ar. John Hartingh FOLA/PA Unit, FM J. idgar Hoover Bldg. Venkington, B.C.

Rt. 12, Frederick, Ad. 21701 5/9/77

Dear Er. Bertingh,

Jim becar told me of your conversation with him about my Silvershirt FOEA request. I appreciate your interest in this and offer to be helpful. Informality may well be helpful to both of us and save much time.

With regard to this particular request it was not very helpful to me. I began that way early in 1975. Jin and I sery invited to meet with MAS Bresson, Kilty and Francer. As we left I told SA Presson of my interest in this publicat and is retrieving what it still retained of my property from the PAL. His passer that thorn is no such thing as a verbal request under the set was quite short. It is also contrary to the testimony of SA Smith in C.A. 75-1996.

This is one of the reasons I had trouble with the use of "indivortent."

The FMI did return most of those records to me. I did expect the return of those it kept. With the panding of time I forget about it. You may not be sware of it but these records related to a plot to everthrough the government. I am quite surprised to hear that the FMI would destroy such records while preserving much trivia. Reportally because this was not FMI property.

I do want all the existing records, including those that relate to me. There was a considerable effort made to indict me on utterly false charges. Although the grand jury did indict David dubin Mayme and he copped a plea to two charges thereafter an PEI agent from the nouthwest saked me to make a statement as to mayne's loyalty. I believe it was in consection with defense employment, in the storic field.

Bo I look forward to receiving reports on the contents of my gurbage. It was collected and examined, part of that particular adventure. One might say this has been determined and not inservertently.

I would appreciate it if there were less unnecessary obliteration in the serials. There seems to be a new agent working on them. This can, I realize, create a problem where the agent is without background on the case or in what has been provided. Annex not at all secret are still masked, like those of James C. Hardin and Blackie Anatim. Serial 4394 illustrates what I believe is an improper masking. Anide from this that was all over the neuphis papers, as you should be able to imagine, complete with photographs of that cell-block being converted into a wallt.

The continued obliteration of the names of special agents when they are notsecret is dreating extra work for you and a problem we should want to avoid. The judge has already ruled against this, there are other and binding decisions on it and birector Kelley has written that this is improper in historical cases. I believe that latter is in the record. We have been patient on this, hoping there would be a change. Aside from the historical importance I have other interests in some once, in no case to basele them. This also is true of Hardin and some of the other immates whose names are both public and masked.

Alluminative of another type of withholding about which I do have doubte is Serial 4306, the Judge Casey paragraph on page 2.

There are fairly frequent references to attachments that are not provided. In last weeks sections there were references to mesorands and the mesorands were not included. While I have not complained, hoping these records would show up as later socials, what antounds me in last-week's Sections implies so call this to you attention. With records as much as five days after Ray's arrest the records relating to his arrest and to the

notification of the and the all and the statement that was insued on his arrest and what relates to all of this are all missing.

Jim tells as that you on he have discussed the field office searches. By prime interest is Kemphis. Promises relating to Respite have been made to us in court and in letters signed by the director. I believe these are at best semantical but saids from the fact that my requests cover them there were these promises.

I will be writing about this. (No, not that you effed "ing, as you've probably heard another says.) I also an osseulted by reporters with some regularity. I would like to be an informative with them as possible and would like to keep the falsities to as close to a minimum as possible. While my belief that this is not opposed to the Euresu's interest is irrelevant, this is my belief.

So I do hope you can find time to pay closer att ation to the withholdings, especially from the coming field office files. I would much prefer not to feel compelled to raise these kinds of questions in court.

With the Cointelpro files analysts who are not familiar with the facts of this perticular case and what is not secret may make mistakes and withhold what ordinarily they would feel compelled to withhold, the names of informers. Some are public. Some figure in memphic prosecutions, court records. There is one the Lavaders decided to kill but none would do it. He figures in several prosecutions. Jensen and Heater are not the only retiress. However, I believe that if you can persuade the NYO to inform you fully and truthfully there should be no problem here. I will not context your right to withhold what is nessent in this area but I do expect that there will not be any withholding of what is not secret. I know emough about this aspect of this subject to suggest that any other course may create still other problems.

If you want to discuss any of this I have a medical appointment in Washington at 10 a.m. this coming Friday. I should be able to got a good walk in and still be at your building at 11. Either way Jim can let me know. The time should coincide with the availability of added Sections.

Cincerely.

Earold Weisborg