Dear Harold,

The Syraque article represents a new low on the factual level alone. Of course, his "facts" are merely facts and his "interpretations" are also Penn Jones' ilk, utterly bereft of rational analysis.

The Susan article is from The National Enquirer and the media blackout on this matter is terrifying in its implications.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
The Assassination of President Kennedy —
Declassification of Relevant Documents from the National Archives

"The people of the United States have a right to know, to find out the truth about the lies they have been told."

Richard E. Sprague
Hartsdale, N.Y.

The precedent-setting ruling on the Pentagon Papers by the U.S. Supreme Court produced the revelation that "Secret" and "Top Secret" government documents prove that the American people have been deceived and lied to about Vietnam and U.S. involvement for many years.

One of the immediate reactions of an American citizen is: "How many other lies have been told by our governing officials, which would be exposed by declassifying and publishing other classified documents?"

Resting in a special area in our National Archives are a group of Secret and Top Secret documents pertaining to the assassination of President John F. Kennedy in November, 1963. Publication of these papers should reveal a great deal about that assassination. They may contain the facts about a conspiracy, the names of some of the conspirators, information about the planning for, and the backing of the conspiracy, and, most importantly, proof that the American public have been told many lies about the assassination by the Warren Commission and by others.

The documents fall into two categories: (1) Warren Commission executive session minutes; and (2) reports submitted to the Commission by government agencies. The former documents were classified top secret by the Warren Commission itself, and are listed partially in Reference 5. The latter category includes reports from the FBI, CIA, and Secret Service, classified Secret or Top Secret by each agency. The Commission did not actually see most of these documents because they were assembled in the Archives after the Commission disbanded. Reference 6 gives a list of the titles of these documents, the agency involved, and the document number. The classifications are all Top Secret, Secret, or Confidential.

How do we know the documents may be revealing?

As in any complex web of information about a subject, correlation of some data with other data, provides a value judgment of validity or significance. In the complex case of the assassination of President Kennedy, it is necessary to correlate the titles of the documents, dates, and names of the classifying agencies against a vast body of evidence about the assassination.

The National Committee to Investigate Assassinations (NCTIA) has gathered together a wealth of evidence extant and has performed such a correlation against the classified documents. The sources for the evidence gathered are:

- Warren Report
- Twenty-Six Volumes of Hearings and Exhibits of the Warren Commission
- Warren Commission Documents in Archives (About 10 times the size of the 26 Volumes)
- Senate Investigation Files (Senator Ed Long, Subcommittee, 1967-68)
- NCTIA Investigations
- Books and articles

The work of correlating this vast amount of information is tedious; it requires many people and man-hours. Two computer systems are being developed to help researchers and committee members with the analysis.

Enough work has already been done to illustrate the probable significance of the classified papers. Here are a few examples:

Lee Harvey Oswald's Relation to the FBI

Thesis: Oswald was a paid FBI informer and the Warren Commission probably covered up this fact.

Many meetings of the Warren Commission are still classified Top Secret. (See Reference 5.) The dates of many of these sessions follow immediately after sessions described in a book by Gerald Ford, one of the Commissioners.

At these sessions beginning January 21, 1964, Ford says the Warren Commission was concerned because Waggoner Carr, Texas Attorney General, told them that he had information about Oswald being a paid informant for the FBI. The classified sessions would, no doubt, be very revealing on this subject.

The Commission wound up merely asking J. Edgar Hoover whether Oswald was a paid informer or not. Hoover said no; and that ended the matter as far as the public record (including Ford's book) is concerned.
The NCTIA however has developed several correlated pieces of evidence, including recent statements from one of the FBI agents Oswald reported to in his former role. Collectively, this evidence shows that Oswald was indeed being paid by the FBI to infiltrate various pro-Castro and anti-Castro groups as well as the JFK assassination team. He reported his findings to three different FBI agents, two in New Orleans and one in Dallas. He attended several meetings in Mexico City where the assassination was planned. He reported to the FBI that President Kennedy was to be assassinated in Dallas just a few days before that happened.

On the day before the assassination and on the morning of the assassination, the special agent in Dallas that Oswald reported to, was trying to find him in order to obtain more information from him.

A record exists that the FBI received the information from Oswald about the forthcoming assassination, but the FBI did nothing about it except for the futile attempts of the agent to find Oswald. The record in the form of a TWX message appeared on November 17, 1963, on the FBI teleprinter in New Orleans relayed from Dallas via Washington, D.C. William S. Walters, FBI security clerk in New Orleans, retained a copy and gave it to District Attorney Jim Garrison of New Orleans.

With this type of correlative evidence and the relationship of the Secret Executive Session dates to Wagmore Carr's visit, it is a fairly good bet that the sessions were classified because the Commission discovered most of the other evidence and did not want it known that Oswald was an FBI informer.

However, even if this is not the case, the public is entitled to know what went on in those sessions. The chances that declassifying them now and publishing them will endanger our national security after nearly eight years, are slimmer than "endangering national security" by publishing the Pentagon Papers has been.

One of the classified sessions worried the Commission so much they went to the extreme of forcing the stenographer to destroy his notes. (See Reference 5.)

In addition to the Commission's secret sessions, various classified FBI documents on doubt would reveal Oswald's in-informer status. Reference 6 includes document 911, "Telephone numbers on 17th page of Lee Harvey Oswald's address book/Washington, D.C." This document may reveal that Oswald had placed in his book the phone numbers of the three FBI agents to whom he reported as a paid informer.

There are a total of 46 documents classified by the FBI concerning Oswald. Most of them are labelled "Lee Harvey Oswald" and a city such as Chicago or Washington. This would tend to indicate either a series of reports about Oswald from various FBI offices, or perhaps multiple copies of an FBI directive to various offices. In any event, the FBI was certainly trying to keep something about Oswald from the public's eyes. To date the FBI has succeeded.

Oswald's Knowledge of the U2

Theки: Oswald had access to knowledge about the U2 high flying planes.

Another subject the public is entitled to know about is document number 911, Reference 6, classified secret by the CIA.

The title is "Oswald's access to information about the U2." This title takes on added significance when correlated with evidence about Oswald's training for espionage work while at Atsugi Air Force Base in Japan and statements by Francis Gary Powers in his new book.

There is every indication from available evidence that Oswald and Powers met in Italy prior to the U2 over-flight. There is also a heavy weight of evidence showing that Oswald was trained by the CIA for any work before his trip to Russia.

The secret documents whose titles refer to the relation of Oswald and Russia, and which were classified by the CIA, undoubtedly would also be very revealing on this subject. See Table 1.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comm. Doc.</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Subject / Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1041 CIA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Allegations re Intelligence Training School in Minsk, USSR / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1216 CIA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Memorandum from Helms entitled &quot;Lee Harvey Oswald&quot; / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1552 CIA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Soviet use of kidnapping and assassinations; Soviet press reaction (Abstracted from Reference 6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Warren Commission may be off the hook on this problem, since they did not see most of those documents. They were filed in the Archives long after the Commission disbanded. On the other hand, it seems likely that...
Allen Dulles, former CIA Director, and John J. McCloy, connected closely to intelligence forces in the past, would have been informed or would have taken pains to find out about Oswald's CIA connections.

Again, the public is certainly entitled to see those documents and to judge for themselves.

Mark Lane

Seven of the secret documents are all FBI reports on Mark Lane, author of the best seller, "Rush to Judgment". See Table 2.

Table 2

List of Secret Documents Relating to Mark Lane

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comm. Doc.</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Subject / Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>489</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Mark Lane, Buffalo appearance / Buffalo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>694</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Various Mark Lane appearances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>763</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Mark Lane appearances / Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1380</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Mark Lane / New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1457</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Mark Lane and his trip to Europe / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1487</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Memo entitled Mark Lane, James Delaney Garst / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1522</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Mark Lane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(abstracted from Reference G)

All these documents are classified by the FBI. It is extremely difficult to see why any report about Mark Lane should be classified. The usual excuse given for classifying information about an individual is that it is for his own protection. Mark Lane needs no protection now, and never did with respect to anything the FBI may have discovered. He was attacked so ferociously on every score by every government agency and many researchers or spokesmen for the Warren Commission (Charles W. Roberts and Lawrence Schiller are two examples) that there could have been nothing at all left to protect by the time the Warren Commission issued its report, and certainly nothing left at this date.

There can be absolutely no reason for classifying any document pertaining to Mark Lane. He would be the first to agree that this is the case. Again, the public is entitled to know.

Harold R. Isaacs and Marylyn Murrett

Document 1080 is titled "Information on Harold R. Isaacs and Marylyn Murrett. This document, classified by the FBI, Harold R. Isaacs is a well known author, World War II reporter in Asia for Newsweek, and for several years now, an MIT professor working on political projects funded by the CIA.

Marilyn Murrett is Oswald's cousin. Evidence gathered by the NCTIA indicates she was involved in espionage activities in Russia and Asia.

In this case it is essential that no implication be made that Isaacs was involved in the assassination plot.

Computers and Automation for October, 1971

Exhibit A

Excerpt from "The Kennedy Conspiracy"
Paris Flammonde, New York, Meredith Press, 1969, p. 29

The Winnipeg Free Press reported that an FBI man, Merrill Nelson, had checked out a story told by a local businessman whose name was withheld for "security reasons" until November, 1967. At that time Maclean's, a leading Canadian magazine, ran a more complete coverage of the fascinating incident.

The informant, an obviously sincere and sensible Mennonite, and father of four, named Richard Giesbrecht, related a conversation he overheard on Feb. 15, 1964, in the Horizon Room, a cocktail lounge in the sweepingly modern Winnipeg International Airport. The nature of the conversation led the thirty-five year old businessman, who was at the flight terminal to meet a client, to quickly conclude the two participants had knowledge regarding the assassination of the President. The more he listened, the more he became certain of his suspicions.

He described one of the men as having "the oldest hair and eyebrows I'd ever seen. The eyebrows were wide and sort of streaky. The hair was very shiny and it started quite for back on his head," Giesbrecht thought this one of the pair resembled Stan Laurel "when he gets that look as if he's going to cry," and he recalls he wore heavy rimmed glasses. Giesbrecht now says this man was David W. Ferric.

Ferric indicated he was concerned over how much Oswald had told his wife about the plot to kill Kennedy. Additionally, they discussed a man named Isaacs, his relationship with Oswald, and how curious it was that he would have gotten himself involved with a "psycho" like Oswald.

Isaacs seemed to have allowed himself to be caught on television film near the President when Kennedy arrived in Dallas, and, at the time the conversation was taking place, was under the surveillance of a man named Hoffman, or Hochman, who was to "relieve" him and destroy a 1958 model automobile in Isaacs' possession.

However, in order to clear Mr. Isaacs completely, it is desirable to prove that he was not the man referred to by David Ferric in a conversation with another assassination plotter at the Winnipeg airport in 1961. This conversation was overheard by Richard Giesbrecht, a Canadian, reported at the time to Canadian newsmen, and later to Jim Garrison. See Exhibit A.

The Winnipeg Isaacs was deeply involved in the assassination according to Ferric, who was also involved. Isaacs was at Love Field when JFK's airplane landed, and furnished a car for use in the assassination escape plan. He appeared on TV at the airport, and this worried Ferric for fear he might have been recognized.
by someone. The implication is that his face would be recognizable by someone who should not know about his presence there.

In this case, the public, as well as Harold Isaacs are entitled to know what Document 1080 contains.

Oswald in Mexico

The NCTIA has developed evidence which indicates that the planning of the assassination of President Kennedy took place at a series of meetings in Mexico during the summer and fall of 1963.

This evidence shows that Oswald attended some of these meetings and was in Mexico, not once, but at least three times. The evidence also indicates that the CIA was well aware of Oswald's trips at the time they occurred. On one occasion they photographed him and his "CIA baby sitter" (the person to be sure that Oswald was performing promptly) entering the Cuban Embassy in Mexico. This photograph was eventually cropped by the CIA. Oswald was chopped out of the photo, which was then given to the FBI, and used to see if Marina Oswald could identify the "baby sitter." She did not actually see the photo but the NCTIA has been able to identify and interview the man.

Document 1287, "Re Lee Harvey Oswald and affidavit concerning cropped picture / Washington, DC", was classified secret by the CIA. It undoubtedly would confirm this. The cropped picture was reproduced by the FBI and is included as Osburn Exhibit #1 in Page 001 of Volume XX of the Warren Commission Hearings. No mention was made in the Hearings about the identity of the man in the photo, or its original source. The public has a right to know what document 1287 contains.

That the FBI and the CIA were well aware of what took place in Mexico is indicated by 23 classified documents connected with Mexico and Mexico City. See Table 3.

The Warren Report, the Hearings and Exhibits probably seek to cover up what these classified documents undoubtedly reveal. The Commission's official public position is that Oswald took only one trip to Mexico to try to obtain a Cuban visa.

At least one member of the Commission must have been suspicious even though he may not have known the complete truth. Senator Richard Russell said in a three-part television interview conducted in his home state and broadcast before his death: "If always wondered why Oswald took all of those trips to Mexico".

Russell knew there had been more than one trip and was very skeptical about the Cuban visa explanation. He also said he had always believed there had been a conspiracy, and he still believed it until he died.

Other Documents

There are many other documents in Reference 6 whose significance can be detected from the title and other known evidence. One or two more examples will serve to illustrate the point.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comm. Doc.</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Subject / Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Lee Harvey Oswald's Mexico trip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>347</td>
<td>CIA</td>
<td>Activity of Lee Harvey Oswald in Mexico City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>381</td>
<td>CIA</td>
<td>Activity of Lee Harvey Oswald in Mexico City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426</td>
<td>CIA</td>
<td>Interrogations of Silvia Duran and Husband in Mexico City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>442</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Telegrams between State Dept. and the U.S. Embassy, Mexico City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>721</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Oswald's trip to Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>726</td>
<td>CIA</td>
<td>Actions of Silvia Duran after first interrogation / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>751</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Lee Harvey Oswald: re Mexican trip / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>785</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Oswald in Mexico; 7 photos attached / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>872</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Oswald's travel in Mexico / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>873</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Oswald's travel in Mexico / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>874</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Oswald's travel in Mexico / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>889</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>re Oswald safe deposit box, in Laredo, Houston / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>910</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Inquiry into Oswald's Mexican trip / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>944</td>
<td>CIA</td>
<td>Mexican control of US citizens travel to Cuba / Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>CIA</td>
<td>Mexican interrogation of Gilberto Alvarado / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Oswald's Mexican trip; entry and departure / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1008</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Oswald's Mexican trip; hotel registration / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1020</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Oswald's Mexican trip / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Mexican aspects of Oswald investigation / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1038</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Mexican aspects of Oswald investigation / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1150</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Mexican aspects of the investigation / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1545</td>
<td>CIA</td>
<td>Activity of Lee Harvey Oswald in Mexico City / Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Reabstracted from Reference 6)

Document 1427, FBI: "Re maintaining contact with Albert Alexander Osburn / Washington, DC" becomes very interesting when one digs into Mr. Osburn's identity. He was on the bus with Oswald travelling from Texas to Mexico City. The Commission and the FBI would have us believe it was a coincidence and that they just struck up an acquaintance on the bus. But Osburn turns out to be a very shady character with a long intelligence-style past and the alias of John Howard Bowen. Evidence gathered from the Exhibits and other sources indicates that Osburn was involved in the Mexican meetings.
Document 729, FBI: "Allegation that Oswald was in Montreal summer, 1963 / Washington, DC.

The reader is struck by the fact that an allegation about Oswald, if unfounded, would seem to have no reason to be classified Confidential. This document becomes interesting when coupled with several airline, hotel, and other records showing that David Ferrie and Clay Shaw took several trips to Montreal together during the summer of 1963, indications are that they were arranging for a form of financial and intelligence support for the assassination.

The Basic Lie

The declassification and publication of the classified assassination documents is essential for the American public. The Warren Commission, the FBI, the CIA, and the Dallas Police fabricated and expanded upon a basic lie to the extent that it became necessary to stamp Secret or Top Secret on over 200 documents to cover up the fact that they were lying.

The basic lie is as follows: Lee Harvey Oswald killed John F. Kennedy and Patrolman J. D. Tippitt on November 22, 1963 using his own rifle to fire three shots at the President, from a sixth floor window of the Texas School Book Depository Building. Two of the shots struck the President and Governor Connally and one shot missed, striking the south curb of Main St. in Dealey Plaza. Oswald used his own pistol to kill Tippitt in Oak Cliff, several miles away from Dealey Plaza.

The mountain of evidence gathered to date including photographic as well as ballistics, medical, and eyewitness testimony proves the above conclusions reached successively by the Dallas Police, the FBI, and the Warren Commission to be lies. Oswald can be shown to have fired no shots that day. Photographic evidence alone, proves that no shots were fired from the sixth floor window on that day, and that certain members of the police faked evidence in the window, on the sixth floor, and elsewhere, in order to frame Oswald as the lone killer. (See Reference 7.)

Evidence proves that Kennedy was killed by a shot from the grassy knoll in front of him, and that other shots were fired from the Dal Tex Building and the grassy knoll. Evidence shows that two other men shot Tippitt and not Oswald.

The classified documents may or may not reveal all of this, but it is highly likely that they will support the evidence showing conspiracy.

These documents are not needed to prove conspiracy; but nevertheless, the public has a right to know. If the documents reveal nothing at all about Oswald's innocence, his former role, or the conspiracy, then why should they remain Classified? If they do reveal something, then there is all the more necessity for declassification.

The people of the United States have a right to know, to find out the truth about the lies they have been told.
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This exhibit was photographically reproduced. The second line-space of this record shows that on January 22, 1964, the Warren Commission held a meeting in "D. C.", and then the line is crossed through by another line and the handwritten notation appears "no write-up — reporter's steno notes confiscated by the Commission".
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The two Flightpaths are the paths of two bullets. The occasion was the assassination of President John F. Kennedy in Dallas, Texas, on November 22, 1963, while riding in a limousine with Governor John B. Connally of Texas — now the Secretary of the Treasury in the administration of President R. E. Nixon. One bullet is the bullet which was alleged by the Warren Commission to have wounded both the president and the governor (Commission Exhibit 399). The other bullet is the bullet which actually struck Governor Connally in the back.

"To an architecturally trained eye, neither bullet lined up correctly with the southeasternmost sixth-floor window of the Texas School Book Depository, the alleged firing nest of Lee Harvey Oswald."

Order from the author. Price: $7. Returnable in 10 days for full refund if not satisfactory (if in salable condition). To avoid bookkeeping problems, please send money order or check (not cash) with order.