5th Amendment Change Is Sought by Sen. Ervin

7/23/66 By John P. MacKenzie Washington Post Staff Writer

Sen. Sam J. Ervin (D-N.C.) cution in disputes over trial offered an amendment to the use of damging statements. Fifth Amendment yesterday and the top prosecutor in Phil-Court. Chairman Birch Bayh adelphia promptly counselled aginst its approval.

Ervin placed his amendment in the hopper and urged that his amendment would be the Constituional Amend-ments Subcommittee to help him undo the effects of recent Supreme Court decisions on the use of confessions in criminal cases.

Philadelphia District Attorney Arlen Specter then urged the Subcommittee to reject any constitutional change designed to work partial repeal of one or two unpoular Supreme Court decisions.

Last month the Court held that in-custody police questioning is inherently coercive and outlawed confessions obtained without effective warning to prisoners on their consti-specter conceded that the tutional rights. The Subcom-June 13 ruling, Miranda V. mittee is studying the ruling's impact.

ments extracted from suspects many people believe that the under physical force or rights of the law-abiding citithreats.

amendment uphold trial judges' findings of voluntariness if supported by "any competent proof."
The Court, which said psychological pressures rendered brute force oboslete, put the burden of proof on the prose-cent some disadventages."

The 35-year-old prosecutor said the Court, "historically, has been a great force for good. The question is whether we want to tamper with the brute force obsolete, put the institution of the Court or accept some disadventages." uphold trial judges' findings burden of proof on the prose-cept some disadvantages."

Ervin said policemen had (D-Imd.) told Ervin, who is Chairman of the Subcommittee on Constituional Rights. "carefully considered" hearings expected to last several months.

Specter, who served as a staff lawyer with the Warren Commission, investigated the assassination of President Kennedy, said there was "danger in case-by-case amendment" of the Bill of Rights.

If an unpopular ruling is allowed to lead to partial repeal of the protection against self-incrimination, another reaction could abridge the equal protection guarantee or the First Amendment's speech, press and religion freedoms, Specter said.

Specter conceded that the Arizona, had made the prose-Ervin called for a return to a confession test that excluded only "involuntary" statements available for a return to a confession test that excluded only "involuntary" statements available from current of the confession test that exclude representations are supported from current of the confession o would Court."