

Ms. Ellen Ray and/or  
Mr. William Schaap  
145 W. 4 St.,  
New York, NY 10012

Harold Weisberg  
7627 Old Receiver Rd.  
Frederick, MD 21702

Dear Both,

As you may recall, my typing is poor and cannot be any better. It is probably worse than when I wrote you in response to what you published about the Oliver <sup>B</sup>tone movie. I doubt you understood that my objection to it was that he announced it as nonfiction when it was in fact all fiction.

If I remember correctly after all these years we met briefly in Tragic Jim's office and then one evening when I had been asked to take a message to him at the "oyal Orleans. I then remained only briefly.

Another of the assassination tragedies is that so many people of good intentions, not believing the official mythology, got carried away with so many mythologies made up to refute the official mythology. As one result, the fact that the official evidence itself ~~that~~ entirely refutes the official mythology remains largely unknown. It is unknown in varying degrees to the unofficial mythologizers and to those addicted to them.

When age, I'm now almost 82, and the limitations from a number of serious illnesses I've been <sup>lucky</sup> ~~able~~ to survive as long as I have, in effect denied me access to the third of a million pages I got from all those FOIA lawsuits that are in our basements and I am not able to use stairs, I decided to use what time remains for me in perfecting the record for our history to the degree possible for me. I've done this in book manuscripts. About 20-25% of what I wrote with the opportunity Posner gave me, of using his indecency as a skeleton to flesh <sup>appeared</sup> out as Case Open. Before that I had written NEVER AGAIN! As I used Posner's book in NEVER AGAIN! I used the JAMA series as the skeleton. It is to be published soon. I suspect the subtitle will be omitted. It is The Government Conspiracy in the JFK Assassination. And it comes from the official evidence I use in it.

In writing Case Open I also used Fosner's prosecution-type presentation as the basis of what is in effect a defense brief and I used the official evidence only to prove that Oswald was not guilty and more, that the official evidence proves he could not have been, to official knowledge. That is what was <sup>eliminated</sup> ~~eliminated~~. If you saw the book, you know it was not even edited, and they asked for my rough draft, and that even the typos I picked up were not corrected.

That rough draft <sup>is now</sup> ~~was~~ almost completely retyped. It is just under 800 pages, including what was published. In the retyping, insertions I sent up may not have been made where I intended. Because I've been working on other writing I have not taken the time to read it. I believe, however, that if any interest were to develop in it the editing required would not be all that difficult. I write to let you know that it exists. If either of you is

ever near here I invited you to look at it and at the volume of information on which I draw in my writing. (It will all be a public archive when I am not able to use it or direct others in their use of it at local Hood College.)

One of the two other book-length manuscripts has been almost completely retyped except for a rather lengthy Epilogue. It is titled Waketh the Watchman, with the subtitle Our Strangelovian Military and the JFK Assassination. In it I address the assassination that was never officially investigated and was never intended to be, thus leaving no leads for private persons to follow, in the lawyers' method of addressing motive, means and opportunity.

In telling you this I am also telling you what I wrote Oliver Stone, that he could have done what he did so effectively as fiction he called nonfiction with nonfiction. I first wrote him as soon as he made his announcement, at some length. I then and later offered him the free access I have always given all who write in the field to all I have.

I do not assume that in <sup>the</sup> future you or any working with you will have any interest in the <sup>great</sup> mass of information I have accumulated but if you do the person at Hood to address is Dr. Gerald McNight, history department.

If you did not see Case Open, <sup>which</sup> ~~when~~ paraphrases it on the back cover, the Department of Justice did tell the court in my CA 75-226 that I then knew more about the JFK assassination and its investigations than anyone working for the FBI. It was a rare "defense" against my charging the FBI with perjury. *and proving it.*

That was the first FOIA suit filed after the 1974 amending of that Act. By coincidence it also happens in its first form to be the case cited in the legislative history as requiring the amending of the Act's investigatory files exemption to make FBI, CIA and similar records accessible under it. And it was the sole surviving Kennedy brother, Edward, who saw to it that the legislative history is clear on it.

Sincerely,



Harold Weisberg