

226

Dear Jim,

8/17/83

Re: Cole's 8/6 on fees in spectro case:

I've been thinking about this. My thinking is redirected by the Schaitman/
Koppal indecency.

I think it is necessary to go further than merely show that I substantially prevailed. I'm thinking of the public usefulness and the nature of the opposition I faced. Particularly because Cole knows nothing at all about the case.

Also, don't forget that we got important stuff from EEDA, despite their misleading the appeals court into believing that it had nothing at all. (Which suggests other useful attachments.)

If you can, when you have time, either give me clear copies of what I'll list or tell me where I have them, I want to be able to draw on

The Schaitman memo on Hoch's request and my original spectro case to which I refer in the other letter of today's date and Shea's memo.

The point in 1996 where I provided the two FBI "stop" him records. I've found Shaneyfelt's but not Marion Williams', which is even more explicit.

to FBI internal record, filed in 1996, in which they decided originally to ignore my first request, back in 1966. I think I may have used some of this in a recent OJ22 affidavit, the Goble part, but I'm not certain that the one with "cover's OK was attached or from my same source."

Perhaps more. But I think it will be important to show, for any highrups who may see it and for other reasons, the need not only to litigate but to persist in litigating through appeals.

In this regard, the last appeals decision is helpful because it states that we had to persist in appealing.

One of the things I'll be saying, absent objection from you, is that the compelled disclosure to me was of incalculable benefit to the FBI itself because it was the basis of Guinn's testimony that the FBI's conclusions are accurate. And he didn't even have the originals we got later.

More later,