

worked out and organised to guarantee success. Villemandy had pressed assassination attempt is the reason for its fullure. Everything had been strewn all over the road. produced a curtain of fire but half-burnt slabs of plastic were found had occurred when it should. But the bomb did not explode; the napalm his button at the right moment to within a tenth of a second; ignition of plastic and was connected to a canister containing twenty litres of that a third party had penetrated the circuit and had doctored the bomb. The great problem which still puzzles students of the Pont-sur-Seine president's car. We must therefore look for some other, and infinitely napalm. No secret service in the world could have taken the risk of One thinks, of course, of the French secret service, the spece, which experts is illuminating on this subject. This report by 'national experts' trate in the High Court of the Seine department, by two highly qualified on the supposition that the detonator failed to function properly. The more subtle, form of intervention by the spece. allowing such a device to explode at half-cock beneath the wheels of the undoubtedly had wind of the affair and could have intervened. and senior locturer at the Ecole Polytechnique (College of Military see). This report shows that, when 'empty', the bomb weighed Mare Wilmet, Commander of the Legion of Honour, Doctor of Science as they are entitled, is signed by Henri Forestier, chief engineer of the report drawn up at the request of M. Henry Théret, examining magis-TO IL JULIO IL Paris Municipal Laboratory and head of the Explosives Division, and This story does not held water. The bomb contained nearly 100 lbs The first idea which occurs to my student of these troublous times is It is best first to eliminate the other theories, in particular that based of explosive it was very heavy and considerable effort was required and could only hold a maximum of 150 lbs of plastic. Even with

yards. As a result, had the charge functioned normally, it would have been results of trials were regarded as entirely valid.' took place precisely at the moment desired [which it did] and that the automatically produce results precisely in accordance with forecasts, in serious injury to the occupants. Phenomena of this nature do not enough to cause serious damage to the vehicle resulting, at the very least, however, and the above is stated subject to the proviso that the explosion 'The distance between the bomb and the vehicle is estimated at 4-5

exploded

stone splinters, mater 20-25 feet in diameter, etc.), General de Gaulle described in the report (blast, the 'considerable' effect of fragmentation, would have been killed at Pont-sur-Seine, had the bomb exploded. In other words, and taking into account the probable side-effects

The Mystery Explosive

CHAPTER 14

· Capt.

ticular the discovery of bomb fragments and slabs of explosive 'prove with certainty that the phenomenon did not follow the lines of the tests sense of the word but a process of "deflagration" of the explosive. . . bomb, projecting non-ignited slabs of plastic, bursting the adjacent Part of the plastic "deflagrated", thus disturbing the mechanism of the mentioned above. . . . There was, therefore, no "explosion" in the proper displacement of sand was comparatively small.... much less then thet which would have resulted from an explosion and the jurrican and igniting the liquid which it contained. The blast effect was But, the report continues, 'the results of the explosion' and in par-

tive', the experts say; they stress, nevertheless, that the electrical prean American electrical detonator. Fusing was 'undoubtedly very defecparations were 'most therough' and that the current available was 'more than adequate'. The report then analyses the way in which the bomb was fused using

separating two adjacent slabs. They mention teen carried out with excitation of plastic', this coefficient being determined by the airspace casing, etc. They also refer, however, to the 'high coefficient of selfdetonations against three 'failures'. referring to an inadequate homogeneous charge, an inadequately resistant 30-gram slabs separated by an airspace of 20 cms which produced three The experts then attempt to explain the 'non-explosion' of the bomb,

explosion in view of plastic's 'high coefficient of self-excitation'. is difficult to imagine that a perfectly good American detenner, when inserted linto neurly 100 los of plustic, would not have produced an Reading this report with its diffident, though learned, explanations it

explosive. . .? Here lies the real reason for the failure of the memor of on page 5, line 17 of the report-'dependent on the physical state of the Pont-sur-Seine. The explanation must, therefore, be sought elsewhere. The due Les

The first step is to consider the highly unused row played in all dis by a highly unusual person—Colonel Fourcoud. His name only appeared officially during the trial of the Pont-sur-Seine conspirators which opened at Troyes Assizes on 29 August 1962.

The press had moulded public opinion and everyone expected to see in the dock a set of vile killers with horrifying faces and boorish manners. What they saw were men of the world—brilliant, polished, elegant, smiling.

In essence they said: "This was a "phoney" attempt. It was organised by the gaullist authorities for the glorification of de Gaulle. Even the arrest of Villemandy was planned—why would he have hung around near the spot if he had not wished to get himself arrested? In any case we were all acting under the orders of "Monsieur Simon".

In support of their statements one of their counsel produced a letter signed by the mysterious 'Monsieur Simon' in which he admitted that he was acting on government orders and had himself handed the bomb to Manoury. Pursuing its offensive the defence demanded evidence from two of the régime's major dignitaries, Jacques Foccart, secretary-general in the Elysée, and Alexandre Sanguinetti, specially employed in the Ministry of the Interior. For good measure, they also demanded to hear Celonel Fourcaud, long a senior officer of the spece, though no longer in

the secret service at the time. Jacques Foccart naturally denied all knowledge of the accused and in particular of persons not present, whose names only were known—Aubry, "Gennain' and 'Simon'.

Alexandre Sanguinetti similarly denied all such knowledge. Under pressure from the defence, however, he was forced to admit responsibility for the despatch to Canada of a captain called Mertz with a ticket provided by the Ministry of the Interior. Sanguinetti explained:

"His wife was Canadian and had found him a job out there. Accordingly we jumped at the opportunity and sent him out to get rid of him. If I had a chance of providing a ticket for all the OAS men I know, I would willingly do so."

Undoubtedly Martz must have been a highly embarrassing person to

merit ruch an errenditure. Now Armand Bolyzi had made Merrz' acquaintance during his second tour in Parujon. It has since been said that Merrz was sent there to mix with the numerous activists under arrest and try to collect information. It may be thought, moreover, that Merrz, holder of the Resistance Medal and the Legion of Hencur, was the sort of man in whom Weiver Weives might confide-and at that time Belvisi was the possessor of a couble secret: he was the only man who knew the location, in some Paris street, of the bomb designed for de Gaulle. Even 'Germain' (Bastien-Thiry) did not

:

Colonel Fearcard who was have to give evidence at the true tot deconcert the judges. There is, therefore, one possibility: <u>Mertz</u> may have succeeded in gaining Belvisi's confidence and Belvisi may have let slop some information on the bomb; <u>Mertz</u> may have told his masters in the specz and Colonel Fourcaud. The establishment's craftsmen may then have got to work while Belvisi was still in prison. Once the bomb had been eleverly neutralised Belvisi would have been released in time to pursue the operation in accordance with 'Germain's' plans.

This version is perfectly plausible (more fantastic things have been done). It would explain why the bomb did not 'explode' on D Day. The secret service might then have been prepared to let matters ride in cruit to increase General de Gaulle's popularity; he would once more be considered to have 'the luck of the devil'.

Looking at the facts, however, this story hardly holds water either. Admittedly, Belvisi was considered to be a somewhat blustering fanatic who might be prepared to divulge secrets if someone had gained his confidence. All his earlier behaviour, however, proves that he was not merely a man committed to 'French Algeria' but that he was also extremely suspicious. His determination to find out the identity of 'Germain' (who proved to be Bastien-Thiry) is enough to demonstrate who

Armand Belvisi says today: 'I know that all sorts of stories have gone round about my meeting Mertz. In fact I was at Beaujon for three days and I saw a lot of detainees three. We talked As far as I was concerned, Mertz was undoubtedly a supporter of French Alteria. I could not describe him otherwise. It is possible that we had stool-pigeons amongst us. We knew that and we took care. If I had talked to Mertz about Pont-sur-Seine, the reaction would have been inevitable; "they" would allowing nearly too lbs of plastic to go off five yards from the general's car. "They" ran the risk that we might check and fix the bomb again to ensure an explosion. Then, when the attempt had taken place and had officially failed, "they" would have arrested Bastion-Thiry, the head man, not merely the "extras". It is true that I manged to escape but I paid the price. I should certainly not have form retrieved by the same "organisers" in order to prepare the Pedit-Chemart attempt and I was one of the leading lights of that."

It is at least probable that Belvisi may have indicated that something was up, without giving any names or details. This may have acted as an alarm bell and would explain the feverish police activity Pont-sur-Seine. They arrested numerous suspeets, initiation of the attempt all activist circles and arrested Gingembre on the eve of the attempt

precise date of which they did not know).

of the enteries, Mertz took on acceptions for Grands and that his ticket was included to him by Alexandre Sanguinetti, then a close associate of Roger Frey, the Minister of the Interior. er and the reading is the entry of the reader of the reader of the reader of

Since <u>Mertz' role remained obscure</u>, the evidence most cagerly awaited was that of Colonel Fourcoud himself. He brought an zir of mystery and adventure into the Troyes trial.

not like him. de Gaulle well in London, where he had served him, although he did the jury. He had been deputy director of the spece and had known , Tall, lean and good-looking, the colonel made a great impression on

de Gaulle's prestige and he was badly in need of it at the time. This is colonel announced. In my view it was an operation organised to restore net the first time that this sort of operation has been engineered for definitely have the impression that the attempt was phoney.' political reasons—from the Ems telegram to the Vallant 19mb. I 'This is clearly provocative action on the part of the authorities,' the

Prosecutor (who was demanding the death sentence) and allowed Coming after the mysterious 'Monsieur Simon' the colonel's views dumbfounded the jury. They did not accept the plea of the Public encrusing circumstances in the case of all the accused.

to life imprisonment in abuntia. fiftem years, Barbance ten years. Cabanne de La Prade was sentenced Manoury was given twenty years' imprisonment, Belvisi and Rouvière

secret service technician who came to give his opinion (tainted with deep-rooted and-gaullism). It is in fact far more complex than it appears. At first sight Colonel Fourceud's rôle would seem to be that of a

who was appointed deputy director in charge of administration. nominated director. The same list contains the name of Pierre Sudreau charge of intelligence in the SDECZ. Henri Ribière, deputy for Allier, was In February 1946 Coload Fourcaud was appointed deputy director in

agent. During the war he was sent on a mission to France to see certain he was continually presenting functful plans. wounded and taken privator but then excepted. He was an extremely service and then released. He subsequently fought in the Resistance, was members of the Cagoule. He was arrested by the Vichy counter-espionage Frenchmen to instal himself in London in 1940. He was essentially a brave man and had greet personal churm but he also had a pronounced the searct service but he clashed with his chief, Henri Ribière, to who note for intrigue. Fourcaud was counting on becoming sole director of man of the shadows'. His activities were invariably those of a secret Colond Fourcoud's mother was a Slav. He had been one of the first

Fourand hed a lead in the exletrated fleaks affeir which, it will be

undoubtedly this still rankled. He still had many secret service friends, of a repeat on federColumby Guidens they and Summerics should be General's Revers and Mast ind been connected with this but Fourcould had defended them. He was nevertheless forced to leave the service and however, and subsequently made for himself a sort of parallel cureer.

opportunity to take his revenge when the moment arrived. To prove after Pent-sur-Scine). This lifts the vell on Colocel Fourcaud's activities. The document is in fact a report sent to 0.8 headquarters in Algiers this, note should be taken of an important document numbured '55' in Colonel Fourcaud, <u>Cantain Mertz</u> and Lauzier, whose dubious rôle in André Orsoni's planned assassingtion attempt at Provins has already been mentioned. Each of those people is referred to by a number corræthe OAS secret files and dated 26 October 1961 (in other words six weeks (where it was received and registered) from an ex-graduate of the Ecole Nationale d'Administration (School of Administration). It refers to Everything indicates that, in the OAs incregilio, Fourcaud saw an

ponding to his real name in the official OAS code list. The following are the significant passages in this report:

counsel, was able to warn 557-534617 [Madame Martin]. Through her he asked Colonel 81745376 [Fourcaud] to follow the matter up. 'Immediately after his arrest 4372135 [Lauziet], through his definee

personal files and contexts and he finally put at 4372185's disperd the members of what might be called a personal team which had been working with him for a long time-2155 [Joss], Captain 5762 [Marz] 'From the outset Colonel \$1745376 [Fouraud] had supported clandestine action on the part of 4372185 [Launder] who was an old and myself. demonstrate oAs presence in Paris. He also assisted with information, friend, providing him with the first 100 lbs of plastic enabling him to

Doctor 815624 [Victor] for the following: [Lauzier]. He has nevertheless asked 557–534617 [Madame Martin] and "Colonel 81745376 [Fourcaud] has agreed to take the place of 4372185

- General directives Formal appointment
- A plan of action if possible
- Opportunity to report

:

colonal that I should try to send him a responsible ons officer in order to and Algiers resulting in almost total isolation, I have proposed to the [only the most relevant clauses are quoted here]: 'In view of the precarious nature of communications between France

Report on the structure adopted by Colond \$1745376 [Fourmud] for the organisation under his control.

| commanding rôle, undoubtedly saved the accused from the maximum | | 'I such size obvious: it was Colonel Fourcaud who provided the |
|--|-----|--|
| pulled all the strings from behind. His appearance at the trial, in 2 | | in the first presence was provided to an instruct the OAN presence |
| were partially destroyed or had been affected by the first | | indly the report shows that at that would his ous contacts were |
| (some | | underlarity in contact with the OAS; he ministillarised for memodramly on 26 (Aruber 1961. It also appears that h_{c} -reliad the first 100 lbs of |
| experts, have admitted that the electrical preparations were 'very | • | This report, which is authentic, clearly shows that Colored Fourcaud was |
| facture the bomb was a craftsman. Moreover Forestiar and Wilner, the | | |
| The man commissioned by Fourcaud to provide the plastic and meau- | | A Construction of the him? |
| it was old and senu-decomposed. | | or exposing the equilibrium from that his membership of OAS be |
| is that he had had this plastic for wears; it came from Resistance stocks; | | information the parents transporter |
| possessed neutrer weapons not expressed and even events from the second and the second of the argument | | caled OAS brighter. This is probably a provocation, hence the |
| Ind and a state of a second state of the secon | | |
| participation by proxy in the Pont-sur-Sense operation. | | subject I will recover from Oran, where I sent them, the documents |
| flirtation' with the oas, his request for 'regular membership' and his | | |
| Then he also wanted his little personal revenge. Hence his fur-reacting | | 3 We are extractly arxious [italics in original] to be put in touch with |
| to size and show him that he was not as invulnerable as he thought | | Almers, Oran or Spain. |
| the 'lesson' which it would teach de Gaulle. He hoped to cut him down | | zets to be informed where and when contact could be made in |
| Fourcaud was probably astracted to the Pent-sur-Seine attempt by | | shorly. He says that, if you wish, he could also go to Spain. He |
| and he had added, 'The opposite is also correct.' | | contact. I am busy obtaining papers for him and they will |
| Fourcaud had looked smilingly at de Gaulle: 'That's correct, General,' | | 2 He asks to be informed when he can come and make personal |
| you ac actors to any out a - more your and the second to any | | we have all of successions and frequency must be given). |
| way are devoted to me, but if I asked you to shoot Petain, you would | | we have have every surface sets but that if radio communications |
| him In I and an one day de Gaulle had said: 'Fourcaud, I know that | • • | communications should be established (he asks me to report that |
| A Could to be killed. He did not like him but he was leath to assassing t | | • Colonal Strates of Fourcould asked me to emphasise that two-way |
| Fourcaud's agreement. There is an important detail here, however: Fourcaud did not wish | • | [Other significant paragraphs followed] |
| The bomb was manufactured and handed over to the conspirators with | | larly insistent on this point) |
| bomb. Manoury turned to Fourcaud through one of the latter's men. | | |
| Bastien-Thiry relied totally on Manoury for the production of the | | |
| assumed to be the man in contact with Colonal Fourcaud. | | |
| Manoury who, unless further information is forthcoming, must be | | |
| gun attack) was abandoned by Bastien-Thiry; in this he was advised by | | |
| a result the second section of the Pont-sur-Seine operation (the machine- | | send mer 6 1 |
| himself a member of the assault squad-and accordingly he refused. As | | Colonel 81745376 [Fourcaud] has ordered me to request: |
| it it escaped the bomb at roun-sur-serie. When her her series and to make | | 'Since I am now dealing with material requirements, I report that |
| Fourcaud to house the machinegun which was to the on the Value's the | | to contact Atheame 934075 [United] and this he has done. |
| officer who wishes to remain anonymous. This officer was deputed by | | 2155 Loss, who knows him personally, and also the colonel himself |
| The authors of this book have, moreover, discovered a certain ex- | | |
| well have been Lauzier. | | 3 Organise direct contact between Colonel \$1745376 [Fourcaud] and |
| 65 here and he may them to Munoury via one of his own much, who may | | a represent on the advisability of these operations. |
| | r | |

Sor

Ň

ž

.....

Simin', sold to be the organizer of the endry 'party'.

'Mensieur Sinion', of course, never existed except in the imagination of the accused, though the source of their inspiration was probably a good one. At the time there was nothing so illogical in the existence of this 'Simon'. With striking unarimity the accused stated that 'Simon' had been present at a meeting with Foccart in Sanguinetti's office and that he had decided to meunt a psychological offensive to open de Gaulle's eyes to the danger constituted by the oas. Foccart had asked 'Simon' if he knew of a group which could carry out the operation and it was thus that Manoury was canvassed. The story was not illogical and the support which it received from Colonel Fourcaud paid off.

It seems probable that Eastien-Thiry never knew the precise rôle played by Colonel Fourcaud in this affair. We only know that 'Germain' binerly repreted having entrusted manufacture of the bomb to Manoury --the latter had always maintained that he was an expert in these devices, whereas in fact he knew nothing at all about them and had to use the services of a mysterious expert who came straight out of Fourcaud's conjuter's hat.

The explanation of the failure of the Pont-sur-Seine attempt is not to be sought in the incompetence or mendacity of some individual; it is to be found in the mind of Colonel Fourcaud who betrayed nobody while betraying everybody.

One final detail to illustrate the rôle played by Colonel Fourcaud at this period. An 0/3 staff meeting was held in Algiers, as early as August, at which Colonel Godard proposed (the minutes of the meeting exist) thur a 'chief' be appointed in France to head all the organisations; he put forward officially the name of Colonel Fourcaud. Godard even sent a long report to Salan in which he guaranteed Fourcaud's loyalty. Salan, who mistrusted the secret service on principle, refused and Fourcaud's oAs enter.

Godard's report was dated 20 November 1961-two months after Pont-sur-Scine.

CHAPTER 15

Kumours

When Martial de Villemandy pressed the detonator button of a bomb which might have upset French policy for a long time to come, the fate of Algeria had apparently been decided. By the referendum of 8 January 1961 the principle of self-determination for the Algerian people and the establishment of an executive in Algiers had been accepted. Fursuing his policy of accelerated disengagement, de Gaulle had opened official tails with the Algerian rebels, first in Evian, then in Lugrin.

In fact, on coming to power in 1958, even while giving his solemn promise that Algoria would remain French, he had already established secret contact with these same rebels in Tunis. The left hand did not know what the right hand was doing.

Oddly enough, however, one of his problems was the money required for this policy. Under no dicumstances could the national accounts include a heading: 'Contacts with the Algorian rebels.' He dodded, therefore, to use the secret vote, discreedly entitled 'Special unscoulded funds'. When he opened the till, however, he found that his predecessors in the Prime Minister's office had emptied it. The funds had been used up completely but, since they were 'unaccounted', no one could card at that

In fact every year a bizarre, long-established coremony, generally unknown to the public, takes place in the Prime Minister's study. A great log fire crackles in the huge fireplace. The master of the house receives a group of mysterious functionaries whose duty it is, each in their respective ministry, to keep the accounts of the secret funds. Each has neared outgoings and receipts in his own fair hand. Harries are, for instances 'Paid to,Colpnel X.—ro,cco firs' or 'Paid to Amhastader Y.—iso,cco firs'. Each of these functionaries is bearing a fully up-to-dut. Low f all monitor disbursed. The Prime Minister, flanked by the holders of follos, then makes a little speech on the following lines:

"Gendemen, I have assembled you so that you may growthe about the day, appended at the special funds placed at the day,