

4/19/68

Dear Stefan,

Your two mailings of questions and observations are interesting and do have valuable fact and suspicion. Suspicions in themselves have value. However, in their present form they are close to valueless because none of us has the time to wade through so much to find anything. I have read them, fairly carefully.

Some of the suspicions have been checked out by others. Like the whitting out on the FPCC handbill, by Paul Hoch.

What would be very helpful, if you can find time to do it, is to cut up a fairly legible copy and Thermofax in a classified way. Like all on the logs together, all on the telephone numbers, with each number or person on a separate page, etc. If you can do this, I'd like two sets, not stapled. One that I can keep here and send copies of the appropriate pages to others who are still working in the field (none of whose names you list) and one I can hand to Garrison next time I see him. He has interests in some of the areas you go into.

Please put your name on each page, so the source will be known to strangers who might want more, if you can undertake this.

Has your study of Shaneyfelt 23 included comparison of the sight with that on other versions? Right now, this interests me much. I now have a clip from a movie made inside the building. That guy on the sixth floor, Alyea, did take pictures, 600 feet or them!

I do keep working hard, too hard, because I must, and I'm working in fields in which you have no familiarity, but I try and keep close to everything. Right now there is something I'd like and cannot make, an index to the bibliography. All those Oswald-Russia-Cuba things are for the birds, but the proper names, by Document number and page number could be very useful. For example, there might not be a single reference, but I'm interested in any reference to a name I know I've seen, Calixtas, and can find none. When Helen returns your list, can you do this?

Lis is out of the cast and never stopped working. You actually understate. Without her it would have been impossible and still would be.

Hastily,

8  
April 68

Dear Mr Weisberg,

Here are a few notes, some of which ## might be useful.

No need to reply (better you should get back to work), but next time you write Helen Hartmann please indicate that you got this.

Am getting my PAs & MDs sorted out now, Frederickwise, so there ought not be any more confusion developing out of that.

Please keep working hard, & don't let anybody beat you away from proveable things.

Regards,

*Steffen Sorensen*

Steffen Sorensen

I learn from Helen that you were asking whether or not I'd object to having my name used in connection with something or other, whatever it was, re my griping about the W/R thing. Hell, I couldn't care less as long as my address & phone number aren't included. As for the possibility of my being in some "sensitive employment" or whatever it was, no # need to worry. I'm safer than a coal miner: my livelihood gets earned ## by my being a "Maritime Radio Officer", which translates into "sailor" or "seaman" or such. My only worry would be in having to face some phony revocation of my "sailing documents", USCG & FCC. But it is not much of a worry. If I'm not as free as I'm told I am, I'd damn well welcome somebody spelling it out to me.

cc: Helen

Attaching some additional notes, hoping that some will be useful.

What's this about Mrs Weisberg going to waste in an upstairs bedroom? Can't you rig her up with some system of straps & pulleys & ceiling hooks so that she can poke at a keyboard? Everybody counts, you know!

My regards to Mrs W, please. On having read your first four books I suspect you could not have done as well without the full support & help of a really good woman.

No answer needed, thanks,  
but please acknowledge  
this Mrs Hartmann next  
time you write her.

Stephen Sorenson  
13 April 68