

I also had a call from a woman in Houston who said she is researching a JFK assassination book for a man who wants his name kept secret. The thrust of what she said, and I did not make any notes, is that he has something like a special source of ^{or} special information. Her name is Sue Stanislaus (phon) and she seemed intelligent and quite rational.

Her unidentified author, she said when I said he or she could have access to what I got under FOIA, "has an office in Washington." Because she had told me he does not want to be identified I did not question her about this rather odd, to me, suggestion that he may be from Houston or Texas and may spend some time in Washington. Perhaps a lawyer?

That he is writing a book or is beginning to and wants and expects anonymity is to me strange. Well, more than just strange. Close to paranoia and reflective of what is of dubious rationality otherwise. *Maybe fear?*

Neither he nor she knows anything about the field or what has been published. The one book she mention is Marrs'. The only so-called experts she referred to are the Dallas nuts of whom she mentioned only Larry Howard. He apparently referred her to Lesar at AARC and he suggested she phone me.

I gave her to understand that she has been talking to nuts (she said she had begun to get that feeling), with specifics on Howard and Marrs' book.

Her guy seems to begin with the belief that just about everything is classified and I explained the reality to her. Between them neither knew I had published anything or what I have and make available. Including to them if he so desires.

Her call was Thursday evening. I told her that if he wants to speak to me not to call until after 6:30 last evening. (I wanted to be able to try to catch up with accumulated mail.) If he did not want to identify himself, Ok, I'd still answer any questions. He has not phoned.

I suppose he is using her as his researcher because she, married, can work part-time and because he knows her to be competent, whether or not there is any other kind of relationship, like their being friends or his having used her for research in the past. (She does not have a Texas accent.)

In the past there have been a ~~number~~ number of people who have claimed to have someone with personal knowledge as a source. Sometimes, true of the last one I heard from, they claim to have confessions. The impression I got is that her guy has something along this line.

It does not necessarily follow that he is a nut but it is clear that he knows nothing at all about the subject or what has been published. He could be a responsible man who has been told something he believes. And has the means to pursue it. She expressed no interest in getting any of my books or asking about any others. From this I take it that she is reflecting his approach and expressed interests and that what has been published is not of interest to him. She asked only about classified records.