They immediately considered that Clay Show might be Clay Bertrand, but never case up with any proof. They admit they could not sweld this, he was that prominent a candidate. The bureau also did. I was explicit; there is no reflection of this in the files of either, and the character of the investigation is open to question - they had not spoken to people I coil) refer them to.

How did they know about "Ferry" so soon? "From the lawyer" Andrews?"
Uh, huh, I think so", Then, perhaps he was not sure then + pressed. I made it
clear that Marina was asked, in my opinion, before they knew of the arrest by JG.
Not denied.

They did no investigation of him after the FBI came into that. They had done nous before, despite the character of his remarks about the tree.

They may have covied too Bringuies-Carald forines book and if they did I'll get copies of the enoctated pages. I cited his testimony about calling them about the Mex, explained by it interests me, and they'll check

Dossn't know why they got a second copy of U film, doesn't know why when they had the debate they got and used the Ouban version. We investigation Gil, INCA.

They'll check to see if they know anything about the latin filming the on Cenel just before Bringuier got there.

They also have the stories about IEO seen with a Mexican newspaperman but could go no further with it than I. They had lots of Oswald report where it could not have been.

Forgot to ask about Alba, but it is in notes I gare him.

Without identifying men, I tole them of my comes interviews and the identical identifications by him and Silvers. Offered decess to takes. Welley seemed not surprised when I told him Jones killed in Jemille.

Aside: authographed Agnes picture, cuter office. Unautographer Nixon and Agnew pictures inner office. Mone JFK, LEF, which, I suppose is normal.

I got the impression be was impressed with my detailer knowledge. I was not reductant to disagree, as with Codfrey K. So said be known that case and it had no JFK conjection, I told him he had agents to both mother and wife pretty soon after see, but had expressed no interest in him until efter JO inv surfaced, when hospital was changed to looked one and treatment was changed. He seems to know about Godfrey, however.

We did not agree on Vellee, Milteer. He said not given WC because not involved. I cited others known not involved, like the man in a Mex jeil, and how did they know and he know (and he agreed) this was not the only TO function. No further, save I said I'd like to shack my cony transcript against voices because there are arrors. Not refused. I want into this same, without any argument: that in each case the President's plans were changed, in one cancelled, and in one there was must amounts to a blueprint of west the soverement says did happen.

I laid off Bringuier but made brief mention lane (known), adding this could not have been Life and wasn't drunk. He argument.

You both know how I work, what my objectives are, etc. I regard this as very successful, and I think there is the promise of more. I cugaest you think of the possible significance of the creek they know what haspened because the Bureau told them. At no point was I given to understand that they regard this as a closed matter. Nor was it made clear they do not. Morever, I have the feeling that that these may come when I may near more from them a out our work. This cannot happen unless they really trust me. Can you realize the potential if such an improbability dame to pass? Here you see another reason may I want overhoard.

These were no cracks about Gerrison. On the first mention I seid I did not regard what he did as a N.C. investigation, hance I made my own and independent one. No further comment.

Goldonna Jack Martin: professes to neve no recollection how it started. 4 pointed out within hours of eas, Delles office was on phone to N.O. with report.

Tarsices: dropped it because they thought nothing to it.

Remarkable coincidences: got home to find phone not working, not deed, crossed line with a men who gave his address as Rashington on a Seattle call. Then I want to a neighbor's to report it, I wan teld it would be fixed in a.m. We have a private line, so there should be no one on it, ever.

apparently did not know significance 544 address. Told them, not aparing FEL. Memover, they said they thought the FEL had made a big investigation of it, which I would presume they should have. I told them the official representation was of the opposite.

Although I tried not to, I did forget to reise a few things verbally. One is the original modical reports obtained by the SS. Another in the Geraci investigation. He recalls no Bankonderfer interview. They will looks for the attachments to the Shand report. The Drennen interviews fit what they said was not related hance not given the Wo. Willis, negative enswers to the questions. No copies, no intelligence derived. Morraen boiled down to my asking for a chance to see the first if they copied, he remembered her and them as "aboving nothing" I argued that pictures showing crown scenes can of be so dismissed that they may have been looking for the wrong thing, that perhaps her first could have showed life or hoveledy. No further inv. letter from mavener which they dismissed as crank.

I guess that covers it.

Jet Jan

It is understood that if I believe there are SS things not in the Archives, I'll askxfor them.

My overall impression is of honest intent, to the degree beneaucracy parmits, and a very strong desire that I not take them to court which, if nothing else, prompts them to take a nothing-to-hide posture. I think it might be unfair to suggest this alone prompts such a position. In short, by and large, I was more than favorably impressed. If I detected a few other things I ve indicated, we'll have to wait and see if they are valid. In all, I's say we now have a different relationship, a better understanding, and that I'll not get crappy betters like have in the past for several reasons.

East.