Just before midmight Thursday I was given what is said to the se the Perrin file and read it hastily, midst much conversation and confusion. Last night I was given a few of his other memerand the transcrip of a phone conversation with "M". I make brief comment on a few of these.

The 5-17-68 memo "MSRP" quotes one "DR-I", who I take to be endof the Dallas researchers, to the effect that "the 'true head' of the Mational States Mights Party' was a certain METIMED admittal John Chommella". The admiral is a wedlyknown fascist, a violent anti-Semite, and there can be no connection between the appearance of his name in the "Thunderbelt" and his membership in or leadership of the MSRP. That he had not there been "designated as head of the MSRP" is not simister but factual. The efficers are publicly known and identified. If he here head it would be public. This small party does held State conventions and meetings, does run candidates for public office, ste.

enerally what is lacking here is lacking everywhere (aside from fact). That is any appraisal whatsevever of the dependentity of the source. Any efficial receiving what is presented as information from one he triant trust is entitled to believe that, in the absence of indication to the contrary, only what is responsible is being reported, that the reporter is satisfied about the dependability of his source. The fact is that the quoted sources are, from reading of the reports alone, notifier dependable mer impartial. Even where there was depregatory information available about key sources, it was withheld from the memes, as I will eite induce Karuschevske case. In somewase, the contents of these reports is an object of seedback of what Jim Garrison had been saying and was then in the news, as with the sewers, where and entirely unevaluated source in the 3-19-68 meme is queted with a straight face as having heard "readley propose the use of the sterm drain system as a location for the prospective assassination of President Kennedy and had suggested a mannele escape route from the system."

Howhere in this meme, headed "Supplement to BIL. TUNNER'S memorandum of EDGAN EUGENE dRADLEY" is there any indication that the Aydlette's were swern enemies of Bradley and were suing him, or vice versa. This is in the files, was well knowning California. I personally supplied this information, in some detail, having been given it for this purpose by Art Kevin. Mys. A is "cortain" she saw Gordon Nevel visit Clint Wheat with Loran Hall - at precisely the time there was radio and printed shews attention that to these names in her area (some of it then coming from my ewa intensive appearances there). There is much of this, and any is too much.

The July 1, 1968 meme inaccurately entitled BakBada REID interview", actually a joint interview with her ofSam Brandencerg and "Chris" Christian (and I suggest

e of the consequences of this "error" could se its misfiling is too incomplete from what Barbara has independently teld me of this interview. Before knowing of this memorandum of it, I had asked her to write a complete account for Sciambra. The March 19, 1908 meme is entitled "miscellaneous notes for future references are This is the mind of memorandum thato is eften essential, and it is at bulty in them to be indicate and completes and waver. I shade at the the alignation paper Cavald had in his secket when be was arrested as his trame list is neither securate me descriptive. It is a paper he prepared that would immediately tell any officer seeing it he had Russian connections. To tite a "skip" in referring to Dayald as "Harvey Lee Oswald" as "similar to that made in Dallas by H. It Hunter day and both prejudicial and meaningless. It is designed to suggest that Muntile involved, of which there is here or elsewhere no evidence. It is also the "alip" made by Clay Shaw, which is more relevant and is not right cited. This same home concludes by meinting out that Secret Service agent Vial spells his name like (and here again the phrasing is, I think, erromeous "Jack RUBY's Dallas lawyer friend houset by Vial." I doubt the auggestion would have been made and both we en maned Smith. I alse deust Vial was Rusy's lawyer in ballas. The phone conversation is worth attention there is here no time for buils an excellent example of a designed describen, an exciting protence of world ence. that dees not exist, of evidence in hand that is not, of deep meaning where there is none, of fact that he not sat is the king of thing that lives and misinforms a busy officially mind office means by which he established the "intelligence het" are a Mans by which the selection of the sames at random sould with as such religits establish the existence of such a "met". (This conversation was recorded 5-26-67.) There is not even reasonable suspicion that this could be true, as a coresul reading shows; but a listening likely would not, particularly with the emphasis had of the himan voice. Whe could possibly he believe that the Gehler appearatus would . Paret did on agent be the Undted States and then train him at the other imprebables required to consider this young man an "asent", he would be trained perore he left, thereby assuring these far whem he werked that he was trained and increasing his whences of survival and performance of assigned duties. Everything said of this man, who becomes an egent on presumption alone and in the assauce of any reasonable basis for the presumption, can be said of sixest anyone. About the same things can the said for his connection with Shaw as an agent. Where there is he this conversation clear inference of hememornal involvement (page b) that is ignered, the fiction of twonless connection enviously being were exciting to the intended audience. He knews sealittle of the Canlan organization he is not even certain of its name. He says it Mas taken ever by the Cla. where, then, is there any need to send an unskilled "case ating of an "egent" from Germany to Teres to de nothing? Incidently, where it is becausery to seem to provide a basis for connection, it is meaufactured. The Menlen erganization is said "of course", to be "strongest in Mexico, Bouth America and Spains, which is very convenient, if not supported. Genleh "was the

the farm I have on a chief of an expecting

Tourgolood is said to se so tain of the date "because it was just prior to the last mobile home show helding the ballas City Auditorium September 26-30, 1962," New this is the kind of incident by which it is possible to fix recollections. What is lacking is authoratication of the date. If there is no reason to presume it wrong, that his recollection is in error, there likewise is none to assume him infallible or his recollection unflawed. It should have been shocked and authoraticated. More, the date on which is said then to have seen in ballas is at a time when there seems to be no evidence she was and pretty strong evidence she was in New Orleans or Maine, my recollection is unclear. It was September 1962, a month after Perris's 8-28-62 death.

At the settem of page 3 is the beginning of a discussion of Eddie Brawner. He is refferred to in a quesaises from the Commission material (26Ho33 as "Edward Bunnaur", to which Boxley has appended "Sic". Thereafter he goes into a big thing about the FBI "finally centers upon home woward BROWDER then in Atlanta, Georgia". There is no indication here of any search for any unpublished material on Browder, and there are now indexes available. There is no indication any of us working in these files were asked if we had any information. I can not be certain there is such, but it is my recellection there is anu I have it. This is not nearly as significant as what I yesterday learned from Jeel Palmer, that dealey had and withheld from the meme and the files other information and uscumentation he had. It is not consistent with what he here says. Brancer was also known as dr was addressed as "BRATHER". He ave seriey a telegram addressed to him under that name. Palmer showed it to me and Bid Fensterwald and I read it. It was a request that he join the day of Pigs perparations and activities. Although there was the inference (I believe Palmer made it specifie), that this telegram eriginates in Miami, the internal evidence is that it originated in ballas and was sent to a ballas address. With the interesting part of Bancy's story that her husband had been offered & job to take a beat to Cuba, smuggled arms in and get a sig price, it is simply incredible that this significant evidence was emit ted by accident, particular because of the big deal Berley made of the FBI switching of mames. I enecked with Ivon today and there is no copy of this telegram in the files. Bexley had it, withheld it, gave a dry apparently herexed en the office machine to Palmer, and no cae else knew of it (I also enecked with Sciambraj. It seems to me tuat certain inferences are here unescapable; he is helding out, especially what is inconsistent with what he is contriving, and all of this work is thereby suspect. I will return to this expecially in discussing my long session yesterday aftermeen with ralmer.

This is particularly peisonous int the light of this language : "There is me nothing to indicate that the FBI ever called on EDDIE BRAWNER (or YOUNGBLOOD either, for that matter) in connection with MANCY's statements and terms

testimeny".

This telegram indicates it had the same metive as Bexley, problem eliminating what was inconsistent with its preconception. The telegram supports inforences of Cuban involvements, seither the FBI nor Bexley find find find find this congenial.

incking here is any reason for W the sallas approach to the Perrins or any consideration that Namey might, indeed he psyche or a liar (there than on a slective basis, the albetion by Berley).

There are also internal inconsistencies sordering on deception. There is much that is without reason or reason being sought. Some of the ignored contradictions bring into question the dependability of the witnesses on which what I college to be a contrivance is based.

The Brawners, from whom the telegram that is denied the files was estained, place the date mancy was in ballas in 1902. As cited above, Youngslood (and here his wife), place the date in 1962 and seem firm about it. They also have a small daughter with Mancy, as no one clac does. "Nor could they explain to their ewn satisfaction why they would have known MARCY and BUB only as Starr while Youngshood knew them as Starr-derracted-to - PMRKIN".

One envisus possibility that is destructive to the prefabrication is that this Starr and Perrin are not the same (particularly with the fourteen year difference in ages that becomes apparent).

The cited "anomalys" are not exhausted (p.4) Sem. of the people knew the pair as Starr, others as Perrin. The clear possibility that this all derives from maney's falsehoods is avoided because, as I learned from Joel Palmer, he and bestley are determined not to acknowledge that she is a liar. What kind of people were some the enter into a pig deal with a pair whose names they could not even be sure of?

When this comment, "It was all PERALN could do to write a one-page lebler" is not bracketed with the quetation from nancy's testineny it follows, to the effect that he was a writer, as she was, without emphasizing the undependability of anything coming from her, the motive is suspect.

Mext we have Brawmer attending "meetings" with the Perrin's. Bexley says
"the pessibility...is good". Yet at the bettem of this page, he acknowledges that
Brawmer "was unable to pimpoint the house". From his report, that seems to be
a considerable understatement, for he seems not to have been able to even guess
the street on which it was. This is precisely as it was with Maney in her testimeny.
Brammer was taken 'en " a field recommaisance". Penn " nes could not come close
to any location from Maney's description when I asked him.

Brawner is gaid to have sacked out of whatever unspecified thing was the subject of his attendance at these "meetings" occause of a tale that the Colonel had suttens by which he made a phone-bell ring in another room. Palmer's explanation of this is to give him an excuse for conferring with confederates in another room.

If there was any need for such conference, it is not suggested in the memo, which offers cops and rescers but bething else, no explanation at all, or by Palmer, of an whom I sought it.

The field recensaisance sit is concluded with the statement that "residents of the area said that a large house had been demeliahed three or four years providualy", which would indicate that Souley knew the address, which he does not give. It also indicates he could have taken prawmer there, which he does not say, and that Brawner could not identify the address. However, this in the mome is under the wax date October 10, 1308 at the earliest, when, at 3 p.m., the Brawners were "contacted". Yet it was menths before that that Boxley told me about this. He was then quite positive that he had located precisely the right house.

From this it would seem that besley had the address of the house and his trusted informants could not identify the neighborhood or surroundings, despite his saying they had attended "mestings" there, and he withheld this intelligence · I rem his memerandum, or he fabricated the knowledge that he did have the location. Here also there is seeming significance in his helding out on me, even asking me, as he did a menth age, to stay off this aspect because it was so "tender" when he knew I had people who had spoken to Maney and who would go sack to her, and when A had asked ideatification pictures of nom to show to her, as she had agreed. It is difficult to regard the formulations, the omissions, the blanks in this brawner-Youngblood part of his report as innecence or simple imcomputance. To me it asounts to wilfull misrepresentation to Jim, who would be acting on the basis of his trust in coxley and the dependentility of his reports. He also there is lacking what is always missing, his appraisal or the reliability or the witnesses. instead he exudes confidence while carefully sadars not endersing his surces, along almost as though laying the foundational for escaping responsibility when the entire thing blew apart by saying, "all I do is report, nothing else". Generally and specifically this is not true. He knows his reports have control ever Jim's thinking and he knews he mat is responsible for pointing out the weaknesses of his information and his witnesses.

This avaidance of the reliability of the witnesses become more obviously deliber deliberate inax the new Orleans part, which then follows (beginning at the top of page 6). It begins with accurate quotation from Mancy's testimeny, that on leaving Dallas in the fall of 1901 they lived "part of the time down in one of the Sisters' places, down in the French Quarter on St. Phillip St. and then 1713 Calheum...and various other places which I cannot remember, sir." The only error I can recall from this quotation, without the testimeny in front of me, is the position of the apostrophe, and my recollection can be in error.

From this Bexley, with authoritativeness, quotes New Orleans fublic Service resords are inchesert Parris lived on the third fleer of 637 St. Phillip Street

at an unspecified time prior to Maron 1981 9, 1762, and left wing a bill of \$12.42 wjeck (which?) was turned over to the retail Credit Bureau on April 13, 1962, after attempts to collect it at 63St. Pulling St were unsuccessful on March 9-10 and April 13, 1962. " This is-impressively specific to the eye of the ouey reader, such as dipo pasty strangely-uneposities on analysis. It sooms malikely theretoe utility heads when record showing when service began, and it-is deportant to know this date accomes it might indicate when the ferrings reased how-writeans and it wants serially indicato macham bears is another wold-inches; how unless servers. sere fatout to dissemble second more clear to me with the following seregraph; The 1962 and 1964 city sirasteries for new urleans displace eccupents of 637 St. Phillip Street, where halff and mad resided upon arrival nere from pallas (empires accords for there is no increation this is where they lived for exrival Type Delles and reason to enegeet it to notice to have been Janks Evels toune not no o coupation) o Ealter A . Mahmont (plumber); and what . Cuttles ... is ternal Devante. Between without this time "value is black follows a common was accommon to make this hand-enthunid by wonforting to here mure a report of interviews with those people, but also they are missing of the list because no offert was made to obtain music such 'movieder, secures & leasund from Calmer that the come news dead and that his mife. meils owned the property . From this it would nise soon that the dutom of the spenia excupacity promounted no problem to a determined professional investigator. Marcoras be cause of the manner in which the nity directories in Men. streams are prepared to once every two years, with seminate address, that of ecompancy at the time of the inquiry seing the only one given, is it not unusual hear not only directory address. for the retring is given don that one is absunce from the directory in sately in it. met strange bee that there is as notation is re of consultation with the phone books, which would disclose whether or not they had shemes, or that the shows company records Ners and consulted to determine not only whether they had phones, but the addresses At which the shores with have been listed? star hasause I was treubled by this claring void in the seemingly therough and authoritative mame, which achieves an impressive tone with the inclusion of all the Massessery triviality that is not essential and the esission of all the obvious that is and annula have been readily available, and necause the capitalization effiche "S" in "Sister's", which is in the typed version of the meso as well as the printed eas of the Commission I consulted Berbara seid about this. Here let me note that for the aditor in Washington, as well as the court reporter in Washington, to have kaeva that maney was referring to the evacranip of this property by the Mother Cabrial staters without check is entirely unlikely. Semebody in Washington had to he have flad this knowledge, therefore, a search in the arc. ives was in order. It could have seen done by bethell or bexley by phone. the example bloom to be included Mere I direges to mate that and

any effort, even the minimal, was made to learn her moentity, if she ever existed. This quatation is givetal. Everything in the entire structure being built depends upon it. Failure to identify the "unknown weman temant", of any effort to learn her identity, makes the suspicion one either does not exist or would be destructive to the prefabrication as unavoidable possibility. He less suspect is the elimination from the summary memorandum for Carrison of the knews dubious engractor of the "reverend" landlard when it was known and is recorded elsewhere.

The man ultimately is said to have moved in. K is said never to have seen him "button at least two occasions he - KRUSCHEVSKI - 'broke into' the apartment in an effort to collect rest from the man." Pretty good. He doesn't knew who ewes him money, has me records, and preaks in when the man esviously is not there to collectr ent from the missing man. If he saw anything but "radio equipment", described as "several sets of", that is not recorded. Nor is it that, having seem this equipment of imputed value, no decime did not note it nostage for his mency. For what other purpose could no have proken in? Even the idea that this was radio equipment seems to have seen planted in K's mind, from the ether Memorandum. On this and related aspects that meme is beth interesting and in contradiction with this summary for Garrison by coxley:

"Mr. K says that he went ever several times (net - net that he ereke in) to confront the man whom he says he was not known to him by name and to collect the rest (confrontation for any other purpose?) . Mr. K says that he 'wrete several times to Mr. Walker (an esvious slip) to demand the rent. He finally served an ent eviction metice on this unknews gentleman and forced him to move". Berley and Palmer are not electrically shrowd in noting perenthetically "(on obvious slip)" and they are not excessivel diligent is not having traced out walker through the various available sources of through the court record of the alleged eviction notice. Should mere comment on this se necessary? This, like the rest, is not traced by the mest olementary police methods for a reason that would seem to be apparent: it would end the fabricated sase that is entirely without substance.

The bit on the "radio equipment": "Mr. K. stated that he thought the man was an engineer secause he had equipment in the apartment. When we imquired as to whether it was radio equipment, Mr. K. amswered affirmatively."

bid they first ask him if there were transits or levels or any other kind of engineering "equipment"? There is negsussestion of this. Any metering or measuring equipment of any kind? No, only "radio", and "several sets", which is consistent with theplanting of the notion that this was communication equipment for assassins, something not said but certaintly intended to se concluded. Why else was he asked about only radio equipment?

what, by thus time, could be more credible that these two excerpts from the

and sentence: "... KHRUSCHEVSKI stated heat he had not seen the man" and "he said the man was 'about fifty-five years old'." Is it necessary to say that if khruschevski did not kmen the name of the man he knew was named walker, he had to have had seme way of knewing the alleged age? If he had not seen his, as he did not knew his mane, he certainly must have knewn semeone who had seen him, the indication of any quest for this person is totally necessistent.

Indication of any quest for this person is totally disappeared, the same time as Fortuituen ly, the unnamed walker "finally disappeared, the same time as Parkella's death and within a week after Mas PERKIN's departure from the apartment,

which was on August 28-30, 1952."

With the knew relationship between the death and the departure, the emphasis added is unmessary, a literary device that is here a prepaganda device, but the glaring lack of even the messar cursory search for the unmaned named or the named glaring lack of even the messar cursory search for the unmaned named or the named walker, and no matter how rudimentary shock on the very obvious sources, is ample indication no fact was mented. It would be interesting to have ordinary police indication no fact was mented. It would be interesting to have ordinary police de what was not done here, not intelligence acents of super skills. Where this was done, the results are spectactlar - and long apposite to the statements of Berley's reports, as we shall see.

With this construction, we are left to assume that there was a connection between Mancy in 1713 and mameless Walker in 1715 (carlier described as "the apartment accross the hall from the PERGIN residence") language that seems in require

K is queted as naving volunteered that "the women in Mayer Merrison's office" and here, in parens, Berley adds" (Presumeably Mrs. Grad)"— only he said ""Grubb" and Jim corrected it to Grad) "once tried to rent an apartment from me for GUY BARISTER". This is a real wierde and more pair for Jim. Banister and Merrison were, palitical, antipodal. It is scarecely possible to bracket two prominent men in New Orleans who were more politically opposite each other, with less in common, or anything less likely that Mrs. Grad, whose mame brought other things to Jim's mind, having any interest in Banister for Merrison or any ether reason. He husband's printing shep, Jim notes, "was adjacent to Carles bringuler's". It was actually a closer relationship, bringuler reated from Grad the front of the building in which Grad had his shep.

The Kittess story bhat here follows is worth much more analysis that there is now time for. It, too, is carefully contrived, with the same missing pieces not sought, the same absence of testing, etc. Perhaps, occause of the crucial importance of the introducing of the picture and the identification of the "walking man", of the introducing of the picture and the identification of the "walking man", and bradley, by Berley, if I restrict myself to that aspect it can be regarded as a fair sample.

Introducing Bradley at the Jim was having serious legal reverses about him Introducing Bradley at the Jim was having serious legal reverses about him in California was about the is in California was about the in the case of the case o

On Spetember 27, 1968 (underlining in original, beginning with S only)
MASON AND DIAMME KITTESS were shown the photograph of "The Walking Man" and "
Frenchy" taken in beeley Plaza Navember 22, 1963".

I asked Jeel Falmer about this. Why, I wanted to knew, was a pictum Jump was convinced was of Bradley shown to these people. His reply was because it was suggested by the description previously given of this "55-year-eld" man. If this is the case, and I have no reason to believe it was, there is no suggestion of any kind of a description of this man, not from anyone. That picture seems to be of a man less than 55, with blond hair. In any event, the "ittesses were shown these six described pictures and no other, in Hexley's words, as though they had meaning, "simultaneously"."

It should be been in mind that this was aftermore afterward, 38 days later; hawrence Heward, Bill Seymour, Jack Starr(two) and Perrin (also two).Later a Picture of Loran Hall was said to have been added. Seymour was with a beard and museum hair, the others are dark and connected with another story that known to have spent any time in Newerleans. In any event, there is no similarity between them and Perrin or Starr, who hexley claims was substituted for Perrin. This selection is contrived to make Starr-Perrin identification automatic.

If this is dubious, designed to elicit predetermined response and undependable "identification", what can be said of what was done 38 days earlier, on what from the meme was the first meeting with the alttesses, when they were shown a picture of "The Walking Man" alone - meaning bradley, as far as in and Bexley are concerned? There is no explanationnfrom Berley and Palmer's is palpably false. There was no other picture used on this occasion. I made a limit mistake in going into this with Palmer, and he did not correct me. I said that including "The Walking man" with Halleheward-Seymour (and I mentioned no others) made his selection automatic.
Palmer merely smiled.

When, thereafter, on Mevember 4, the Aittesses were again shown the Walking Man picture, naturally tokey identified him again. "Resitive and ferceful" are the words Boxley uses to describe their "identification". Once he had getten them to commit themselves again, "Beth them were shown the h.v. Cormner's Office rhete +2027 and asked if it looked like the dead man who occupied 1715 Calhoun. Neither witness said that it did".

Previously, Diame Kittess had dientified a picture of rerrin as "resembling" the man who died, who sexley wants not to be considered to have been Perrin.

What he dared no emit is this: "Both agreed they had never seen the deceased clesely neugh to remember him." So, withall, no identification was at all dependable.

This type of photographic manipulation should require no further comment.
But it did not end there. "On Saturday. Mayenner 9. 1968. the KITMESS family...

Precondition, the Kittesses, quite naturally made "positive" identification of him as the man from everhead. And did they and detail.

There is more, but is it necked?

Because of time requirement, I skip now to the Boxley's 10-1-68 meme "Identification of Rebert Lee Perrin". This is designed to cast doubt on the identification of Perrin as the dead man. If, as I would nope is not the ease, it requires further analysis, it can be previded later.

All of these things have seen suildia, up to the 1122-68 (what a vergeful force selected this date) on "Arsenic death of ROBERT LEE PERRIN, August 25, 1962". It has the same purpose. It is the vital "proof" that semesne else was murdered, not Perrin (without support of any kind, Palmer told me it was a "seaman"). It would seem that the entire contrived case immediately falls apart when what was obvious and should have been done - and wasn't - was, seletedly, done. Boxley says the call, from wheever, to the State belies, was at L 1:35 a.m. (devicusly he seeks to place it even earlier). Then he says, "The German's Office Day Record Item 14040 states the victim was received at Charity Hespital at 4:15 a.m. and died at 6:05 a.m. WHY DID IT RESURE APPROAIGNTENT THO ROBES AND FORTY-FIVE MINUTES to get the victim to the hespital?"

I am confident this question impressed "in very much when he read it. With the eareful assembling of men-information, missinformation and distortion, by the time he get this he was ready to accept anything, as perhaps most bust men dependent upon trusted subordintes would have been.

The rest of the meme is of similar poison, so I restrict myself to this. I do note, however, no indication that the morgue record book was consulted and studied. Two days after dince and I got here, Frank Meleche was sent to consult the records of Chairty Mospital. They disclose that what Bexley said is false and explain why he did not emasult the emly original source. The ambulance carrying Perria reached the hespital at 2:45 a.m., a seemingly not unreasonable time when it is considered that the wrong opelice were called, they then called the right police, they got an ambulance, things were done at the scene, etc. The 4:15 time Bexley misquites is the time emergency treatment was ended in the emergency reem and Perrin was transferred to the ward.

Had the mergue book been consulted (Bexley's memos contain no such reference, but Palmer assured me Boxley teld nim they chad been), it would become immediately apparent that this page and every item on it was in order. Perrin is listed as number 2627. There are no skipped lines, no changes, and a number of us inspected the book when "suis Iven personally get it the same day, he says the officials say there has been no previous inspection of this. If ralmer is right, Bexley would seem to have perpetrated a deliberate fraud on Garrison and perhaps

had it not seem stopped, on history. If Palmer is wrong and the morgue officials are right, he deliberately avoided the required investigation that would have destroyed the fraudulent case he had carefully contrived and felsted off on the trusting Garrison.

There are many ether dubious aspects of this file. Things said to be in it are not. Other witnesses are similarly undependable, leady twisted, etc. I would think that at this point no mere is necessary. I emphasize this is a nasty analysis, with me apportunity for accreful reading of the file and that under adverse circumstances. There has not been time for a rearrading or the making of acceptances of this commentary. A suggest that if this is immediately apparent to me, a really caruful analysis would be much more overwhelming. Axxes

In passing, I want to note that while a great deal hangs on the story given to believe by a Mrs. Telesier, there is, consistently, no appraisal of her reliability and judgement. It nonetheless may have inadvertently provided by her opinion of Perrin. He "mus have been an educated man"... "because (he had said) this is my king arthur table..."

The second memo quebed from, that on the autopsy, etc., makes a major point of the absence of this and cit all other similar records for the year 1962. I have no knowledge of this, but as reading it I was reminded of something pavid Chandler had told me a mosth ago, that he was doing a story on unsolved deaths. While I was with him the chief of police phemod him to let him know the files were ready for his examination. I passed bavid he teld me that these old files are all stored rather haphasardly in a basement area. He checked to see if he has this one, he does not. But in any event, it seems as though there is nothing unusual in the absence of all the file for this year, because they are automatically moved into a storage area. It would seem set to be beyond the capacity of a skilled intelligence agent to learn an a report the normal distifuction of plu files.

deing, and because the reactions of each of them galvanised my attention, I made it a point to look up Joel Falmer. First, phened him before lunch on Friday and began talking to him, here I learned they had not made the slightest effort to give the atraight information on the "t. Fhilip correct spelling) St. residences. They did know the ewner of 037, told me the man who then had ewned the cuilding was dead and it was now ewned by insix his wife. Clearly, not the Sisters. Therefore, saids from those other ignored addresses, this means there is a deriversic history of Meney and probably perrin in New Orleans they have deliberately left out. The esvicus reason is that it would be inconsistent with the case they were building out of mething.

In the course of this conversation, sock made hints about the importance of Mancy in the story of the JFK assassination. I asked him what it was, He asked if I wanted it in two or three words. I said in whatever form he preferred . When he indi-

eated a reluctance to speak over the phone I immediately and a date to go to his home.
Without telling him in advance, I took bu d Fenstervald with me.

I spoke to Joel frankly, telling him no lies and in me way hiding my purposes. He seemed uneasy, and I said I was not accusing him of being an agent. I also pointed out that busy and h arranged as Jim Carrison was, he did not have time to examine every word of make his even, independent analyses, did not have time to examine every word of every memo, and, in effect, could become the creature of those he thusted. I asked him the restorical question, what would happen to Carrison if he was fed and used had information that was very bad. Joel agreed it would ruin everything. I said I had not made the investiment of time and money, suffered the sacrifices I have for anything like that to happen, and that I was, therefore, also deeley concerned for Garrison's accurity. We then proceeded.

be able to receive the preference for taping what we said, saying I'd not be able to receive the preference for taping what we said, saying I'd not be able to receive the said not make the risk of inaccurate recall. I also teld nim that I would be saying things of which I thought he should be arreserved and I exceused that is not tape, said no prefered that is not tape, said distinguished to himself at least not yisisly.

He had no satisfactory abaser to a single thing I asked or said. As we left, Bud said he had never seen a man so shredded. Of course, it was all quite and pelite. I took mest of the sectalled fact of the menes and bit by bit he acknowledged they were wrong or incomplete or untested. The shory they were contriving, in easence, is

It is not Perrin sut a sailor who was killed. Perrin went to Dallas and was part of the assassination, he did not specify what part. Prior to this it had been the plan to do the job at the dedication of the Mashville Ave. Wharf, a perfect Centrivance for the Shaw case (Russo's testimony). I asked why there and he said, "It is a second Dealey Plaza" (a year and a half shead of time.). He explained that after going under the bri dge, the motoreade could not turn around. He also insisted that escape would be easy. This point was preferable to such obvious alternatives as the railread tracks along dirline dighways: wall of the common of the with Perrin was an excellent shot and an assassin. Be apparently became Starr ence ne was cond. Maney was, without doubt, an accomplise or an accessory in him Marder, Hi waken for the evidence and the answer was it had to be. I maid whe im and perfect aliaiy sheever she was sleeping with at Baton Meage, and he corrected he to Wentwago, which hereald was a sure of miles and it has really and corried quater elebert the was entirely unable too produce anywas gestion of how she could the possibly be involved emothe evidence they had unbending on his insdetence it was as they said and that encouncils be charged syndian and an accessance of the SHOUT agined this way benieve be called us off when I wan increated and wanted to have

tateos sut insist ene en am arm was missin, in this description.

He insists berley teld him he had checked the morgue sook, that the autopsy was a trached to the file (and the 23 letters of the mother's also are met in it).

In the men-explanation I get, this was a murder for no reason, without any peofit, by people who had mething in it, and regardless of where she was, how far away or etherwise occupied, hancy was in on her nussand's murder. It just had to be that way, and if there was no evidence, no crediting any of it, if none of it was in any way solid, it still had to be that way.

He acknowledged I was one of the few who had been interested in mancy sastery? from the first, had written of her, and had continued that interest. He acknowledged having interested me in the Jack soundblood possibility and that at the very least it had to be checked out — and wasn't.

Although he had eriginally teld me he was deing a seckmen Banister, forgatting this he teld me his book was on the "probe". When I pointed out the disercpancy, he pretended it was enough to say there would be mention of Banister in it.

He still does not have the alyea film for me, this time becomes it is in a beausewhere in California, with his a tuff.

Originally no was going a story. Then he was doing a book, as I understand it, to be done in 4-b weeks. Having seen in New Origans several months, he new says he has moved his residence here. He tacks visible means of support. The top price Confidential pays is \$200. With an occasional repeat in another of the same house's properties, the yield per story is small. His thavel expenses on that story, those of which I know on a single trip, consumed that and more. If he get what is, for today, a good advance, it was gone sefere he get to work here and lacks visible means of supporting misself in this new city and on a very decent level, from the norms of this kind of writing.

He agreed it was edd for Boxley to be turning me off when I was in town and made inquiries, yet when I was available he used Turner as a corresponding witness, and the report of that interview has disprepertionate length on that. He acknowledged furner served he special propose, that he knew of he knewledge of this aspect Turner had, and that he did knew I did haveknewledge. He acknowledge this did make it seem that Boxley was trading on turner's name and feared having me with him and in a position to see what was going on. I told him of the letter I in d written Boxley and why, and that I'd had he response.

The same of the sa these sucttional things from the few hundanities notes I was able to makes Jest said that as his last information Jack Toungoloss of the back was at hancho Santa soma, Ashdown, ark. he talaka be is still there. It is devicus that , ascertaining whather he was the loungelood of the hancy testimony would have b = en'a simple ma; thr. Toungalous is not mentioned in sancy's depositions. From this it soums the government had no reason to suspect the would use his name. He is satisfied the mailes foundablest is tem right one, for no other reason that he offered. dis phone conversation with mancy was 3-16-co. Her new headens is sensed hamilton, he reises (reces?) horses. A.ch tele him that maney was a Lowernment aguat. is achieviouges liter's properly source was kancy. I asked his what her functive soult to ent our said caby sitter, I asked for showy Perris. For what? ... appearantly for the assessination so far in the Cuture. The Pal got and kept art the sectional records teathwere not disposed of toriginals, They at liberts algolifornes to west they regard as the welay to signing the sutopay reports downwork to descensiones this so has to have been upon within two ears and that had las work to included in it. I got to measingful emplacement of the German calls that seem to figure so in oriently in their care and dia's thicking. The Branner twiegens was impainted bestor 1-5-01. Jost said it was a bey of Pigs offer, arginer days no knows mething about this. It did originate is Dallage These my not must the posterious meening: Child- no record in halles but in National

Placing per in lease time of merassination.

"Lyidence and had an abartica here, too".

Several speathing papers, "etique" including after or on 1-4.

Enmilton has gamey, restin only husband and side t.

Agreed pictures notes to waiting man only.