison, and he was either so certain it was true or so indifferent to decency and truthfulness that he did not dispute what I said or ask for any more.

When I was given a copy of a copy of a script that Stone himself gave away, in return for which Stone calls me a thief, and read that penny-dreadful, I gave it and access to my Garrisfon records to George Lardner.

The story was his. It was not what Kopkind says, an "interview."

And as Kopkind should have known from working with him at the Post, Lardner is not its "long-time Pewtagon correspondent".

It happens that Lardner is one of the few reporters not conned by Stone and his flacks and sycophants. His article was completely accurate. Kopkind against displays the ignorance based on which he "informs" your readers in writing that Stone "answered" what Lardner wrote "with a detailed defense of his theory and Garrison's evidence."

What "evidence?" Garrison either made it up as he went or made up out of nothing what he cribbed from others.

But "defense" and "answered" are kardly applicable to the revised and corrected nonsense Stone provided and the Post published.

The very next day I sent Stone another lengthy and metail commentary on it that mustified what I had already said of him taxkethxex, that like Garrison, "you have trouble telling the truth even by accident."

To that there was a response - a snotty letter from his, pardon the expression, "research coordinator." It concluded with a thinly feiled invitation to be bribed.

Stone and his gang knew nothing about the established fact of the assassination and did not want to. They devoted themselves the the multitude of theories most of which are not tenable and some really crary. But nothing was too crazy for Stone, who actually used some of the least crab credible connections.

Why else would he not have had, any questions when I told him the realities about Garrison and his book and about the Hrrs book he also used? Why would he hot have wanted

even a peek at all those records he preferred lying about to promote himself and his movie, describing them as "suppressed" and that until at least the year 2039!

Of this, of Stone refusal to look at real evidence, not nutty theories, Kopkind writes that Stone "called on everyone he could find who had an angle on the assassination."

Kampl Kopkind included, Stone conned most of the reporters who wrote about the furor I strated in almost everything he said. If he said it, Kopkind and others accepted it as true and reported it as fact. Usually it want.

This controversy, which I started and therefore know about very well, is because Stone said repeatedly that he was making a non-fiction film, recording our real history, when he h ad no such intention.

It did not begin with any version of any Viet Nam theory. I never mentioned "Viet Nam." Or the Warren Report. I merely proved beyond question that he could not record our history from those two trashy and unfactual books.

If he had said from the first that his was a work of fiction, he would have been entitled to say anything he wanted to. But he said the opposite and there is nothing he can now do to change it. He has no right to rewrite our history.

Except to gull the Kopkinds and others who should have known better.

Who should have perceived immediately that Stone was unhidden in his exploitation and commercialization, beginning with his inventing a new name for his Ixtrian Ixtlan Productions, Calling it "Came Flot" until the film was finished. It is "Ixtrian" on the screen.

Who should have seen and reported that this commercialization and exploitation of the assassination was also intended as a vehicle for his adding another dimension to his Viet Nam hangup.

Instead, Ropkind and the others who failed to meet their responsibilities as reporters, belittle me for having brought more inofrmation - not conspiracing theories - information to light than anyone else in all these books without a single theory in them and through all those costly- and time-consiming FOIA lawsuits; and then they berate The Post and Lardner for opening the subject with completely accurate and more than fair reporting of paranois and dreamed-up fact" palmed off on the still sorrowing people as a truthful account of this great traggedy.

If hopkind had done any checking at all - and a number of reporters from California to Germany did phone me - he could have learned the truth and avoided shaming himself and Vogue.

If you would like any documentation, please ask.

I was asked by several of Garrison's staff, who'd tried and failed to talk him out of charging Perrin and the Harold Weisberg other man, and I still have a carbon of my investigative report and some of the documentation. A copy of the morgue book listing Perrin's suicide that I had on my desk is enclosed. Also an incomplete copy of Garrison's copy of the police report on it.

11 Files MIMIE	TYPE	DATE	TIME'S
11:10 / Robert Phipps	Homicide	8/1/12 8	5CP11 10:08P1
112 Whi William Enerhan	1/ / / //	1 3/1/2 3/2/1/2	6:15A.A
243 / Frank Buling	at auto Filality	33/62	6: XDA:
1-14 Mil Charles Hockett I	al tellet dill	8/3/12 S.	MAN. 9:00AM,
1.15 / Jone Williams	Factory ?	121/12	9:300.01
1616 My Jentoff Encuren	Tatural	127/67	9:361.11 1:20 PM
117 M Just Bourg	, _/	23/67	3-60 Pil.
1.19 Joseph Arch Jannen	Drawiely	124/62 4	301. 630A.A
16-0 Im Duemas Deas	on to	12/162	4:0PM
MEmile Hann	Homicide	25/12/12	15AM 2: 31AM
	1	53/12 155/12 57/57 17	11 non
Deathy marks	Bright 1	155/12	1:37/
Dorthy Marker	0.7	8/1/1	13 14
Samuel Mearley	Drewing	37/62	P. 3-20 P. 111
	- 1 - //	28/63	(PN 3-26 P.6).
- Christing Markell	1) Poster /	39/1.2	
My yours, Dilley	15/1201	30/12	7.30
30 Mister pl Ward.	5 7- 11	31/2	P.45/11.
In Clarke Sambile	Dolliral Juicili	21/27 3.4	My Kix (1) In Grany
3) Maurice Syan.	Himiaile natural	1/62 9:5	Cla 14:50
Mysell, John	natural	12/62	SECUMA
Salargie Secongam	Homocock	3/62/19	11 2211
Millio Sindrum Jandis Sindrum Jandis Sindrum Jandis Sindrum Sylvation Grain Jandis Scatt Jandi	di Inog	13/62 1	1/2/0//
The state of the	U.I.	7776). Volta	G 17 M.J.

ROBERT PERRIN, WM. AGE W1 (deceased) 1713 Calhoun St.
Complainant's Name Address
Linguist Prop. Rec'd Arrests
Undust Prop. Rec. aArrests
H-14040-62 6:10 A.M. 8/25/62 Drumm & Hotel ev 310 Ports & Time Officers Assigned Cor No.
DISPOSITION:
The undersigned officers report of receiving a telephone call while in the Homicide Office, at about 6:10 A.M., Tuesday, August 28, 1962, from Patrolman Sandford Krasnoff of Car #22 of the Second District, to the effect that he wished to notify us that he and his partner Sgt. C. Gueldner had handle an attempt 53 (Attempt Suicide) at 1713 Calhoun St., at about 1:30 A.E., Tues. 8/28/62, and that the victim had expired at 6:05 A.M., this same date, from an apparent kramdose of arsenic. Further learned from Officer Krasnoff that the victim was tentatively identified as being: ROBERT PERRIN, MM, age 41, residing 1713 Calhoun St. Krasnoff also said that a note, apparently a suicide note was found by the officers and confiscated, along with a mask and cannister, and an empty confether. This officer was advised to preserve this evidence and turn same over to the Crlears Parish Coroner's Office, and that since we had not been summoned to the scene of the incident, we would then await the outcome of the autopsy by the Coroner's Office. From further information received from Sgt. Gueldner & Krasnoff, it was learned that they had criginally been contacted by Patn. John Hamilton of Central Communications Division, that he was informed by phone call from Sgt. L. A. Boudreaux of Troop B. Louisiana State Police, that he (Sgt. Boudreaux) received a telephone call from an unknown male who stated "I need help, pleas send an ambulance right away. I am at 1713 Calhoun St," then it sounded as though this subject telephoning drooped the telephone. When the officers of the Second District reached this location, they could not arouse anyone, the house being in darkness, but eventually, they gained entrance through an unlocked rear door, after hearing groans coming from the front side windows of the apartment. Upon entering, Sgt. Gueldner found the victim lying on a bed in the second room, then opened the front doo and admitted Officers Krasnoff, J. Olsen and T. Parta to the apartment. The odor of ether was detected and found an em
small rubber face mask, attached to an empty the state apparently was used to pour had been made to appear as a cannister, and which apparently was used to pour had been made to appear as a cannister, and which apparently was used to pour the ether into for breathing the fumes (inhaling). Also found on a dresser be the ether into for breathing the fumes (inhaling). Also found on a dresser be the ether into for breathing the fumes (inhaling).
written in longhand, bencil, which stated as T. YOU HAVE OBVIOUSLY GONE TO
DATON ROUGE AND YOU AND I ARE FINISHED. I LOVE YOU DEAR AND CON'T LIVE WITHOUT OFFICERS SIGNATURES OF C. Drugge C. Patn. J. Howley
my m Illuston
part. Hyrott 19
No. 16012 References Date
This is an incomplete copy of Carrison's copy of the police report on Perrin's suicide.
The underlinings are Garrison's; not mine.