Mr. Cherles W. Vegner, Dep Clerk. U.S. District Court, Northern District, Ill. U.S. Court Acuse. Chicago, Ill. 60604

Dear Mr. Vagner,

Thank you very much for your letter of the 22nd and your thoughtfulness and helpfulness in enclosing a copy of the docket in Case 70 C 790.

Until I can afford to get copies of the items that interest me, this will serve my purposes.

Because I am without experience in these matters, what may be obvious to you and others may be confusing to me. I have a newspaper clipping which says Skolnick's motion to stay indefinitely was granted, his alleged grounds being the alleged bias of the judges. This saems to begin with the 6/15 motion to recuse and the motion of 6/22. However, there is the 6/25 entry which seems to me to say that the Plaintiff's 6/22 motion was denied and that there thus is no indefinite saty.

The usual means of keeping informed on such matters are not evailable to me. If it is not too much trouble, I'd appreciate knowing the status of this single point: is there an indefinite stay or is there not?

I am aware that have caused your office some time in letterwriting and in trying to help me, and I do appreciate the efforts you have made. I will therefore understand it if you do mak not make repsonse to this inquiry.

And I do thank all of you for the kind things you have done.

Sincerely.

marold "eisberg