Dear Dick,
$I_{t}$ is more then good to hear from you with your offer. Sylvie had told me of your stalwart backstopping but not, sa $I$ had feared, of her being so badly outnumbered. Schiller is slippery enough by himself.

There are, indeed, several projects in whith i buve immediate interest, and no capacity for I've so much more to do than I cen, and there are but the two of us with a big hunk out of each day on the printed books, letters, meilings, packefing, billing, etc.

You (and other) appear right about Altgens. I was too hasty in the caption in the spoendix, for the text goes through the whole thing properly and correctly. But the resl error is Liebelerts, and I'di like a coment from him on what I dide I wes not aware of the fact thet the line shows inthe reconstruction picture, but it does, is you sey. I do not belleve the lines were changed.

Just had a call from $\tilde{D}_{a l l}$, wh I'd asked to see if Barry Gray can use me Mednesday night. He can, and $I^{3}$ hope alone. Will you please tell Sylviay I dontt know what this will do to my search for a bed with ray friends, but I presume the show runs lote, as theothers do, but I hope it will not conflict with the hope I expressed to her that we can dine together Tuesday night.

I am working (although I've been alile to get little done for severel weeks) on HEITEWABH III. There are a number of things I'd like tominclude. One is a list of lawyers in elphabetical order with the witnesses each examined. I would like this for my debetes with them which I believe will come to pess beginning the end of this month. Another is a list of all the pictures available and not sought, seen and/or used by the Cominssi on (wifich means elso the photogrephers). I presume that at this point, with my besic work having been completed for two years, there are many I'll forget. I plan two of the few cheptere on the suppression of evidence, including of the autopsy $s$ tuff. So, this could be extremely helpful. ilso, I'd like every available reference to any material on any of the cheracters in the story of the Felse False Oswald.

I am apparently alone in saying that where Zapruder shows Altgens after 313 mey not be where Altgens was at 255 . ${ }^{D} \theta$ seems to heve seid he didn't move. I do not belleve it. I believe he probably was where he dumped his bag, and probably silightly behind it. Liliian Catelleno was going to send me a copy of the plat, large size, but hesn't. Ray Marcus has already done something with it, of wich I have a copy on mich he may since have mada corrections. I believe he has. If he does not heve a copy to spare for you I can return mine to him for checking gathe can se send it to you, or I can send it directly to you. It is not quite the same size es yours. It comes from 'illian's'. Also, 882 and 3 are not quite idetical 585 (see my Willis chapters). Lnd on Hilis Lillian hes done dome brilifant work, of which I used Clint Hili's shoulder in addition to my own. If she has no extrs copies of what she has done and you want they, I can lend youmine. On Wolper. I've tried in NYC through Metromedia, Without success. Ditto in DC. Lifton was going to do something in LA and either didn't or falled. I've seen it in the Archives. I have not done whet you project and think it can be valuable. I'd like to use it if gou have it done in time, with credit, in III. I hope there will be no IV: Getting our hands on the unused aictures is not easy. I've had no response from those I've written to. It takes too much mohey to think of what the TV stations have. As soon as $I$ get a chance to do something with the photographers, I'll send you a copy. If you get anything besidis those I remember ofichand in addition to those you mentioned: Moorman, fughes, Eabushks lady; simpson and another Canadian from the east, whose names escapes me; Tom Allyes; George hoenix: Ron Reilend. There is also library work then might be done on Felse Oswald. Thenks very much for the offer and anything you do.

Sinceraly.
Herold Yel ste rg

Dear Harold:
Shortly after sending you the order for Whitewash II, d contacted Sylvia Meagher, A am now living at The University Club in New Cork and have access to theirs 25 volumes in their library, Apter wasting some time trying to find things, \& decided of needed Mas Meaghers index. A called her to find out where to obtain a ropy and this lek to our getting together for lunch.
as a result of that conversation, d offered to help her or any of the current researchers including yourself in any way t could. Being in New Cork might have some advantages and since in now living alone, \& have some time available which Q hat not previously had.

As a result of this offer, Mrs Meagher invites me to attend the Barry Gray show last night, during which she discussed the new Capitol record on the assassination with Mr thiller who produced it, and three other anti-conspiracy men, including Hewing J. Taylor. It was awful. the was so hopelessly outnumbered she could do little to defend the position of the researchers, including you. Shiller quoted you once out of context and plewry Taylor responded by saying hid never heard of you,

His never read any of the books
by researchers, nor has he read the Report or any of the 26 volumes. Ages one shouldn't be surprised at this, since Robert Kennedy haunt either, A do get upset over well meaning members of the $P$ ress, Radio and $T V$ and magazines who make supposedly learned comments without having examined the evidence objectively.

Sylvia told me you were going to try to tune in Barry Gray in the second evening. \& hope you were able to in' spite of the fact that the whole two evening episode made me want to throw up.

I have been trying to do something constructive now that of have mare time. A started with your analysis of the Altgens photograph and the Willis photo, to see if $d$ could prove that the JFK car was behind the oak tree when the photos were taken. The ferret device re-enactment photos Taken on Dec 5,1963 are very interesting (Exc 875 of believe) They show the position of a test car from the swath floor window avith respect to the white lines. He sens unlikely that those lines had been repainted or moved by Dec 5, 1963, whereas they might have been by the time of the $F B I$-Commission reenactment

Do you fenow whether those lines were changedffeen, or as of now?

In Afraid d have some disappointing news for you. Assuming the white lines were not changed pp to May 1964, the line next to the front wheel of JFK's car in altgins' photo appears to be the fifth; not the fourth, line from the comer of Elm \& Houston.

There is a white line hidden under the JFK ferret service follow up car in the altgent photo. You can tell this by looking at the FBI Re-enactment picture of the altgens photo. The fourth white line shows in the re-enactment picture in the exact position which would place it under the ferret device car.

This also checks with altgens' position and a line brawn on the large surveyor's map of the area (Exhibit 883), between altgen's position and the line of sight in his photo, passes over the fifth white line rather than the fourth. ot have been able to place altgens in the map because be shows up in the Nix film as well as the Zapruder film. The Zapruder shot of him has no reference point in the background, but the $N$ ix shot of him can be lined up with the steps across. Elm $f t$.

However, it spite of this disappoint men

There is still the Will's picture, which you have shown in Whitewash II, demonstrates without any doubt, that the JFK car was behind the tree, or had mot even reached the tree, at the time of the first shot.

A obtained the large virginal of the surveyors plat, and am now in the process of spotting all photoynpher on it and the positions of the JFK car at all fromes of zapruder and the shots taken by willis, altgens, Nix \& Muchmore, dim showing on it where the sur iva behind the oaktree, where the fatal shot hit, where Connally was probably hit, where the first shot probably was fired etc.

L'd like also to spot on it positions of. other photographers such as those in the Wolper film you found in the Archives. Can you tell me whether the Wopper movie can be bovowved or purchased?

Have you done any of this already? If so, perhaps dishould not spend the time duplicating your efforts and should concentrate on something else which would assist you in your efforts. d have no interest in publishing anything, and would just as soon turn over my results to you for incorporation in Whitewash II or IV.

SUbici's boo is already to far along

To use much of whatever a might do. the has suggested $d$ write $t o$ you and offer cooperation.

Can you tell me what other "still photographers have pictures in the Archives or elsewhere that ivere not used by the Commission: A have abeady noted the Betzner photo and the TV footage you mentioned in Whitewash II. How can we get our hands on them?
o have called Wolper Productions in New Cork to see if $d$ can beg, borrow, bay or steal the JFK film. fo for, they don't seem to know about it, although they promised to look into it,

If ot can be of any assistance or if you would be interested in anything d might turn up in Newtork please let me know.

Sincerely,
Dick sfrague
1.S. It gives me great pleasure to be working with the 26 volumes at the University Club. They were donated by one of the members, Mr e John J. McCoy.

