Dear Js (ce Ri),

9/5/72

You will remamber that recently I reported "in's consent that Jack Anderson's columns recently are actually hidden promotion of Nixon. If this seems incredible, I belie today's bears on this as do other recent ones some of which I'Ve noted to you.

The roat's head is "new brug dave Points sestward". This and the column saying it are really quite false. I think you can get the angle from the beginning of the lead somtence, "President bixon's hurculean effort to stop drug snuggling..." These hurculean efforts consist of presiding over an enormous increase and refusing to do anything about the major source, under our control, our Southeast Asia menideants, and their means of communication, the CLA, which also subsidizes then besides using its plane. for them.

Then Anderson cites and quotes from two CIA memos, the dates being part of the given sy. June 9 and 26. These are AFTER the new McCoy study was well known and the involvement of the CIA was about to be widely publicized. Under the circumstances, I think this is not s leak but a deliberate feed that, for whatever reason, anderson took unciritically. It serves CIA purposes and is so designed. It says that the new major sources are "allies" Iran and Afghanistan. and even tries to pin blane on India with a clever formulation in which I think the average reader will miss the key words, "legal" and "medicinal","As for India, the documents say it now produces about three-qu rters of the world's legal opium for medicinal purposes." Control is then said to me a "myth". Now the reality in that at least 3/4 of the opium comes from SEAsia, not India, Iran, Afghanistan or anywhere else, and all of this is under the control of CIA people of various sorts.

Especially with all the attention to this uncontested fact, beginning with AcCoy's still-uncontested Congressional testimony, is so obviously propagandistic a column cause for thought. It is incompetent reporting, anateurish at best. It has been proven false over and over. There was recently a long piece in Time, for example, on this, 1 believe also in Life, there is the attention to the EcCpy book now being reviewed and out or about to be out(documenting the case against the CLA itself), and there is the inabolity of the CLA to come up with any kind of refutation when offered the chance by Harpers, which seemed a bit ancious to get out from under Hecoy.

If I as without ready explanation of what this means, it is obvious that this is promixon and pro-CLA propaganda and in both cases worse than false for both are responsible and neither has really done anything. It also coincides with two realted things: Anderson's atypical ignoring of The Watergate Caper story and his involvement with one of those arrested. That man, Fiorini, has been tied to the theft of papers from O'Brien's files and some of these have appeared in Anderson's column in the recent past.

Reader's Digest that he has reason to believe will include treatment of both CIA and dope. No he suddenly develops <u>current</u> sources inside mainland China and from them gets info. And he actually persuades her that China is financing Castro by shipping dope to Castro, who sells it in the US, thus fighting the US and getting money from it. PG knows nothing about the politics involved or the fact, both of which preclude the possibility and might, indeed have used this to a mass audience. Not effect: other CIA esculpation. With the side benefit of good propaganda against "the enery".

If there is one thing that could not have been secret from the CLA, it is its own involvement in dope and the astounding increase in its production and use by Americans, particularly troops. From the outset important enough people has to have had sufficient knowledge. As is usually the case with the politically dedicated, the political objective is all that counts and the other costs are accepted as essential to the quintessential objective, the purity of which, naturally, just can't be question. Too bad, but c'est la guerre. There is a parallel to virtually every military dictatorship of modern times and virtually every frustration of every effort to overthrow one. Need one cay nor than "Dominican Republic"?

Anderson is too experience, too sophisticated, to be unaware that at the very best he is being used. I think it context it has to be considered that he is conscious of what he is into and that this, in turn, means he is in some kind of bind. The Watergate Caper and The Figrini Involvement do nos exhaust the possibilities.

The overt propaganda for, this sudden overt support of Hixon, both indicate there may