J. Gamy Shau 805 N. Hain St., Vleburne, TX 76033-0722

## Dear Gary,

The amended complaint and the depositions came today. I started to read the amended complaint and having tired, I've laid it aside. The resume it and the transcripts when I can, probably about the time you retired, which asyou may recall, is when I'm wide awake. I'm a bit more titred than my years and usual health problems account for Some is from a chest infection being treated and some is from the fact that surprising as it may seem, that box was a bit much for me! But I have a slightly better notion of the suit and the issues little as I've read so far.

I am surprised that you did not joim AMA in it. I suppose there is a reason.

It occurs to me that there can be some unusual possibilities when this case goes to trial, if the lawyers can by then be familiar wrough the with the evidence, by which I mean the official evidence. Depending on what is ruled to be material and not material I believe an additional charge can face at least Humes, of perjury. In a different environment he has soorn in contradiction to himself.

It would take much preparation for the lawyers but I can see this trial being used to make it a matter of court fact that JFK was killed as the end product of a conspiracy.

If you question that natural-born fillibuster Belin at the trial I'll have to dig out for your layers the text of a speech I'd planned to gut when Belin and I debate at Vanderbilt in about Hovember 1975. I made Belin face Belin and the day he was home he came out for a new investigation! I think it can be argued that if Beling considered himself innocent in what he'd said until then, not after that. It turns out that the doctors had let me travel too soon after hospital station for my first venous thrmobisis and I was too tired to try to both cut that speech and deliver it. The next day, in fact when they saw me at the airport I was single-loaded and they moved a nurse back to sit with me. Even empthed the scats in front of me for I could keep my legs elevated on their backs. Vanderbilt was supposed to have taped it but I never got a dub of any tape. I

do think that with Belin's actual record a case of malice can be made against him.

If I did not send you a copy of the letter I wrote the publisher saying that you r could like coese to <u>HEVER AGATH!</u> I did that immeditately. Something happened with him that he has not explained and I cannot. We were godd, close friends for years. He is a publishing lawyer. He copublishes with Garroll & Graf and he is their counsel and that of their distributor, whether or not for other publishers using that distributor. Whether of not there is a connection, he fell along the there is a connection, he fell along the fruth in .

## 5/23/94

Had it been physically possible I could have had <u>HEVER AGAIN!</u> published by July of last year. I've had no explanation for the long delays and it is a powerful book. Dave Wrone retyped it on his computer and he can give you or your lawyers his opinion of it.

Case Open was suddenly rushed. I suppose but do not know that accounts for its lack of even a table of contents and an index and its appearance with incorrected types that I had caught and sont them. And without the pictures I'd planned to use. Powerful an indictment fas what remains is, the full manuscript is ever so much more so and it is a different book as I wrote it. They did no editing. Just eliminated most of it. But in this they changed its character. I've gotten no explanation. And I'm not i n a position to do anything.

So, from the little I've read of your complaint I do believe that one of your lawyers should be familiar with <u>HEVEP AGAIN</u>! Here's a further exploration of that book.

As you may remeber, when you were her with Joe I had difficulty using the cellar stairs, a difficulty since increased enormously. So I was as a matter of reality without (any real access to all those records <sup>I</sup> got under FOIA and much of my own work. But when the first JAFA article appeared I saw immediately that <sup>⊥</sup> could use it as a skeleton to flesh out without much ceess to those basement records and in that to tell a comptehensible account to those who know nothing about the fact of the crime and its investigations. In age doing this <sup>⊥</sup> pro/there was an official conspiracy not to investigate the crime itself and in asking the question, was there a military conspiracy, make a prima facie case that there was. In doing all of this I went over those JANA articles exhaustively and in great detail.

by the way, if you show this to kizzia or give him a copy please explain to him that not only can my typing be no better but what I cannot get corefited on this machine is the missing of first letters after a space. I have to keeping legs elevated. This put the typewriter to my side. With my eyes a problem, this can't be any better. With my writing, it is poor because I have to hold what ' read and correct on a clipboard while I kitie on it in the air.

I think it will help the sale of the book for it to be used at a trial, so if you have not asked Gallen and want to use it, ask him. Iom willing to help in any way I can.

There is something that may or may not be in your complaint that I mention, forgeting as easily as <sup>1</sup> now do, and right now it has special topicality: those rascals blamed Jackie for the incompleteness of their autopsy. In the book and in an Afterwood in which I use new records, I ypove that was impossible and that the decision was the Navy's. <sup>B</sup>esides which <sup>B</sup>obby had waived all rights to withhold anything at all on her behalf. I published that in <u>Post Mortem</u>, in facsimile.My recollection is that JAMA blamed her and the family, too. Excuse the rush. I have the rest of today's mail to answer now. Thanks and best,

Hard

## J. GARY SHAW ARCHITECT

P. O. BOX 722 • CLEBURNE, TX 76033-0722 805 N. MAIN ST. • (817) 641-6578 METRO: (817) 558-2217 FAX: (817) 558-2217 N.C.A.R.B. Certificate No. 12,707

May 19, 1994

Mr. Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Road Frederick, Maryland 21702

RE: Crenshaw, et al. v. Sutherland, et al.

Dear Harold,

As discussed in our recent telephone conversation, I am enclosing copies of the following documents pertaining to the above referenced lawsuit:

- 1. Plaintiffs' Fourth Original Amended Petition
- 2. Depositions (3) of Dr. George Lundberg
- 3. Depositions (2) of Dennis Breo

Your interest in this is greatly appreciated; and any comments, thoughts, or suggestions you might have would be most welcome.

I trust that you and your wife are doing well.

Yours, truly,

an J. Gary Shaw

Enc: As noted

cc: Mr. Brad Kizzia