niele

Mr. S. Ross Buckley POLA/PA Unit, Grisinal Mivision Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

Rt. 12. Frederick, Hd. 21701 6/8/77

FOI/CRM 2393

Dear Mr. Buckley.

คล

min I

Thanks for the enclosures with your letter stamp dated June 5. I regret it was debayed reaching no because yesterday I net with the FRI's FOIA agent. He showed no signs of knowing of your better so I presume he had not yet received it.

There is a real problem here. Tou send me records indicating that an undescribed file (except for the number of employes) was returned to the FAI and they tall me they gave these records to you and you, meaning the Department, never returned them.

What is truly astomnding is that in these divisional memorands there is no single reference to what I regarded as more important than any other item, the references to a plan to oust the President. From the records is I loaned to the Department a Congressman named Thorkslasm was involved with the chief of staff, "emeral Malin-Craig. I feel a decidedly Orwellian shill in reading 1940 records relating to those files and making no reference to this or to any inquiry. Saying in fact that there was no basis for inquiry.

There is another rejuest of this nature that I filed with the Department. Nou make no reference to it. As I now recall it was to assure that compliance was not limited to FBI files or to FBI EQ files.I want all "opertmental records however described by the Department or stored by it. There is, of course, no limitation to the Criminal Division. But I recall nothing from anyons else anywhere in the Department. I also believe that the Act requires referrals once it is known that there is reasonable basis for believing other records emist and can be located.

I am sure that ourlier in this matter I wrote about having been a grand-jurg witness. The Department in fast made a strong effort to indict me. New that I know the Department was also aware of the forgery, one of the two counts to which Mayne entered a guilty pleax, I find its the absence of any such record in the Griminal Division other than what I would expect. I have a very clear secollection of being asked to go to the main Justice building, of being asked to sign a statement I found to be not accurate, of refusing to sign it and of being detained for a while. This impasse ended when my langer, Edgar Turlington, came. He recert? Hene of the waiver I signed?Hone of the other records of that period, of conferences for example? Hene between Mr. Hegge and me then associate, having been his friend?

No record of what happened to those of my papers obtained from Congressman Hook?

I do have difficulty believing that you law and erder exponence, then and now, have so frivolous an attitude to property, which is what all these records are. Mine only.

No referral to the Army? To the Atterney "eneral on such a matter?

I have no way of knowing how your files are ergenized but I as certain that in the Department there such he other records. I as confident that one is your position knows to whom to make the referral. It is, I would hope, all one big happy "opertment, one that even has belophones that might be used for such purposes.

By request is not limited to Hayne. It is for all records relevant to what was for that day a rather sensational matter. To the best of my knowledge all other pignoipals it it are deceased. I believe that it is proper to release all of it to me particularly because I an depending it all is a university as seen as I receive it.

What the Bureau returned to se, ins a bax I burned just day before yesterday (Estimal Kegle whickey carton), was empty envelopes, file folders and some not all of Pelley's literature. It happens I discussed this just yesterday with 3A John Martingh of the FOIA

unit. Last week the history professor who will be handing the archive - have begun to deposit was here. We packed up sens of the older records for shipmant. For the past 35-37 years what was returned to me has remained in the box in which it was returned. We want over that to save space because it was most supply envelopes of various sizes, none federal, and empty file folders. I took them to br. Hartingh, showed them to him and them deposited them in a wastebasket in the room in which we met.

How some of the Department's people, and the FEI is part of the Department, emptied all those file folders- which had hold my property/. (Gan I ask you to believe that property is property, whether it is paper or automobiles, wine of IIT's?) I would appreciate a more serious effort to restore it than I have observed, more because from your can letter this matter is now more than a year and a half old, such older than any claimed backlog of which I have heard.

I do not pretend to seek any hidden meaning in the final peragraph on page one of your letter. I do not accept any abbituary switching from one Act to another. My requests were under both FOIA and PA and I an expecting you to comply with both, not tell me that under your interpretation of PA you are required to search only a search in my name. I have given you the other names and I have asked for all relevant records. By more certainly does not appear in the plot to other three the President. It should appear in files other than the one you say was searched with megative results, the <u>Mary Molon</u> case.

I do not belefove either det requires that I tell you the number of the room in which the files are kept or the name of the manufacturer or the color of the cabinet. I de believe it requires a search in good faith and with due diligence. Now taking Marry Helen an an illustration, not only was I virtually a Department apployee, I rendered services that inse some instances not even the PHL agents would perform. I was the unofficially official runnumer for which I was fiven the Department's auto. I escorted a distressed danuel back to Mashington as well as a male employee with delerium tremens part of the way to Washington. I participated in pleashargaining and legal research and was berrowed from the Genate to help with the duces toous subposses. I helped prepare the examinations of witnesses and over run the teletype when necessary. I do not expect that there need be records of thoseper other functions and services but I have seen some letters and I surely was paid back some of my expenses if not all. In fact I was recommended for "epartmental employment - in writing. I believe that with a little less dedication to Orwell and a little more to the language and purposes of the Acts my association with the Department as of that time might turn out to exist in some form on paper. Believe me if I do not here go into all of them I did perform the most delicate of services not all of which were not recorded.

In the course of collocting the records for the university last week I found a few mogatives, accord or later generation, sade from film brought out of this for me and delivered by me to your Division, as I remember to George Menuity. I also gave all of this material to Jimay Recoveredt. Freident Recoveredt uned some of it in a firewide chet. CIA has found records establishing that I did provide such material but none of the material. I certainly hope you will not contest that records of this nature must the historical requirements. I de also hope you will not want me to believe that mobody in the Department believed there was may interest in a Maxi plot for a coup in Uhile-or that mebody referred any of this to the late J. Higgs Meover.

I could go on and on with ovidences of records that have to exist-and you find none. I have been shown a record released in the <u>Reperchang</u> case. Itrefars to coverage of a recital by the late Faul Robeson. I not Mr. Robeson at the airport and was his chauffour-escert to after the completion of his concert. The records I have seen refer to the license numbers of other actes. Gan you believe that there is no record among them alone of me? One of the people investigated in that case, much to my surprise when I harmed of it, rented an apartment from which I had moved earlier. I was a guest there when there was a party for the black writer Richard Wright (<u>Jativa Son</u> - I have a first edition), I had a

ele en dan bestelen en der Beren Beren Beren Beren Beren ander Beren versten der Beren Beren Beren Beren Beren B

date or two with her daughter and I worked with her former husband. There is probably more but would you believe there is no record at all, even if  $^{\perp}$  never knew the dosembergs or any others in that case?

2

÷.

By wife has read your penultimate paragraph relating to Alger Hiss. You refer to 500 pages with no indication of their content. My wife was in a socretarial position with the Senate constitute for which Mr. Hiss worked. (In connection with the criminal charges against "r. Hiss I was spoken to by an FBI agent who was really looking for my wife who was not home. Later my wife was interviewed.) My wife's reantion is that because she thought well of Mr. Hiss and believe him not at all guilty the records of the interview may well have been withheld from the prosecutors.

In this paragraph you say "we recall no reference" to either of us. We did not mark your recollection, the laws preclude my asking your eccollection but my wife and I did request copies of records. Please let us know what the records show, not what you claim to recall.

With irrelevant and immeterial words like these for which you did find time I regret that you could not have been more specific in your final paragraph. In it you tell no that you told the "ational Archives the Department has no objection to the release to me of an entirely undescribed record. If you had given any indication of what that record of it years age related to perhaps I might recall it. I do not. It may even have been provided to so by the Sational Archives lust year. There simply is no way I can learn this from my files. Because you know this and I do not I ask that you make this comprehensible to me in whatever form suits you. I do not believe it is the intent or the infiguage of the for that I be put to all this extra time and work to obtain any record.

If I have no knowledge of the organisation of your files I do have knowledge that regardless of the manner of organisation you do have means of learning whether or net you have records you have not provided on or about my wife and me. We both invoked both Acts. In combination and with the requirement impored upon you by the standard of good faith and due diligence we are asking that you abenden all these unbecoming semantics and after the forthrights and proper policy statement by the Attorney General - and instead of such evasion as claiming what you "recall" merely provide the records that you can find if you can overcome a well-established reluctanties de it.

discoroly.

"arold Veisberg