

Robert Wells
1270 Marion St., #307
Denver, CO 80218

1/10/92

Dear Mr. Wells,

I'm sorry I cannot tell you about any reputable newsletter because I have never subscribed to any of them, chiefly because they do not restrict themselves to facts even though they think they do.

These newsletters do tend to keep the subject alive but they also, usually without so intending, mislead and misinform.

You may enjoy them if you are not interested in fact.

Most of the available books are of theories usually presented as solutions, which not a single one is.

I do not believe you will find this welcome and at my age and impaired health I do not have the time for full explanations.

Take your well-intended letter to the editor. First you have no way of knowing a thing about Boxley and next you are quite wrong in what you say. He was not infiltrated. Garrison personally hired him over strong staff objections and paid him from private funds.

When the staff could not dissuade him I was asked to ^{try to} prevent what Garrison was going to do to commemorate the fifth assassination anniversary. This included, literally, charging a man who had killed himself 15 months earlier with being a Grassy Knoll assassin.

My investigation, which included Garrison's and other records, left it without question that Garrison was just making these things up. Boxley's offense was an excess of loyalty. He went out and made up the "proof" that Garrison needed.

Garrison then had a choice that was no choice. He would not admit what he had done and had been doing so he fired Boxley and made up the explanation that he had been infiltrated by the CIA to wreck what cannot reasonably be called an investigation.

My belief is that these many theories, none of which is proven and almost all of which are untenable, mislead and confuse the people. I include the popular books advancing theories in this.

I do not assume that you are interested in fact, without any theory, but on the chance you might be I enclose a list of our books.

Sincerely,



Harold Weissberg

Dear Sir or Madame,

I am interested in newsletters relating to the Kennedy assassination. (Forgive me for not using a typewriter and for the two colors of ink. I am at work and we are busy) I thought you might want to see Bush's remarks. I also thought you might want to see an editorial that I presented, which was printed in the same newspaper. Please let me know names of reputable newsletters that I might subscribe to. Thankyou.

Robert Wells
1270 Marion St. #36
Denver, CO 80218

Sincerely,
Robert Wells

26 ■ COMMENTARY

Who would want to discredit DA?

I would like to respond to the *News* film critic's evaluation of the movie, *JFK*, specifically the comments made about Louisiana District Attorney Jim Garrison. The review referred to Garrison as "off-discredited."

Let's assume, for the sake of argument, that factions within the intelligence community and military-industrial complex succeeded in eliminating President Kennedy, as Garrison believes. If they had the power to commit such an act, would they not also have the power and motive to discredit the DA?

Garrison's office was bugged and his investigation infiltrated by a CIA agent named William Boxley. A federal case against Garrison, alleging that he received gambling bribes, was shown to be contrived. A cassette tape, entered as evidence, was declared "spliced" by an electronics-audio specialist. Garrison has never been proved incompetent in a trial by jury.

Robert Wells
Denver