Court proceedings for Sherman Skolnick's case against the National Archives and Records Service have been indefinitely suspended.

Skolnick, the South Chicago legal researcher who has successfully contested state and local repositionment cases and prompted last summer's investigation of two supreme court justices, has reported that he will pursue the case "when the time best suits the occasion."

Skolnick's suit against the National Archives has charged the federal bureau with concealing documents and records relevant to the assassination of President John F. Kennedy in 1963.

Skolnick believes that the published findings of the Warren Commission are incomplete and invalid. In addition to contesting the Warren Commission findings, Skolnick has charged that a plot to kill the president was initiated in Chicago three weeks prior to the Dallas assassination and involved "at least" six doubles for Lee Harvey Oswald, the identified assassin.

Last week Skolnick entered a petition that federal district Judge Robert Will order a "stay" on the case's proceedings. The legal researcher claimed that he, as plaintiff, could not receive a fair hearing.

According to Skolnick, the case would be fairly adjudicated by "a fellow judge in the same district as Judge Perry (Judge J. Sam Perry)," who the legal researcher has charged was responsible for the false imprisonment of a witness involved in the alleged National Archives suppression of information.

Monday Judge Will ordered the "stay" on court proceedings on the Skolnick v. National Archives case. The legal researcher yesterday would not say when he intends to pursue the case actively again. He said simply that he will continue his case when the time best suits the situation before a federal judge not assigned to the northern district of Illinois. 
6/29/70

Dear Ed,

Herewith, and with sincere thanks, I return the 6/24/70 Daily Calumet story on the real nasty, international conspiracy, contrived by the Dept of Justice, against that waif of the driven snow, Sherman Skolnick.

In noting the absence of your good friend's by-line, I wonder is he is unaware of this most arcane of conspiratorial devices, undoubtedly invented because Skolnick is so much of too much, whereby this terrible Department of Justice arranged to replace all the judges of the Federal court after Skolnick filed his "suit", and with only judges having identically the same names.

Otherwise, of course, the conditions that impelled Skolnick to ask for that "stay" were not obtained, for are these not identically those conditions existing when he filed this "suit" (the word, used here, troubles me, so I apologize)?

And if the conditions were unchanged, what of the filing of the suit to begin with? Could it be possible that this paragon of civic virtue was less than serious and informed about the composition of the court, and that it included no one but "a fellow judge in the same district as Judge (J. Sam) Parry, the one who put Golden away?"

But, then, my legal understanding is limited but such incapacities are my inability to see how one can file a serious action under a law one asks be declared unconstitutional.

As you realize, I am a bit more questioning that the Daily Calumet, and I think Skolnick wants to forget about the whole thing, having picked up all of the chips of others his pockets can hold and having a few fears in mind (where they'd best remain). That time that "best suit the occasion" will not come until I bring it, as I certainly shall unless he makes a full breath and apology before it does come. I think this is too out of character for Skolnick. And I have to stop this endless triavery, how much it hurts I'll probably never know, but there is no interest in that book and I'm certain what he did will eliminate that part from serious consideration. And I would have written half of a book in the time required to keep up with his crookedness and its potential and then get into a position from which I could fend off the remaining possibilities for hurt. For this I also, at some point he will learn he also owes me.

Meanwhile, his chickening out does forestall, if not eliminate, at least immediate prospect of further hurt, and that is good.

Thanks for the clip. Glad to get it and the news in it. Sincerely,
6/22/70

Dear Ed,

Herewith, and with sincere thanks, I return the 6/24/70 Daily Calumet story on the real nasty, international conspiracy, contrived by the Dept of Justice, against that self of the driven snow, Sherman Skolnick.

In noting the absence of your good friend's by-line, I wonder if he is unaware of this most arcane of conspiratorial devices, undoubtedly invented because Skolnick is so much of too much, whereby this terrible Department of Justice arranged to replace all the judges of the federal court after Skolnick filed his "suit", and with only judges having identically the same names.

Otherwise, of course, the conditions that impelled Skolnick to ask for that "stay" would not have obtained, for are these not identically those conditions existing when he filed this "suit" (the word, used here, troubles me, so I apologize)?

And if the conditions were unaltered, what of the filing of the suit to begin with? Could it be possible that this paragon of civic virtue was less than serious and informed about the composition of the court, and that it included no one out "a fellow judge in the same district as Judge J. Sam) Perry, the one who put Golden away?"

But, then, my legal understanding is limited but such incapacities are my inability to see how one can file a serious action under a law one seeks to declared unconstitutional.

As you realize, I am a bit more questioning that the Daily Calumet, and I think Skolnick wants to forget about the whole thing, having picked up all of the chips of others his pockets can hold and having a few fears in mind (where they'd best remain). That time that "best suits the occasion" will not come until I bring it, as I certainly shall unless he makes a full breach and apology before it does come, I think this is too out of character for Skolnick and I have to stop this endless tripe, how much it hurts I'll probably never know, but there is now interest in that book and I'm confident what he did will eliminate that part from serious consideration. And I could have written self of a book in the time required to keep up with his crookedness and its potential and then get into a position from which I could send off the remaining possibilities for hurt. For this al also, as at some point he will earn; he also owes me.

Meanwhile, his chickening out does forestall, if not eliminate, at least immediate prospect of further hurt, and that is good.

Thanks for the clip. Glad to get it and the news in it. Sincerely,