	المامية الطبي المحددة المستقدة المستقدة المنافقية المستقدة المستقدة المستقدة المستقدة المستقدة المستقدة المستقدة المستقدمة المستقدمة المستقدة المستقدة المستقدمة المستقدمة المستقدمة المستقدمة المستقدمة المستقدمة المستقدمة ال	and the second s	and production of the control of the
		() 1 34 53	
	· 0	B1 () ','	
	•		
		Date: September 25, 1964	
ransmit the following	na (n	•	
;	(1)pt	plain text or code) VIA COURIER	
'ig AIRTI	on .	Priority or Method of Mailing)	
			-L
T9:	Director, FBI (105-8255	55) Attention: Crimina	1 Section
CESÓN:	Legat. Ottawa (163-364)	(P) 700 1 11 Sil.	MAG.
· // / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	- DE WALD SE	Solve I de la	22
SUBJECT:	IS - R - CUBA	(P) 720	inc
	Re Ottawa airtel dated	September 24, 1964.	. 1
•		and five conies of lette	rhead ,
memorandu	im setting out results o	f interviews of COLIN DA	VIES //Q1
and KENNE	ETH G. ARMSTRONG		
		that SIM	ILAS
	ARMSTRONG's state	ment indicates that SIMI o buying the story by property of the parameters.	omising
him pict	ures which he knew to be	non-existent. The para	igraph on
page 13.	center column, or the	the shamed two figures	peside the
wherein on harr	el. etc was actually '	inc. maza pour	ar evists
`\ story	There is down Line	CTUITAS had taken the D	ictur e
I DOW . W.	The bland of the second of the	- 44 mould have been e	XCIUSIVE // Y
and ever	y medium would have been	ns, it would have been after it. According to have been "The Million-D	ollar
DAVIES,	the reporter, it would	wise the apportunity of	a scoop
Picture.	nature. SIMILAS told A	RMSTRONG he had mailed t	his fully
. photogra	iph, along with others,	to the nictures 10	st by the
three mo	onths after he had been Telegram and which supp	osedly contained this pi	cture.
1	والمراور	Tenutiast story	
The state of the s	رح و و به به خه نوه خواک در به میکند بر د میکند میکند.	THOONG CONTAINS TOO MAIL)	incon-
esistanc:	ies and "outright lies"	to be taken to cash in	on the
k William Line	e is an opportunist who	and in ore	ier to do
so, he	had to make his story as	assassination and in order to be assault as convincing as possible incidence the negatives	which would
1 11 19 11	MIOPUNALE CHAL OF W CO.	incidence the negatives	
prove t	We TIG HAVE DELTO YOUR	70 - 0000	
6 - Bur	eau (Encs (16) Dallas (11 Lizison dir	edes. A SER ES 121.	
1 - Ott	242		122 17
MLI: MEG	1004-1	Sent W Per	· (i)
Aprily (Special Agent in Charge	•	7

Extra copies of this airtel are furnished for the Dallas office (100-10461).

INNES



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to File No. WASHINGTON 25, B. C.
September 25, 1964

LEE HARVEY OSWALD

photographer of the Toronto Telegram. DAVIES, reporter and reporter who interviewed NORMAN' MITCHEL SIMILAS on the night of November 22 1962 November 23, 1963, and subsequently examined the negatives in SIMILAS' possession. DAVIES stated SIMILAS was very excited at the time of the interview. While viewing the negatives SIMILAS was said to have pointed out the window and asked DAVIES if he didn't think there were two people there. SIMILAS drew his attention to the article written by a Dallas reporter in which two people were mentioned as being in the window. DAVIES said he felt it was the power of suggestion and that SIMILAS wanted to see the two people in the negative so badly that he actually believed he did. It was DAVIES opinion that the negatives were worthless from a news standpoint, but due to SIMILAS' state of excitement he did not have the heart to disappoint him. decided to take the negatives and let the Photo Editor decide what should be done. During the next day or so, the negatives became lost and the Telegram, feeling responsible, sent SIMILAS a check to pay for them. DAVIES did not know the amount but he later met SIMILAS who told him he had received \$300 for his negatives. (Actually he received \$50.00).

DAVIES was questioned as to his impression of SIMILAS and his story. He said he had no doubt that SIMILAS had witnessed the assassination but "he was sure going to get a lot of mileage out of the story." There appears to be a complete reversal of the roles played by SIMILAS and DAVIES, depending on whose story is told.

On September 21, 1964, KENNETH G. ARMSTRONG was interviewed. The following statement was obtained from him:

"Sometime in about February, 1964, while I was editor of Liberty Magazine, I attended a press reception at Yorkdale Plaza. I met JOHN/VIROIN, whom I knew as a Public Relations Consultant and writer. During the luncheon he mentioned that

COPIES DESTROYED

2 1 MAR 1.3 1973

K

15:11:31

he knew a Toronto man who had witnessed the assassination of President Kennedy and would I be interested in the story.

"Following this discussion, I subsequently contacted NORMAN SIMILAS and met him at the Park Plaza Hotel. On our first meeting we discussed his visit to Dallas and the events leading up to the assassination. This discussion probably lasted three hours and I got a lot of background.

"There were two subsequent meetings at which I got the remainder of the information that I wanted for my story.

"SIMILAS offered to supply me with pictures which were taken prior to and during the assassination. These were to be used to illustrate the story. SIMILAS supplied me with some pictures and he said he was having prints made of the other ones. It was my understanding that one of these pictures was the one in which two persons and the gun barrel could be seen, and these were to be forthcoming when developed. I phoned SIMILAS a day or so later and he said they had been mailed to me from a Post Office on Yonge St. I felt that they may have been misdirected and would be arriving at any time. After a week had gone by, Albert Plock, Art Directors of Liberty, and I went through the entire amount of mail received during the previous weeks but we found nothing. I mention this because it was so important to the story to have that picture which contained the two faces at the window. We still held out hope that they might arrive in time for the second installment; however, they never did arrive.

"As I completed each portion of the manuscript I submitted it to SIMILAS for his approval or whatever changes he might suggest. I also went back and double checked on facts he had mentioned previously and his account was basically the same each time.

"The paragraph appearing in the center column on page 13 of the July issue of Liberty beginning, 'Will the investigation committee ...,' was discussed between SIMILAS and me, and originally this appeared in LIFE Magazine.

"It was obvious to me that SIMILAS must have done considerable research and read most of news and views published in order to form the opinion expressed in the next column regarding the assassin's perch.

"The second installment was completed and was set in galley-type, but was never published. Liberty Magazine ceased publishing after the July issue was released.

"I cannot think of anything else which would be of assistance to you except that before the story was used I showed HAROLD COOK, the publisher, SIMILAS' signature of approval which would eliminate the possibility of a misunderstanding between us.

(Signed) Kenneth G. Armstrong"