Dear Ed,

If Bauer's making it made the local papers, I didn't see it. It certainly didn't get on the CBE all-news station unless it was the one day I spent in Washington last week, for I listen to that enough and they repeat so much I'd not have been uneware of it. Either no attention or almost none hereabouts.

But itils goodf for everyone that you keep after this. Good luck.

The clerk of you federal court has informed my, by unsimple arithmetic, of the added papers in Skolnick's frivolity, #70 C 790. "A motion to diamise has been filed (2 pages) to which an exhibit is attached (18 pages), and an affidavit of a ^{Mar.} Rhodds (sic- undoubtedly James Bhoads, head of the Archives)", which is also of two pages. Thus there remains the unaccounted-for papers Skolnick filed. The clerk says there are is a cost of \$11.00, which I do not have, for these, without whatever Skolnick filed.

Have you many way of getting these for me without spending \$11.00 that you do not have, as from Skolnick or Seltzner? I'd like to have a complete file. Skolnick will carry it no further, you can be sure.

Incidently, none of those I've written, carbons to you, has replied. Not one, save the single Weintraub letter, my response/challenge to him being without response.

Sincerely,

Harold Aeisberg

1410

Dick, ho and - can aither of you undertake to get copies of what is referred-to above from Skolnick, with any explanation he can offer about the status of the case, his plans, etc. he has filed and been granted a "stay", as I believe I teld you.

7/6/70