

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535

November 26, 1969

Mr. Howard Roffman 8829 Blue Grass Road Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19115

Dear Mr. Roffman:

Reference is made to your letter dated November 14, 1969, concerning the shape, size and fiber characteristics of the slit in the button line near the collar of President Kennedy's shirt and the possible presence of residues on this shirt in the area of this slit.

Each of the above was considered in the FBI Laboratory's examinations of President Kennedy's shirt. These examinations, I assure you, were thorough and inclusive and the results are set out in the detail warranted by the nature and physical condition and composition of the shirt in the testimony of Special Agent Robert A. Frazier in Volume V, pages sixty through sixty-two, of the Hearings before the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy. In view of this, it is the opinion of this Bureau that further examinations would serve no useful purpose; and, therefore, I regret that I am unable to comply with your request.

Sincerely yours,

obn Edgar Hoover Director ce Duk

Dear Howard.

Your letters of the 9th end 10th have valuable enclosures. The dishonesty of them panel had been established. That they write to you I attribute to your years. You have done very well. The important thing is the Cernes letter confirming what I've long expected and looked for without finding, the existence of separate reports. I'll carry this further and keep you informed. This is where we may be able to neil them in court. This is the first indication of which I know of these things, which I was sure had to be the case but about which I was unwilling to make requests without proof.

I read you letters and the enclosures when the mail came. I answer now rather in maste the other points. The whole thing, as you may remember I emphasized in FM III, is sementics. The banel, did, in fact, report the discrepancies they found. Maybe not all of them, but several very important ones. They are in the clear on that. They examined everything out of context, so they are in the clear on that. They took other then the Commission's medical conclusions and called them the Commission's medical conclusions, so they whistle past that graveyard. The bances of any by A-P projections is obvious from the list. I clobbered them for a silence on that In PM III.

Dick can better inform you about the disperal of fragments, but I'd be included to believe there resting place depended on a number of factors, one being energy, another being deflection, etc. There is no doubt whetever bullet or bullets were used had to nit bone. As soon as I spotted both acknowledgements on fragments - phoned Cyril, who seid that, with the kind of bullet they to stulste, there is no alternative. Incidently, he told us exactly what he told you prior to court last January, but then he asked bud and me not to use it. His letter clears this up.

They will not admit it, but the panel was restricted. The letters I nave are not to me but to others.www i have them under restrictions. I know one restriction but an pleaged to confidence on it.

Marnes letter: reread it carefully. He talks only about the cause of death, not what hap ened....If Morgan is right, the shot was from the front, the rear wound had to have been of exit, of there was a shot from behind the president in the paving or somewhere. Or I sm wrong in my approximate of the 7th cervical vert. That his higher than what I have established as the point of this wound, rear, that is. Of course, muith but one projection, there is no way of knowing if one fragment masked others....The last sentence in Fisher's second paragraph may help hang him. Notice that all the bums failed to indicate yo you the extent of fragmentation. How man, hoe large....e also neve reason to believe the panel members may have copies of their work before the M went to work on it (Carnes 11/17). We'll see what no enswer to my inquiry about special pictures. If I do not get it, we'll add that

Fraz er's WC testimony is even more explicit. Use WW as an index to it. He baid it had not marks on it at all, and even coarse cloth or leather would have marked 399....Thatbis not a high velocity bullet. Medium only. Frazier heard this false description just som long and then had to correct it.

Joy: he took the Commission as face value and worked backward, no more. I do not subscribe to much of his belief, but I sent it to you because some of it is interesting. However, it is possible to argue if the Commission is right the shot had to have come from a direction ending at the garage.

18ve giled the corres. under the correst of the correst