UNE ALL ALL total colfinal 'reled at the r of 1972.

and down and yet we install no des vices to warn people that the structure is overstrained. To mount permanent strain gauges, to record the deformation or to install electronic Inture It is absolutely essential for the practicing engineers to keep abreast of theoretical developments and for engineering educators to get in touch with real-life problems. The

munrenth Kurnestuncer is projes sor of structural engineering at the University of Connecticut and chuirman of the Mayor's Academic Task Force.

wald

how this nued. "He moment ident he'd

" I said. s brother t but he's ioesn't get

ich money 's brother stay." of it. But ry's No. 1

.nk Billy's o see him in Arkanto do but ne elector--80 Jimmy ing elected president

president tion if he

ve Jimmv n, but this be an only

rudeau

TUNATELY, I'RE NOT CHOFA. HOT.



By FRANCIS X. O'NEILL JR.

The recent conclusions or lack of conclusions released by the Select Committee on Assassinations could be used by consumer advocate and government watchdog Ralph Nader as a prime example of waste of government time and money \$5.8 million of hard earned taxpayers money.

It was expended to come up with a report that is so lacking in evidentiary value, future generations will wonder at our capacity to place belief in any findings of subsequent congressional committees.

Is it unreasonable to expect congressional committees to act in the best interest of the electorate and the government in following their quest for truth? Is it in the best interest of the government to release such ridiculous findings or does it, during this time of tense international diplomacy, give credence to the belief of many non-Americans that some of our congressmen are political hacks and ambitious opportunists? Is it the prerogative of our elected officials to disregard the findings of not only one bipartisan presidential commission but the findings of many subsequent inquiries and investigations by the Justice Department and the FB1, all of which reaffirmed the original findings proffered by the Warren Commission that (1) there is no credible evidence that Lee Harvey Oswald was a part of a conspiracy and (2) three shots were fired at President Kennedy from the Texas School Book Depository Building, two of which struck him; unless there was substantial findings of fact to the contrary?

I'm sure that if one would look long enough, he would find conflicting ideas in some remote corners of this world as to who killed Jesus Christ, Abraham Lincoln, and, for that matter, Robert Kennedy. However, when the smoke of controversy has cleared the irrefutable, truth always shines forth. The facts cannot be altered in tais point of time. Mr. and Mrs. U.S.

Citizen are the final judges of fact and the conclusion reached by the Warren Commission from the evidence submitted to it are irrefutable.

Conclusions Absurd

Kennedy Assassination |Hattford Courant 1/18/79

For one to believe in a conspiracy one must be ready to accept the premise that the most intelligent and dedicated men in government, in all three branches, for the past 15 years have been hoodwinked by a group or a single person, so celestially clever and so infinitely brilliant that all traces of a conspiracy have vanished. For the committee to place all its eggs in one basket, so to speak, on a tape recording of contestable origin wherein "experts" reach a conclusion that it is "possible" a fourth shot might have been fired - as possible indications of a conspiracy - is absurd and demeans the entire legislative branch of our government.

Pictures can be doctored, tape recordings erased or added to, and rumors take on the semblance of fact over the years, but the statement of eye witnesses immediately subsequent to the events then selves has, since the time of English Common Law, been interpreted in most cases as the best evidence. Any of us old enough to remember the tragic events of Nov. 22, 1963 can recall with precise detail where we were, what we were doing and what was said when we first heard of the presidential killing.

Roy Kellerman and William Greer, the two Secret Service Agents in the car with President Kennedy that fateful day, emphatically, specifically and irrevocably stated to me, six hours after the assassination, that three and only three shots were fired. They heard them most distinctly. They repeated this testimony to me a few days later during interviews at. the White House. They were unequivocal in those statements.

These men were trained investigators, used to hearing gunshots and in a position to best determine the direction and the number of shots fired ---

but most important they were there at the time. The majority of the persons interviewed by the F.B.I. in what was the most comprehensive, meticulous investigation in its outstanding history, concurred that only three shots were fired. True, some persons in Dealey Plaza that day did say they thought there could have been four shots; however, the hard core, the professionals, agree on three shots.

If, and I use the term merely to illustrate a point, an assassin was on the grassy knoll, as hypothesized by the committee, and fired at the president, is it illogical to assume such a cleverly conceived conspiracy would have an expert shot at this location? And if not illogical, would not this expert, since this presumably would be his only purpose in being there, have indeed shot the president if he fired?

I can testify that no such bullet ever struck President Kennedy. I saw the president's body, assisted in taking it from the casket at Bethesda, was present during the entire autopsy and, at first hand, examined his wounds. There was no wound on his. body that could conceivably under any condition come from any source other than above and in back of the president.

This is not hearsay or the result of pseudo-scientific examination. This is fact. I was there, and for someone to theorize that the president could have been shot from anywhere other than the rear is not worthy of further discussion.

The facts simply do not bear out such preposterous conclusions. Truth is singular in nature and no matter how bitter a pill it is for some people to swallow, the truth will never change. Oswald killed Kennedy there is no credible evidence of a conspiracy. Facts indicate only three shots were fired.

Francis X. O'Neill Jr. retired April as Assistant Special Ayer charge of the FBI's Connectic fice.



While a relative newcomer to the position of commissioner of transportation. I have been active for many years, most recently as president of part for a Cowr and 2/3/19 innet with all The New Haven Line rail commuter service is another area of major concern. We have been subsidizing and improving this service since 1971. And, again, the investment has paid off in terms of increasing usage of the

transportation as our foremost priority.

Arthur B. Powers Commissioner Department of Transportation Wethersfield

James ter, state safely | wastes, a over 30 "high ley through in a cera cally sta The st handling only be brief list July, active w cut Rive clear pla Radio a Millst vated r. submari ton. Janua killed w control. Idaho Fr The m 1.46 In a Beck de tween to

in a Beck di tween to of the sc cluding ' continue formatic dismisse "teon-ag ic compl price w permiss: Such i to these is espen that ma issue w educatic

Statis

subject

The Ha

headline

pected i

is misle

college

enrollm

article

The b

The Agent is Wrong!

The Jan. 18 op-ed article by FBI agent Francis X. O'Neill Jr makes numerous false statements about the assassination of President Kennedy, and raises questions about O'Neill's own role in the subsequent cover-up of the facts.

O'Neill says that the Warren Report reaffirmed the FBI's investigation of the murder. This is false, as O'Neill is fully aware. O'Neill neglected to mention in his article that after he attended the autopsy of President Kennedy, he wrote a report in collabgration with FBI agent James W. Sibert that stands in sharp contradie tion with the Warren Commission's version of the president's wounds.

Sibert and O'Neill stated that a bul let struck the president below the shoulder blade, five inches below the collar line, and only penetrated the back two or three inches. The two agents reported that the depth of the wound was so shallow that Dr. James Humes, the autopsy surgeon, could feel the end of the bullet's penetration with his finger. O'Neill's report of the shallow back wound was reported in the Dec. 18, 1963 edition of both the New York Times and the Washington Post. The Warren report however, says that the bullet entered five inches higher on the back of the president's neck, and that the bullet passed all the way through the body and went on to cause all of John Connally's non-fatal wounds as well.

O'Neill asserts that Secret Service agents Roy Kellerman and William Greer agree with the official version about the shots and the wounds. He neglected to mention that both men, also in attendance during the autopsy, testified before the Warren Commission that the back wound was five inches below the collar line and penetrated only a few inches. O'Neill also neglected to mention that both agents testified that the final two shots fired they were right on top of each other According to the FBI's tests of the alleged murder weapon, it took a minimum of 2.3 seconds to work the bolt and jerk the trigger--without aiming.

Finally, O'Neill is correct when he says that the statements of the eyewitnesses immediately after the shooting is the best evidence. He is wrong, however, in saying that it shows the official scenario to be true. On the contrary, it shows that President Kennedy was murdered by cross-fire, with shots from the front as well as from behind.

Over two-thirds of the witnesses

questioned by the FBI and the Warren Commission about the direction of the shots said there were shots from the president's right front, from behind the stockade fence on the grassy knoll. Forty-two witnesses told the Warren Commission, either through testimony or sworn deposition, that shots came from the front. O'Neill also failed to mention that the doctors who examined the president's body right after the assassination told the press that he was struck by two bullets, both from the front as he faced, his assailant(s). They told us one hullet struck him in front of the throat. the utber entering the right temple. The throat wound was reported as a wound of entrance by the New York. Times in six different reports after the assassination, while the wound in the head was reported as an entrance wound in the right temple through Dec. 16, 1963.

The irreconcilable contradictions in the government's own facts developed over the years about this crime show that the need for the truth about the Kennedy assassination is as great today as it was on the afternoon of Nov. 22, 1963.

Andrew Liddell

. . 1

North Windham

Abortion Transcends Religion

According to the position of the American Civil Liberties Union, as expressed on the op-ed page, Jan. 29, it would seem that those who favor abortion, and those who hold to a woman's right to choice, maintain that those of us who speak against abortion are proceeding from a religious point of view. This does not necessarily follow.

There are certain things that are moral which are totally apart from religion. This is particularly true when the word "religion" is used in a sectarian sense — such as Christian or Protestant, or Roman Catholic or Jewish etc. There are certain moral areas of life which transcend religion. Abortion is one of these areas, I believe.

In our society there are certain rights which have been abrogated by the state, the most notable of which is capital punishment. No perright has such a great consequence that no man can himself be judge and jury. I would hold that abortion rightly comes under this heading. The consequences are so great and pervasive that no woman can take to herself the right to determine the future of our race and, to some extent, society. Abortion, willy-nilly, develops an attitude toward life which demeans persons, which places ultimate values in the here-and-now and which takes little account of the future.

As to when a fetus becomes "viable human life" is to beg the question. There is no doubt that within hours of conception an egg and a sperm have begun to develop into a multi-cellular entity. Within days, any patholigist can recognize that "mass of cells" as being of the human species — not a cat or a cow, but a human. It is of the mother, and in the mother, and nourished by the mother, but it is a separate and distinct entity. Who can deny — it goes to the heart of society and its future, indeed it is of the essence of law, order and justice. As such, its regulation and protection is of concern to more than the woman herself. It is the concern of all of society, men and women alike, for we are indeed our brother's keeper and sustainer. No man lives unto himself but rather, unto one another.

Let, us be done with rights and with individualism and with causes. Let us learn the realities of the brotherhood of all mankind, learn to bear one another's burdens and be done with selfishness and self-interest. Morality transcends all of this' and makes each of us responsible, in some degree, for all of the actions of mankind throughout the whole universe.

Abortion a religious issue? No. Abortion a "civil rights" issue? No. Rather abortion is a moral issue involving all of mankind, at all times, in

the stat full tim the peri excessiv The P project entire 1995. If state t that th