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coer Bud,
 supplement to ite motion to diemisa, I repeat my enemies are mucn more helpful than many "friends". I diacussed thie briefly witic sill ani Ita in your office todey ond, unving thoueit about it wore on tha way home, write this wemo for jou as it can leave it toworrow for gour Fednosiay arrival. Thore is potining in it tiat inapires eny desire for delay on my pert and, unless the speaing engegement in firmed, which 1 now'do not enticiente, full apoed hoad-with torpedosi

I have been acomedeted zitu mat $L$ (unsuccesefully) tried to got you to lasiat upon froa tian, proot in tie form of an affiderit tiet tise invored exemption is eppliceble, thats burden of proof being inposed upn tuem end abeent In tueir motion. It is moremod much better - than I'd hoped for.

So, lets parse the arse off tuelr menentics, here transcending the mere deceptive, misrepresentative, olluscatory, even tivefelse, but, I om convinced, becoming oriminal aid, as gasll attempt to chos, maing this intent. It ia not here what you lanysre unve learned th live witi, lien by opposing lawjers mhe now they are lying. Thia is as material an anyting can ko and more extenaively faise timn time model I sworm pou, in tie wope of effecting its duplicetion. I believe, on nom not knowing the law emily can, tust this is perjurious, frgudulent in two weys, and actionsble in wey I hope you cen be persuaded to kri preas upon tue court. In order to geti it done I'll not be able to refer beck to flles, but I eas that nou consider taels papera end tila one in taa light or what aed correctly guessed would be mi aquotation and miaue of tocitations in tadr motion. i ind esked Jian to et the originala, incluaing tha tsouse report, but aspen't seon.them. I preame you luva. This exactly fita one of tie miarepresented and out-af-context citations.

If some of mat follows needs maplification, it may be in tax lengthy semo 1 gave jou on reasing tweir initial motion.

Testac it from sue top:

1. For tilliam to say "I an an official of the fil Laboratory man an mun have accese to TBI records" is to say too much or not enough.

Tugre ay be auch ofngle thing as "Tis PII Laboretory". I hove alwaye
 Gifferent asyecte of acience relepant to rollce mork. Be tunt es it rey, tilia dee not ruellfy Willi wa as tue proper permon to oxecute the affideflt or the one competent to offer the eiven opinion. There we to be a resson those we know ere competent to usve an oxpert opinin on tuls perticular aspect and win beve bean used in the pest werg avoidad. Thet se is an ofilicisi of tue lob wes not in any
 of tay content of this offidevit in of tont character. There is no lab racord cited or interproteci. An esentially legel or politices opinion is tivemot tuin cen be conceded to be.

The competent ex ert is Gellegher. Ths one who mode ths otin in the Michola case is Jevona. Tuia huy dean't oven amy te known wiat a sectrograpiaic analyais it (and trien proved he doesit, later). The ued to wich lillibms ia here put requires almost anyone in preference to a lab man. The proper perann to hove
 Sog thay use ma underling whe cen, from his sfidevit mev th personol knowledge of what 1 t sete forth, other than tive clain $t$ anveread the "oxminationg".
ue dnes not clain to have competence or t. be mexpert on tice offecta allegediy

 the affidavit is from one not ateblished as eanpetent to offer the opinion given tue court. Anyone workicg fer tue jbI cull we veld wiat ha zoys with as mach oxpertise. The court, if sot tue Plaintiff, is ontitlad to someting better, se is reemet for tue lnt.
 to in the auit" I filed, thia paragrpah dnea not reflect foy for he linits tals to
 inveatiggtion of the assasinstion of reaident John 7 . Fanneay and referred to in peregrerte of and 17 of the complaint. itanut boloborisg tue detaila, the frggnents alono inclute fregnent not "recsovered during tie investigition": nemely, those recovered of Betcesde mad parklan?, during menicsl ond autopsy worke Hore eignificsn ly, tus is minor part of aidet we see. there is the exalnation of tiae so-cailed entire bullet, CE3PO, the crubatone and windsilitd treces, and the teata on the gnrmenta. Lis bore limita it to fragagnta only and only two the igl recovered, wich is a rcletively minor part and percentege of what is sougat end wat is specified in tas complaint. Even the reforence to the correct paragreghe of tue complaint nare ia linitea to the "bullet fragente recenterad during tuo investigation".

## 3. -are me have to break it into cluage.

"These arectrocraphic exsminetinns wors conducter for law onforcoment purposea...". Wutch may explain why the Frong man mas obtaing to gwear to wht is perjurious on fraudulent. The bect thet be elleged is that tae frat smples to reacm taghington labs, recevered in the neighboriood of midnight, ant those alone, conla by any extension, trive been for lewonforcement, purposes. Te do not know the exect time Johnson geve Lover the gasignant. fo know ouly taet it wes within 24 inure. Iven then, therv wan no feterml lemenforcement purpose, thare bed ne no federel crime, on they msy peruaps bo sble to claim thet they did tais initial and andil pert for tie Dollss pollee. Eut no mere.
"...as part of the FBI investigetion intc the zesessinetion." From tae tine of appeintanent or tue , erren comalsion until it expired, twere mas no "FBI
 waica was epointed for juat tala purpose.

To identify tais an "part of tha inveetigetive file, which mes compiled for law onforcemant purposes", is not sufficions under the low. There is tian furtane
 pould not be grailible t- - litignat other timn an aceacy". Tuis i"st clause



 use by tile "erren comiselon and in use not proaibited by the jelles bolice. I know you ueed in jour motion wast i gete you from Hoover's teatimony on tals point, where
 we be preporel to carry tils further and to discuss in soma detall way. 1 sin convinced DJ is here looking much furtaor tan tale auit, end we mat tuerefere, too.

Thet ia m interestine conclus:ons, twet suca a file on twe Kenne:y sesassingtion-let me $u$ : the exact words, "is maintelned by the Federel Surean of
 irrelevant. Thay can meintala any file they went too but turt ines not ake it tion only ciad exempt, for law-enforcement parpoass. L: tiey bere ciola thite fail is "mointained" for such a parpoee tieg acknolndge th least doubt ebrut the Roporte.















 referred to in perermin i3' above we compiled solely for the afflalal use of























 ala if in esid it, sad ELso!

This leads to tian next lie-perjury-frsud on the semeprogrsph (octhing

 haus the the the roilowing "aetd-tomano" fe ers! eaployeas:
Jeve Curry, Fack Anfe son hat Dres Fourson, hllen 3 Scott colvan, mugh






 unhaprineaf st the mild rebuke in toe sarron seport, wherefore tiacorwan fod to tat







5. A.se to as titen orart, to:
vepe -



 know better.



 an ofter of prox.
"weull sexinualy interfare witi the macratixa offectont opembion of


 interpretetion place urn it is on afilelal anctwents


















But, if tharg is thin rront foderal oncorn fre thelanting of dafonsungy


 ireelevant in an consiueration or spectrogre fill: batiysia, is by two se wo never

"It cualte lead, for expmple, to oxpocure of conchdeatial informats;"





 thowe inporvious zai neille.
"the disclosue out of montext of tas name of la ocent pertis, ach ns witnmeen:" Ditto, but if tilis cen arve gry relerance, than tiare were fitneanes tr tu- teste who hate to be histen. hy. Note that it is not tim ilsclogure that is
objected to, but the "out of context" A1sclasury/ कhat it tiant, "ronyt and how

 reie in ou: asclety, jat 13 restec in tije courta, bot the file. Eut if the cnly


 evainc is mot jut ocapletef; "


 witu retifty?





g"ecimsation:

 shantifis test?
 crecluang sentonce:














 furge. bo coul int refise?


 or, es I ges it, fotrosed.
 Aellterate threncasuntation.




 not ven from difelosura of than tht.










The DF recordia noblomithed. Thay $u$ ave yot te give a singlo Aocrane


