April 21, 1966

109090-476

1X-114

Mr. Charles E. Stanton 412 East 65th Street New York, New York 10021

Dear Mr. Stanton:

Your letter was received on April 18th during
Mr. Hoover's absence. You may be assured it will be brought
to his attention upon his return. I know he would want me to
thank you for your thoughtfulness in writing.

Sincerely yours,

API: 211966

osper ollaham
onrad oll ole Sale Sullivan
Tavel -

Helen W. Gandy Secretary

1 - New York - Enclosure

NOTE: Correspondent is not identifiable in Bufiles. Correspondent's self-addressed, stamped envelope is being used in reply.

DFC:sep (4) per

(4) p

Huyan

INF TO THE STATE OF THE STATE O

APR 28.1866 TELETYPE UNIT

guy

61.1

Dear Sir/Madam:

To not DESTROY or disregard

While I realize that this could easily be your first impulse Mr. Calls Mr. Filt For it is so often mine when I receive unsolicited mail-it is important that you'll Gale

at least consider the contents.

I say this because the very validity of this project depended. Real Mira Holo entirely on your eminence as a person-for there can be little doubt that future Game generations will consult and evaluate, the searching, incisive or just common serio comments you may feel free to make.

Mr. Rosen Mr. Sum

Mr. Tavel Mr. Treat

On Monday September 28,1964, when the Warren Commission finally released their voluminous report on the assassination of President Kennedy, they had this to say, in Chapter One, Summary & Conclusion:

> "The assassination of John Fitagerald Kennedy on November 22,1962 was a cruel and shocking act of violence directed against a man, a family, a nation and against all mankind."

On the whole, I believe that one compassionate sentence from the report has been accepted with universal unanmity but unfortunately, the report itself-despite an enthusiastic endorsement by Louis Nizer-has not been as well received.

In October 1965, a book titled "The Unanswered Questions about the Assassination of President Kennedy" written by Sylvan Fox-a Pulitzer Prize reporter-unleashed a whole gravamen of grave doubts at the Warren Commission's considerable sins of CMISSION.

Following in February 1966, at the annual meeting of The American Academy of Forensic Sciences convening in Chicago, several of a panel of experts made up of doctors, lawyers, criminologists et al ment on record as being appalled 62-109090 at the "unprofessional" modus operandi of the Warren Commission in arriving historical decision.

In view of these distinguished demurrers what I should to ascertain is: ONDENC

ARE YOU for the most part in agreement with the conclusions of

crased act was the work of ONE and ONLY ONE assassin

from the technicalities and nology of the report-

WHERE WERE YOU on that fateful Friday and what were your In-IEDIATE reactions to this terrible calamity?

Naturally, I would approciate whatever recollections or reflections you may be able to summon up.

Copies of this letter are being addressed to a spectrum of opinion all the way from Bertrand Russell to Bill Buckley and from General Edwin Talker all the way to Dwight David Eisenhower. It will include a cross section of personalities, politicos, clergymen, lawyers and all shades of newsmen.

Arthur Schlesinger Jr.in his Borrellian account of the Kennedy era, "A Thousand Days"-and some 1,100 pages-fails to even mention the existence of a Lee Harvey Oswald and this is how he defends his notable omission as reported by -Bob Considine, Harch 10,1966.

"My feeling about the murder of President Kennedy is that it was motivated not by politics, but by madness, and my point about Dallas in "A Thousand Days" was that a city of such exceptional hysteria and violence might well subvert the already twisted psyche of a weak and impressionable man like Oswald and lead him to terrible actions.

The issue in short, seemed to me not a question of ideology, whether Right or Left but irrationality vs rationality."

This is an opinion Earvin Belli also shares in his "Dallas Justice" but I am not certain whether YOU agree with this rationale. Possibly, there can be no consensus. That ever thoughts you do have will certainly be most appreciated.

Naturally, I am not expecting an avalanche of responses considering my avesome anonymity and a possible reticence concerning the inquiry but whatever replies I receive, I hopefully expect to form the basis of an article.

P.S. you've me of my

Thanking you.

Charles E. Stanton

COPY TO: Gue Hall, C.P.A. \ Has to include this burn