Harold,
Sorry for the
Delay. I May

gab Muried.

Plany

M.C. Session. That

was your, moure.

## The Washington Post

1150 15TH STREET, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20071
(202) 334-6000

WRITER'S DIRECT TELEPHONE NUMBER 202-334-7434

June 14, 1991

Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Road Frederick, Md. 21701

Dear Harold:

Your June 8 letter arrived in this morning's mail, by way of pony from Baltimore no doubt. I was going to call you about it, but decided instead to write a response.

I won't go into every point you raise. I don't have the time. But I do want to correct the record on at least a few matters. You say that I accepted your proposal for a 50-50 split after my taking expenses. Not so. I mentioned to you that you had earlier proposed taking 30 per cent[which struck me as a fair proposition since I would be doing most of the work]. You denied saying that. I didn't want to argue the point at that time so I said all right, forget it[i.e., what you said or didn't say]. I also told you I would have to think about your proposal and that we would have to talk some more about it. I should point out that I have also spent much of my own time on this project.

Part of your proposal, I should add, was that I would have "complete control over the content," as you correctly stated in your June 1 letter to Ben Bradlee. Now in your June 8 letter, you say that you agreed only for me "to control the writing," and that "that did not...include the content of the book--what it would say." On that, you are absolutely wrong, as your own letter to Ben demonstrates.

On the subject of Vietnam, you say that Kennedy had, "without reasonable question," decided before he was killed to pull out of Vietnam completely. I disagree. Prouty told me the documentation he had for this. I got a copy from the Kennedy Library in Boston. It documents no such decision. I also talked to several historians about it. But I don't think I should have to justify my reporting on this. If you want to take Prouty's word for it, go ahead.

On the mob, I did not say in the outline I did that it was involved in the assassination. I do say the possibility of such involvement was one Garrison should have pursued. As for Life's decision to withdraw its support for Garrison's investigation because he was "too close to the mob," Garrison himself wrote in his book that this was the reason Billings gave him. I have also done my own reporting on this. You are wrong in saying it "beseeches unnecessary trouble."

On the Warren Commission being frustrated from hiring its own investigators, you say you have "no reason to believe it." That suggests to me that every point you do not instantly recall, I

would have to prove to you. On this one, I am enclosing a 1977 article I did. I misremembered a CIA role in opposing the idea, but not the FBI's. The Commission, of course, did wind up relying primarily on the FBI and the CIA.

You say at various points in the letter that I agreed not to say this, that or the other thing in the book. Not so. For instance, the way of handling Vietnam and the Stone-Garrison line on it. I agreed basically that that was the best approach for the article, not the book. The draft article also had some quotes from Bill Moyers and more from historian Gibbons and another historian. These were cut for reasons of space.

On the outline, I told you several times that George Wilson, an old colleague at the Post, had called an editor he knew at Macmillan and recommended I send that editor an outline of no more than 3 pages right away. It took me longer than I would have liked because of the fuss over the Stone letter to the Post and my response to that, but you knew I was doing the outline on a rush basis. The "whips and chains," by the way, would have been in context. The point is they are in the script. I don't need any lectures on fairness, Harold, not from you or anybody.

Once again, on matters we did not agree upon, I did not agree to omit the script from the book, except for what has been published. You suggested that. I told you that I didn't think it was necessary to go that far, especially since Time magazine, a part of the Warner empire now, had gone into the script, too.

I was, frankly, stunned to get your letter. What it says to me, through all the harping and niggling, and mistaken claims of what we agreed upon, is that it would be impossible to do a book with you. Believe me, I am sorrier than you. I will return your records as soon as I can get them together. I should point out that I got many of them a second time from Jim Lesar. As I recall, he had all or almost all in his files and I refrained from copying only those I distinctly remembered having gotten from you. You've always talked about how your files are open to all comers, anyone who wants to use them [E. G., the Moorman photo you supplied Gary Mack]. I didn't know you were talking only about what you got under FOIA.

With regrets

George Lardner

## vestigative Unit or Warren Panel pposed by FB

By George Lardner Jr. and william Clariceria Washington Post Staff Writers ( 3)

acting on an early tip from the ntral Intelligence Agency, FBI icials apparently successfully resisted ssion to organize its own investigat-

instead of taking that step, the comssion wound up relying primarily the FBI and the CIA to serve as investigators for the inquiry into

FBI officials lear \$77 on Dec. 17, 63-the day after former Solicitor eneral Lee Rankin was sworn in as neral counsel for the Warren Comssion that Rankin was "consideran investigative staff to conduct g an illustration."

"Frankly I think Rankin should be sc: uraged from having an investiga-ve staff, Al Rosen, head of the FBI's ve staff." Al Rosen, head of the FBI's eneral investigative division, told in H. Belmont, one of FBI Director Edgar Hooyer's top assistants. Rosen and the bureau "should make it clear at we stand ready to run out additional investigative leads the compission, wants, covered."

The Senate Intelligence Committee oncluded just last year that both the BI and CIA withheld crucial informs ions from the commission dangers at the commission dangers at the commission dangers at the class at any to describe the class at the

See DOCUMENTS AS Col 3

iel Castro and in connection with ie significance of Oswald's contacts, th pro-Castro and anti-Castro oups for the many months before a assassination." The FBI files, made public this ek under the Freedom of Informan Act, show that the tip about nkin's plans came from the CIA's ef of counterintelligence, James gleton, who had "picked up comnts from Allen Dulles" about the posal. Dulles, the CIA's former ector who left the agency shortly or the Bay of Pigs debacle in 1961, s a member of the Warren Com-

ssion. ust six month of or the american ina-nation was warren commission offi-ls began worrying about gaps in evidentiary material amassed by FBI and about their vulnerabil to criticism from outside. The FBI was never too busy to erlook any damage to its reputation p bureau officials were incensed

Nov. 23, 1963, the hectic day artery assassination, when Dallas Police ief Jesse Curry was reported to ve charged publicly that the FBI led to notify his department about e Harvey Oswald even though the n a three-page memo that same FBI assistant director Cartha ke) DeLoach indignantly related ke) DeLoach indignantly related the Dallas FBI agent in charge ton Shanklin had been told to hold of Curry and set him aight regarding these allegations. I get Curry back on television and touch with the wire services to orm them "immediately of the sity of his statements." I asked him [Shanklin] if he knew y Chief Curry would make such pid statements." DeLoach report to the FBI's John Mohr. "Shank stated that Curry was usually very perative, a very good friend of the l, however, did not think very fast nts without giving any thought to repercussions," urry "agreed to make the retracs" and told the media, with apolo-

to the FBI, according to the ionsibility to report to the Dallas ice any individuals of subversive grounds who might be in Dalla

reLoach added that "we called our cees at both United Press Intentional and the Associated Press Intentional and Theory of the Washington Star" who was it has since us agreed at contact. Cummerofitely Debosers and Orders of Calledone to say his had into ved Cummerofitely Debosers and Orders on Pecond and Deservational Course and Deservational Course and Deservational Course on Pecond and Deservational Course on Pecond and Deservational Course on Pecond Course on Peroperational Course on Peroperation C Bragenti questioned Oswald (wice each in 1962 following his return of Russia An FBI agent in New Or is also Interviewed Oswald in Au

The investigation of Jack Ruby's shadowy background and dancehall associations seemed to be boundless, even long after the Warren Commission had concluded that Ruby acted alone in killing Oswald . ....

gust, 1963, following his arrest there in connection with his distribution of Fair Play for Cuba leaflets.

FBI agent James Hosty of Dallas had been looking for Oswald in early November and was informed by Ruth Paine, friend and landlady of Oswald's wife, Marina, that he was working at the Texas School-Book Deposit

Nonetheless, UPI reported on the afternoon of Nov. 23. Police at first said the EBI, knowing of Oswald and bis pro-Communist, pro-Castro background; had questioned him a week ago in Dallas, Later the police with-drew the statement as not in accordance with the facts.

While the FBI researched its files for derogatory information on people who may have written things critical of or embarrassing to the bureau, it also kept what it called a "Special" Correspondents List," in which it rewarded its friends, including Leslie Scott, editor of "Security Gazette," a British publication.

Always attentive to what the nation al and international media was saying about the assassination and investigation tion, high-level bureau officials fre quently exchanged memos summarize ing the latest articles. A Jan. 9, 1964, memo to assistant director DeLoach summarized an article in "Security Gazette," which described breaches in security, surrounding the Kennedy trip to Dallas. The article concluded that the main reasons for the securit failure were the lack of coordination between the FBI, the Secret Service and the local police, and the atmos phere of violence in the United States

phere of violence in the United States
Because a search of FBI files show
ed the bureau to have friendly relational with the magazine the bureau
tried the ulit soft self.

The view of the friendly relation
salt that ware between the B the
the officials as into publications. On
memo saugest is felt that the beinterest affect acress would be seven
by naving segas London courses as
Scott sale teetrelly point out to his
the appendid retationship that exist

between the FBI and the Secret Service

Others weren't so lucky. Thomas Gittings Buchanan Jr. wrote a series of articles for the French newsweekly L'Express, expressing doubts that Oswald acted alone and that he may have once been an informant for the FBI or CIA, An April 8, 1964, memo noted that he was a member of the Communist Party who had been dismissed from the Washington Evening Star in 1948 when he informed his editor, of his party membership of One, Buchanan article, was causti-

cally dismissed in the FBI memo with the note that it was "typical of his previous articles in 'L'Express' in that he deals in implications and previously published speculation on the guilt or nonguilt of Oswald, the claim that Oswald was part of acconspiracy, and the allegation that Ruby was also involved in that conspiracy."

Another memo of the same day said Mark Lane, then raising doubts about Oswald's guilt, "has long been a Soviet apologist, has participated prominently in the activities of various CP front groups and reportedly enjoyed CP support in an unsuccessful effort to secure nomination as a con-

gressional candidate."

When Staughton Lynd and Jack
Minnia wrote an article entitled
"Seeds of Doubt" for The New Republic which again raised questions about the assassination, White House alog Arthur A. Schlesinger Jr., forwarded it to the bureau. A Dec. 26, 1963, memo from W. A. Branigan to W. C. Sullyan both high bureau officials model that Lynd had associated with "Them Communists" and Minricial roted that Lynd had associated with 'Priors' Communists' and Minnis had been in touch with the Soviet embassion in 1808. Branigan recommended that this information is sent to the Saintam attorney general for the Antice Department's Criminal Division.

Investigation of Jack Rub's beckground and dancehall even long after the Warren Commis-

sion had concluded that Ruby acted alone in killing Oswald in the basement of Dallas police headquarters.

Hundreds of telephone calls-many from persons with nothing more than of them far-fetched and apparently a casual knowledge of the case-were pursued with the same degree of diligence the FBI had tracked down early tips on the movements of Oswald.

The volume seemed to increase after it became known that Ruby, imprisoned in the Dallas County jail was dving of cancer.

On March 7, 1964, Deputy FBI Di rector Rosen reported in a memo that 3,357 interviews had been conducted into Ruby's background and the slaying of Oswald, and that there was no evidence that Ruby had conspired with anyone before the shooting.

The Warren Commission accepted the FBI's conclusion in its own report of Sept. 27, 1964, but the tips continued, and the FBI kept on amassing new volumes of documents on Ruby.

A waitress in a Chicago restaurant, for example, was the subject of a lengthy report from Chicago FBI agents because Ruby had apparently dined there once in the summer of 1963 and attempted to "get her a screen test if she desired."

In lavish detail, the report told how Ruby had suggested the waitress re-sembled Elizabeth Taylor, and that he had telephoned her-several times from Dallas offering to help pay her way through college if only she would go to Texas to meet him. In other instances, the FBE recorded detailed interviews with people who appeared to have been nothing more than one time patrons at Ruby's nightclubs. In many cases, the bureau followed up the patrons' innocuous statements with background investigations of the persons interviewed; The documents also contain allegations of curious voyeristic sexual, interests on the part of Ruby.