Senator Daniel Patrick Moynihan U.S. Senate Washington, D.C. 20510 7627 Old Receiver Road Frederick, Nd. 21702 12/29/91

Dear Senator Haynihan,

Your excellent oped piece in this morning's Post prompts this documentation for some of the belief and suspicions your pressed when JFK was assassinated, particularly because from what has happened in the past, you maybe criticized for it.

I obtained the documents I enclose by FOIA lawsuits of which I filed about a dozen. The Congress amended the investigatory files exemption in 1974 over FBI corruption in one of my earlier suits.

"Unless we investigated the event with exactly that presumption in mind," you said of the possibility there had been a conspiracy, people would believe there had been one.

No realinvestigation was ever intendfor made. When Katzenback was deputy AG and then acting AG, as soon as he leavied that Oswald had been killed 11/24/63 he wrote a memo for LBJ through his channel, Bill "oyers. It was retyped very early Monday 11/25. I have a copy of his holigraph, a DJ file copy and one from the FBI's files. This same memo recommends what became the Warren Commission. I have an abundance of FBI records reflecting its effort to frustrate any investigation other than the one it would make.

You say that LBJ set "a chief justice with no great intEllectaria intellect to do a job that the a corrupt FBI was well content should not be done." Close. You should have said "saw to it would not be done." Bearing on this, and I have many more relevant documents, I enclose a fiamage-control ticklet. (I added the papeclips to call judicial attention to what they say when I used this in a FOIA lawsuit. This records was disclosed to my friend "ark Allen. He proceed to litikate requests we had both made when health reverses made litigation impossible for me. Which also explains my typing, for which I apologize.)

You err in what follows saying that Epstein laid it all out in his master's thesis. His was not the first, far from the most complete, and it supported the FBI against the Commission. "Oh, my God!" you said of Oswald. "I thought the Texans would kill him," I not only had the same belief, I predicted it to my wife before it happened. Here, I think, i**\$** the explanation for Oswald's going to a movie, a <u>place</u> place where for at least a while he could expert to avoid being lynched.

Hoover had an inistat vision of a lone-nut assassin. I have FBI records on this and all else I say about it.But the FBI's failure to conduct a real investigation is recorded in filled-office records generated before any order # from FBIHQ could have directed avoiddance of any real investigation. I'll supply this and any other documentation that may interest you if any does.

From the thousands of letters I've gotten from them I believe you are correct in saying that this wretched Oliver Stone commercialization and exploitation of that assassination in which he makes the tragic fraud Garrison the hero will have a very bad effect on our Young people. Politically especially this is unhealthy.

I doubt you can belive how corrupt and dishonest Garrison's book is. When I learned that "tone was using it as the basis of his movie I wrote him 2/8/91 in great detail that he would be rewriting the history of this tragedy based on a fraud and a travesty. I offered more than enough for him to ask questions if he doubted my word, attached some documentation, offered more and to respond to questions. When some time passed and he did not respond I set out to cause the great controversy that did ensue and that Stone attributes to the CIM, its allegedly paid-off reporters and "The Establishment." I gave George Lardner my letter to Stone, a copy of the script I'd been given and whatever he wanted of my Garrison files. I spont much time in New Organs. It began with an effort to learn more about Oswald, it ended with damage-control, in part at the request of Garrison's staff. I did prevent incredibly insame magnifications of the national disgrace Garrison had already caused in his imagined but non-existing case against Clay Shaw. The staff had tried and failed to talk Carrison out of his planned commemoration of the fifth assassination anniversary.

They apparently believed that with my with bject-matter knowledge and prvious pr6fessional experience, as a reporter, investigative reporter, Senate investigator and editor and World War II intelligence analyst (055) 1 might succed.

One of the new charges Garrison planned to make, alleging additional assassins, was of a man he knew had killed himself in 1962 yet he was to be a 196<u>3</u> Grassy Knoll killer!

This is unreconizable in Garrison's book and the original Stone script has faithfully in it Garrison's knowingly false account, which he fired a man over, claiming that what he himself had made up was in fact the invention of that man, quegedly infiltrated onto is taff by the CIA. In fact Garrison had hired him ppersonally over strong staff objections.

In fairness to Garrison whose great national disgrace cannot be exaggerated, he was also a man of principle on occasion. You refer to the movie having "homosexual orgies" in Shaw's "town House." (I do not recall this from the original script so I presume it was used to replace the serious flaws in the script that were publicized.) Shaw's was actually a modest and quite small house. When Garrison's detectives searched it with a warrent they discovered s/m paraphähalia. An enterprixing reporter got the publicly-available return on the warrant. Garrison did not leak any of d, including those whips and chains. One of the detectives who made the search told me that in the bedroom ceiling there were hooks around which there way much shudging, as from sweaty palms. This has never been used. The FBI also knew he was s/m and homosexual and lied about it.

Shaw was also a man of culture, a dwamatist one of mose plays was made into a movie. However, there is no reason to believe a word about him in the movie. He's connection that both Garrison and Sharing about with the CIA, from what is known, is limited, as is that of millions of Americans, to contact with the CIA's domestic-contact service, proper con-

2

nection and a normal and essential intelligence interest and function.

The Congress itself is not without some justified criticism over what did not happen, a real investigation of this great tragedy.

Among those I tried to interest, Wayne Horse would not touch the matter. Mac Mathias read the manuscript of my first book (of seven) the first two nights he had it when he was home, then near here, as he recovered from surgery. among the efforts he made was trying to interest Manny Celler, chairman of the judiciary committee of which Mac was a member. Later he asked me to go to the conmittee of you were a member, intelligence. That led to nothing. I suppose it never will because no political figure can hope to survive politically any real investigation of the FBI, which, inwvitably, any look at the JFK assassination must include if not in fact begin with.

The Schweiger subcondittee and the House Select Condittee in Assassinations were to my personal knowledge farcical, the latter beginning with the intent to support the official mythologies.

While I believe there is ja no prospect of any real investigation, I believe one is vital to national needs and to the functioning of representative society in particular.

At 78 and with serious health impairments there is not much I can not do except try to leable a truthful and accurate record for history. This is one of the reasons I caused exposure of what Stone is up to and have informed the media about since.

I am not and never have been a conspiracy theoriest. While I have brought to light nost of what is factual and known about the assassination, I debunk all the imagined solutions I can. Like Garrison/Stone.

That there was a conspiracy is without any question at all, as is' the fact that this was known officially. This is quite separate from who the assassins were. Of this there is no lead in the official records. (I have about a third of a million pages of which about a quarter of a million are on the JFK assassination investigation.) The official "investigations" daw to this. When the Secret Service was following a promising lead, the FBI had it called off. I later followed it myself and it was productive and could and should have been followed further than a private citizen can.

If you personally, if only for a better record for history, or any committee, wants to know what - have established, I'll take all the time desired and provide documentation. But physically it is not safe for me to go to Washington, meduce received.

Everything I have, including about 60 files cabinets and many boxes of records, will be a permanent public archive at local food college. There was no quid pro quo. Dr. Gerald McKnight there and Dr. David Wrone, University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point, both have good subject-matter knowledge. Both are historians and dear friends.

by thanks For you, taking time for this article and for your political course and honesty in describing the FBI as "corrupt." (Barry Goldwater, at Mac's request, corrected some of its defamations of me in the intellignnee-committee's records.) Best wishes,

## THE WASHINGTON POST 12/29/96

## Daniel Patrick Moynihan

## The Paranoid Style

I thappens I was in the White House at the hour of John F. Kennedy's death. There were a dozen or so of us (I was an assistant labor secretary at the time) seated in a circle in presidential assistant Ralph Dungan's large southwest corner room on the first floor. We were a few doors down from the Oval Office, where the rug, or something, was being changed and the furniture emptied out. The president's famous rocking chair was resting on top of a pile of cabinets and such in the little anteroom just outside. (Come to think of it, this may be the only "proof" of a conspiracy that Oliver Stone's movie "JFK" somehow overlooks.)

There was no formal announcement that the president had died—just a time when everyone knew. Nor did we do anything; there wasn't anything to do. Or not much, anyway. McGeorge Bundy got up and went over to a telephone, asking in a quiet voice that he be put through to the secretary of defense, Robert McNamara. The door opened, and in burst Hubert Humphrey, eyes streaming. He grasped Dungan, who had risen. "What have they done to us?" he gasped.

"They," of course, were those people down in Dallas. No one in particular, just the bunch that never did like Kennedy, one of them—or whatever—crazed enough to do some cowboy shootout thing. A little later I was interviewed on television, and from some unfathomed recess there rose the opening words of Prospero's soliloquy in "The Tempest": "Our revels now are ended." That is what had happened.

But there was another matter. What would the American people *think* had happened? Late in the afternoon I learned on the radio of the arrest of a man who had been involved with Fair Play for Cuba, or something like that. Oh, my God! I thought, the Texans will kill him. Keep in mind that this was a nation only just coming out of a period of near hysteria on the part of some about Jesuits, with their infernal Inquisition, are the only organized powers in the world which have recourse to the dagger of the assassin to murder those whom they cannot convince with their arguments or conquer with the sword."

The president particularly regretted "the Roman Catholic traitors" that so infested the Union army. Let it be noted that Lincoln's secretary of war, Edwin M. Stanton, believed that the assassination had indeed been a Catholic plot. Note also that the copy of "Fifty Years" that I was carrying around had been specially reprinted for the 1960 presidential election, which is the only reason I knew about it.

We got nowhere, Macy and I. In truth, I probably got into trouble. I was heard as saying not that people were likely to think there had been a conspiracy unless we investigated properly, but that there had been a conspiracy. The Warren Commission did not see its work in anything like the perspective I had hoped for. It was Lyndon Johnson at his worst: manipulative, cynical. Setting a chief justice of no great intellect to do a job that a corrupt FBI was well content should not



fantasies of the right—Ike as a tool of the Red etc.—and certain of their characteristics, suc as the redemptive role of ex-communists i exposing the conspiracies (similar to that of th ex-Catholic priests of yore). But he knew we enough the paranoid style of the left also, as i illustrated in this passage:

"... the clinical paranoid sees the hostile an conspiratorial world in which he feels himself t be living as directed specifically *against him* whereas the spokesman of the paranoid styl finds it directed against a nation, a culture, a wa of life whose fate affects not himself alone bu millions of others. Insofar as he does not usuall see himself singled out as the individual victim c a person conspiracy, he is somewhat more ratior al and much more disinterested. His sense tha his political passions are unselfish and patriotic, i fact, goes far to intensify his feeling of righteour ness and his moral indignation."

It is in that sense a rationalizing mode. Fact are everything—and facts are *never* accidenta "For every error or act of incompetence one ca substitute an act of treason." And always, c course, this is proof of "the existence of a vasi insidious, preternaturally effective internation: conspiratorial network designed to perpetrat acts of the most fiendish character."

And so to "JFK." It could be viewed as parody The homosexual orgies in the New Orlean town house of the villain Clay Shaw are straight ou of Maria Monk's nunnery in Montreal. The general boozing it up as they plan the murder of thei commander-in-chief are straight out of Ramparts i a slow, week in the '60s. The black waiter who hear nothing is, well, MGM in the '30s. A John Birc look-alike is the fake erudition. Garrison is foreve going on about those who practice to deceive, abou riddles wrapped in mysteries inside enigmas. O particular note: "Let justice be done, though th