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You will wons mbor time mountly I r por'ted -ik's cownent that Jack rinderson's columens rucutly are actually hidien promotion of dizon. If this peons incrediblu, I belie today's bears on this as do othor rocent ones sone of which I' Ve noteci to you.

The fost's hoed is "aci Lrus dave Points destward". This and tor colusn saying it are really quito falso. I thitu you can ato the angle from the begnning of the lead sham tonce, "Pressidont ificon's hurculean effort to stop drue sumgilinge.." hhese hurculean efiorts consiat oil preaiding ovor an onomous increase and roruaing to do onythine about the rajor source, under our control, our Southeast ista nenideants, un tiejr means of courmiication, thiw CZin, which also subsidizes them besides using its plane for thess.

Then Andorson citess and quotes from tho CIA menos, the chtea beinis jart of the givenay, June 9 and 26. Those are FFIR tho nes Ficloy study was will known and tia involv mut of tho cIh was ubout to be widely publiaized. Under the circurstances, I thank this is not $s$ leak but a duliburate feed that, for whatever reason, anderson took wiciritically. It serves CIh jurposes ani i: so duaimat. It says that the new major zources are "ulizes" Iran and of ghaistum. unt ovon tries to pin blace on Indja with a clever formulation in which I think the average roader will rias the key words, "Legal" and "modicinal", "hs for India, the docusents say it now produces about threewqu rtors of tiee worid's legal opiun for udefeinal purposess" Control in then said to me a "matin". liow the reality in that at least $3 / 4$ of the opius cotes from Sidosia, not India, Iran, hifghnistan or anywhore else, and adl of this is under the control of CIA people of various sorts.

Rapucially with ull the attention to this uncontested fact, begiming with ficcoy's still-uncontested Congreasional teatimony, is so obviously propacendistic a coluan cause for thoulht. It is incompotont reporting, anateurish at best. It has been proven relse ovor and ovor. There was recontly a lons piece in tine, for ozample, on tif, - bolieve also in lifc, there is tho attention to the KcCpy bow now being reviewed and out or about to be out(docunentinc the ca e against the CIA itcelf), and there is the inabolity of tike Cla to cone up with any bind of rofutation when of cered the chrnce by Harpors, which se aud a bit andous to got out froa under liecoy.

If I an witaout ready explanation of what this noans, it is obvioua that this is proHizon and prowCL proparanda and in both cases worse than false for both ane responsible and neither has really done anythinge It also coincides with two rualted things: nderson's atypical ignoxing of whe hatorgate Capor gtory ani his involvement with one of those arrested. That man, Fiorini, has ben tiod to the thoft of papers fron obrion's filos and some of these have qurgearot in Ancierson's colurn in the rocent part.

Heansura, back on the wean ranchero, ic is boing fed tire nost awful pap by one who claims past connetion ith the GIA. It seens that to his Lrowledge she has a donl with the Reader's Digest that me has ruason to beliove will include tratatuant of bath CIA rand dope. oo ho suddenly dovelops cureent sources inside mainland china and froa that gots anto. And he actually poraumes her that China is Pinencing Castro by ship ing dopa to Ca tro, who sells it in the US, thus INChting the US and getting aoney from it. IG knows nothing about the politica involvod or the fuct. both of which proclude the posibility an rifith, indeed have used this to a mass audionce. Wet effect: other CIA osculpation. ith the sfde benerift of eood propuenula agtinst "the enery".

If there is one tring that could not have been isecret frow the CLi, is is its om involvant in dup an the astounding incruase in $i$ ts production an use by anericans, particularly troope. Frow the outset inportant whough people head to have had sufficient knowledge, No is usunliy the ca o with the politicilly dedicatod, the political objective is all thit counts and $t$ other costa are accopted as eosential to the quintessential. objective, the prutty of thich, naturally, just can't be question. Too bad, but ctest la guerre. There ia a purallel to virtually overy nilitary dictatorship of nociorn tires and virtully every frustration of avery effort to overthrow one. Heed one say wor than "Dominican kepublic"?

Anderson is to apputace, too sophisticater, to be unware that at the very beat he is being used. I tink it context it hua to be convidered that he is consoious of what he is into and that this, in tum, wans he is in soac lind or bind. The Watergate Caper and The Pionini Involvenent do nos owhaust the possibilities.

The overt propaganda for, this sudden overt support of ilizon, both indicate there hay Fom wowthing rather serious behind all of this.

