

Howard Willens on WRC-TV after 6:30 12/27/91 said  
that for there to have been a conspiracy conspirators have  
to be identified. Evidence can and in this crime does  
prove there was a conspiracy because it proves the  
crime would not have been committed by one man

Willens, an experienced lawyer knows this  
and he knows that at least all the lawyers in the  
audience will know that he deceived and misled the  
audience, why should words why.

I do

Can it be that the Oliver Stone movie troubled  
him this much - and was that about the position  
he is in because of his work on the Commission?